

SFA

BILL ANALYSIS

Senate Fiscal Agency

• Lansing, Michigan 48909

• (517) 373-5383

RECEIVED**APR 17 1989**

Mich. State Law Library

Senate Bills 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, and 85

Sponsor: Senator Richard D. Fessler

Committee: Local Government and Veterans Affairs

Date Completed: 2-7-89

SUMMARY OF SENATE BILLS 79 through 85 as introduced 2-1-89:

The bills would amend several acts to prohibit a city, village, or township from requiring that a police officer employed by the municipality, or an applicant for employment as a police officer, reside within the corporate limits of the municipality. Following is a description of each bill.

Senate Bill 79

The bill would amend the Public Employment Relations Act to provide that a collective bargaining agreement between a city, village, or township and police officers employed by the local unit, or a personnel policy established by a party to the collective bargaining agreement, could not require that a police officer reside within the corporate limits of the local unit.

MCL 423.215

Senate Bill 80

The bill would amend the home rule cities Act to prohibit a city by charter or ordinance, or the city's chief of police, from requiring a police officer or applicant for employment as a police officer to reside within the city.

Proposed MCL 117.34a

Senate Bill 81

The bill would amend Public Act 181 of 1951 to prohibit a township board or the chief of police of a township from requiring a police officer or applicant for employment as a police officer to reside within the township.

MCL 41.855

Senate Bill 82

The bill would amend the charter township Act to prohibit the board of a charter township from requiring a police officer or applicant for employment as a police officer to reside within the township.

MCL 42.12

Senate Bill 83

The bill would amend Public Act 78 of 1935 to prohibit the civil service commission of a local unit of government from requiring an applicant for appointment to a police department, or a person appointed to the local unit's police department, to reside in the local unit.

MCL 38.510

Senate Bill 84

The bill would amend Public Act 278 of 1909 to prohibit a village by charter or ordinance, or the village's chief of police, from requiring a police officer or applicant for employment as a police officer to reside within the village.

Proposed MCL 78.25a

Senate Bill 85

The bill would amend Public Act 3 of 1895 to prohibit a village council or a village marshall from requiring a police officer or applicant for employment as a police officer to reside within the village.

MCL 67.45 and 67.46

Legislative Analyst: G. Towne

FISCAL IMPACT

Senate Bill 79 and Senate Bills 81 through 85 would have no fiscal implications.

The passage of Senate Bill 80 would have no fiscal impact on State government. The bill could have an indeterminate impact on cities if it is assumed that some police officers would change their residency if the bill were approved. This could reduce the amount of city income tax paid from a resident to nonresident rate.

Fiscal Analyst: G. Olson

S8990\S79SA

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.