ANALYSIS

Telephone: (517) 373-5383

Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bill 766 (as introduced 11-28-95) Sponsor: Senator Dave Honigman Committee: Local, Urban and State Affairs

Date Completed: 1-30-96

CONTENT

The bill would create a new Act to prohibit a "public employer" from requiring, by collective bargaining agreement or otherwise, that a person reside within a specified geographic area or within a specified distance or travel time from his or her place of employment as a condition of employment or promotion by the public employer.

The bill specifies that it would not apply to a residency requirement contained in or specifically authorized by State law; a residency requirement for a member of the classified State Civil Service; or, a requirement of a public employer that a person reside within the State as a condition of employment or promotion with the public employer.

("Public employer" would mean the State or a county, township, village, city, authority, or other political subdivision of the State and would include any entity jointly created by two or more public employers. Public employer would not include institutions of higher education, as that term is used in Article 8 of the State Constitution.)

Legislative Analyst: L. Arasim

FISCAL IMPACT

This bill would allow local government employees to live outside of a local unit's boundaries, thereby reducing the income tax revenue collected by cities. Depending on the degree to which city income tax collections changed, revenue sharing payments also could increase or decrease for all local units.

This bill would have no fiscal impact on State government.

Fiscal Analyst: R. Ross

S9596\S766SA

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.

Page 1 of 1 sb766/9596