



**Senate Fiscal Agency**  
**P. O. Box 30036**  
**Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536**

BILL



ANALYSIS

**Telephone: (517) 373-5383**  
**Fax: (517) 373-1986**

Senate Bill 975 (as introduced 4-23-96)  
Sponsor: Senator Michael J. Bouchard  
Committee: Financial Services

Date Completed: 4-24-96

## **CONTENT**

**The bill would amend the credit union Act to do all of the following:**

- Allow a credit union to indemnify its officials, employees, and agents for the purposes of legal actions.**
- Require that a credit union official discharge the duties of his or her position in good faith and with a prudent degree of diligence, care, and skill.**
- Allow a credit union to increase the approved limit on a line of credit or open-end credit agreement.**

For purposes of the bill's indemnification provisions, references to "the credit union" would include all other credit unions that became related to the credit union by a consolidation or merger and the resulting or continuing credit union. A person who was or had been an official, employee, or agent of a credit union that was consolidated or merged into another credit union, then, would stand in the same position with respect to the resulting or continuing credit union as the person would if he or she had served the resulting or continuing credit union in the same capacity.

### **Indemnification**

**Authorization.** A credit union could indemnify a person who was a party to or was threatened to be made a party to a threatened, pending, or completed action, suit, or proceeding, other than an action by or in the right of the credit union, by reason of the fact that the person was or had been an official, employee, or agent of the credit union or was or had been serving, at the request of the credit union, as an official, employee, or agent of one or more credit unions or other enterprises.

Indemnification could be undertaken regardless of whether the action was a civil, criminal, administrative, or investigative action, whether it was formal or informal, and whether the person acted as the credit union's official, employee, or agent for profit. Indemnification could be granted against expenses, including attorney fees, judgments, penalties, fines, and amounts paid in settlement that were actually and reasonably incurred by the person in connection with the action, suit, or proceeding, if the person acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, the best interests of the credit union or its members, and, with respect to a criminal proceeding, if the person had no reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct was unlawful.

The termination of any action, suit, or proceeding by judgment, order, settlement, or conviction, or upon a plea of nolo contendere or its equivalent, would not create a presumption that the person did not act in good faith and in a manner that he or she reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, the best interests of the credit union or its members, and, with respect to a criminal proceeding, had reasonable cause to believe that his or her conduct was unlawful.

A credit union also could indemnify a person who was or had been a party to or was threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending, or completed action or suit, by or in the right of the credit union, to procure a judgment in its favor by reason of the fact that he or she was an official, employee, or agent of one or more credit unions or other enterprises. Indemnification could be undertaken regardless of whether the person acted as an official, employee, or agent for profit. Indemnification could be granted against expenses, including actual and reasonable attorney fees, and amounts paid in settlement incurred by the person in connection with the action or suit, if the person acted in good faith and in a manner the person reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, the best interests of the credit union or its members.

Indemnification could not be made for a claim, issue, or matter in which the person had been found liable to the credit union, unless and only to the extent that the court determined upon application that, despite the adjudication of liability, but in view of all circumstances of the case, the person was fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnification for the expenses that the court considered proper.

To the extent that an official, employee, or agent of a credit union was successful, on the merits or otherwise, in defense of an action, suit, or proceeding or in defense of any claim, issue, or matter in the action, suit, or proceeding, he or she would have to be indemnified against expenses, including actual and reasonable attorney fees, incurred by him or her in connection with the action, suit, or proceeding and an action, suit, or proceeding brought to enforce the mandatory indemnification.

If a person were entitled to indemnification under the bill for a portion of expenses, including attorney fees, judgments, penalties, fines, and amounts paid in settlement, but not for the total amount of the expenses, a credit union could indemnify the person for the portion for which the person was entitled to be indemnified.

Determination. Indemnification, unless ordered by a court, could be made by the credit union only as authorized in the specific case upon a determination that indemnification of an official, employee, or agent was proper because he or she met the bill's applicable standard of conduct. This determination could be made in any of the following ways:

- By a majority vote of a quorum of the board, consisting of directors who were not parties to the action, suit, or proceeding.
- If a quorum described above were not obtainable, by a majority vote of a committee of directors who were not parties to the action. The committee would have to consist of at least two disinterested directors.
- By independent legal counsel in a written opinion.
- By the members.

Payment of Expenses: Repayment Obligation. Expenses incurred in defending a civil or criminal action, suit, or proceeding could be paid by the credit union in advance of the final disposition of the action, suit, or proceeding, upon receipt of an undertaking by or on behalf of a credit union official, employee, or agent to repay the expenses if it were ultimately determined that the person was not entitled to be indemnified by the credit union. The undertaking would have to be by

unlimited general obligation of the person on whose behalf advances were made, but it would not have to be secured.

Other Rights. Indemnification or advancement of expenses provided for under the bill would not be exclusive of other rights to which a person seeking indemnification or advancement of expenses might be entitled under the credit union's bylaws or a contractual agreement. The total amount of expenses advanced or indemnified from all sources could not exceed the amount of actual expenses incurred by the person seeking indemnification or advancement of expenses. Indemnification provided under the bill would continue as to a person who ceased to be an official, employee, or agent and would inure to the benefit of the person's heirs, executors, and administrators.

#### Official's Discharge of Duties

An official of a credit union would have to discharge the duties of his or her position "in good faith and with that degree of diligence, care, and skill that an ordinarily prudent person would exercise under similar circumstances in a like position". In discharging his or her duties, a credit union official could rely upon the opinion of the credit union's legal counsel, upon the report of an independent appraiser selected with reasonable care by the credit union's board or an officer of the credit union, or upon financial statements of the credit union represented to the official to be correct by the general manager or the officer of the credit union having charge of its records, or as stated in a written report by an independent public or certified public accountant, or firm of accountants, fairly to reflect the credit union's financial condition.

#### Line of Credit or Open-End Credit Agreement

The Act allows a credit union, upon written application by a member, to approve a line of credit or other open-end credit agreement and to grant loan advances to the member within the limit of that open-end credit agreement. The bill specifies that, except to the extent that it had contracted to the contrary, a credit union could unilaterally increase the approved limit, or increase the approved limit upon the member's request, in any form that the credit union considered sufficient.

MCL 490.16 et al.

Legislative Analyst: P. Affholter

#### **FISCAL IMPACT**

The bill would have no fiscal impact on State or local government.

Fiscal Analyst: M. Barsch

S9596\S975SA

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.