



Senate Fiscal Agency
P. O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536

BILL



ANALYSIS

Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bill 1173 (as reported without amendment)
Sponsor: Senator Michael J. Bouchard
Committee: Financial Services

Date Completed: 11-5-96

RATIONALE

The Occupational Code allows a firm to engage in the practice of architecture, professional engineering, or professional surveying in Michigan, if at least two-thirds of the firm's principals are licensees in those professions. The Code requires, however, that a nonlicensed principal and his or her firm apply for and receive an approval from the Department of Consumer and Industry Services (DCIS) to engage in the practice of architecture, professional engineering, or professional surveying, if the conduct of the firm and its principals comply with rules promulgated by the DCIS. Public Act 23 of 1993 created the Michigan Limited Liability Company (LLC) Act to provide certain liability protections and tax advantages to those who choose to organize their business as an LLC. The LLC Act, however, requires that *each* member and manager of a professional LLC be a licensed person in one or more of the professional services rendered by the company.

Although these provisions of the Occupational Code and the LLC Act may appear to be in conflict, the Michigan Attorney General has ruled that they are not and that a person who is not licensed as an architect may not be a member of an LLC formed solely to provide the professional service of an architect (Opinion of the Attorney General (OAG), 1995-1996, No. 6845 (April 26, 1995)). Some people feel that firms providing architectural, engineering, and surveying professional services under the two-thirds membership requirement of the Occupational Code should be allowed to secure the liability protections and tax benefits available to a business organized as an LLC. (See **BACKGROUND** for a description of the OAG.)

CONTENT

The bill would amend the Michigan Limited Liability Company Act to specify that a professional limited liability company could engage in the practice of architecture, professional engineering, or professional surveying in Michigan if at least two-thirds of the company's members were licensed in this State to render the professional service offered. The Act currently provides that a professional limited liability company may render one or more professional services, and each member and manager must be a licensed person in one or more of the professional services rendered by the company.

MCL 450.4904

BACKGROUND

Opinion of the Attorney General, 1995-1996, No. 6845 was issued in response to a legislator's inquiring whether a person who is not licensed as an architect may be a member of a limited liability company formed solely to provide the professional service of architecture. In examining the construction of the LLC Act, the OAG pointed out that Article 9, Section 901 specifies that a professional LLC and its members and managers are subject to Article 9 and that Article 9 takes precedence over any other provision of the Act in event of conflict. Article 9, Section 904 of the LLC Act requires that, for a professional LLC, "...each member and manager must be a licensed person in 1 or more of the professional services rendered by the company" (emphasis included in the OAG).

While noting that architects are licensed under the Occupational Code and that the Code allows a firm to "...engage in the practice of architecture,

professional engineering, or professional surveying...if not less than 2/3 of the principals of the firm are licensees”, the OAG pointed out that the Occupational Code defines “firm” as “a sole proprietorship, partnership, or corporation...”. Two licensed architects and one nonlicensed individual, then, could form a partnership or corporation to provide professional architectural services, but, since an LLC is an unincorporated association, the OAG reasoned, an LLC is not included in the Occupational Code’s definition of “firm”, and there is no conflict between the Occupational Code’s two-thirds membership provision and the LLC Act’s full membership requirement.

ARGUMENTS

(Please note: The arguments contained in this analysis originate from sources outside the Senate Fiscal Agency. The Senate Fiscal Agency neither supports nor opposes legislation.)

Supporting Argument

The bill would extend the Michigan LLC Act’s liability protections and tax benefits to professional architectural, engineering, and surveying firms in which not every member is a licensed architect, engineer, or surveyor. Since the LLC Act’s licensure requirement for members of a firm is more strict than the membership requirements for architectural, engineering, and surveying firms operating under the Occupational Code, those business operations with an unlicensed member are currently unable to avail themselves of the benefits of operating as a limited liability company rather than as a corporation or partnership. One of the aims of the LLC Act, according to its proponents, was to improve Michigan’s business climate, especially for small businesses, by allowing persons to convert an existing enterprise to an LLC. The bill would help to advance that goal by making the LLC Act’s membership licensure requirements for an architectural, engineering, or surveying business consistent with the membership requirements for those operations under the Occupational Code.

Response: The bill is not quite consistent with the Occupational Code’s membership requirements. Although the Code allows a firm to engage in the business of architecture, engineering, or surveying if at least two-thirds of its members are licensed, it also requires the nonlicensed principal and the firm to apply for and receive approval from the DCIS to engage in those professional practices. The bill should include a similar requirement for operation as an LLC.

Legislative Analyst: P. Affholter

FISCAL IMPACT

The bill would have no fiscal impact on State or local government.

Fiscal Analyst: M. Tyszkiewicz

A9596\S1173A

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.