



Senate Fiscal Agency
P. O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536

BILL ANALYSIS



Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986

House Bill 4433 (Substitute S-1 as reported)
Sponsor: Representative Ken Sikkema
House Committee: Conservation, Environment and Great Lakes
Senate Committee: Natural Resources and Environmental Affairs

CONTENT

The bill would create the "Property Rights Preservation Act" to establish a process for evaluating government actions that could result in constitutional takings. "Government action" would mean a decision on an application for a permit or license; required dedications or exactions of private property; proposed rules that could limit the use of private property; and the enforcement of a statute or rule, including the issuance of an order. "Government action" would not include any formal exercise of the power of eminent domain; the discontinuance of government programs; or the forfeiture or seizure of private property by law enforcement agencies as evidence of a crime or for violations of law. "Constitutional taking" or "taking" would mean the taking of private property by government action such that compensation to the owner of that property was required by the United States or the Michigan Constitution.

The bill would require the Attorney General, with the Department of Natural Resources (DNR), the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), and the Department of Transportation (DOT), to develop takings assessment guidelines that would help the Departments to identify and evaluate government actions that could result in a constitutional taking. The Attorney General and the Departments would have to base the guidelines on current law as articulated by the United States Supreme Court and the Michigan Supreme Court and would have to update the guidelines at least annually to account for changes in the law. Prior to taking a government action, the DNR, DEQ, or DOT, as appropriate, would have to review the guidelines and consider the likelihood that the government action could result in a constitutional taking. If there were an immediate threat to public health and safety that constituted an emergency and required an immediate response, the guidelines could be reviewed when the response was completed.

Legislative Analyst: S. Margules

FISCAL IMPACT

The Department of the Attorney General reports that developing the guidelines could be handled with existing staff.

According to the Department of Environmental Quality, implementation of the assessment procedures in the bill would have a minimal fiscal impact on the Department.

Date Completed: 12-11-95

Fiscal Analyst: B. Bowerman
G. Cutler

floor\hb4433

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.