Senate Fiscal Agency P. O. Box 30036 Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536



Telephone: (517) 373-5383 Fax: (517) 373-1986 TDD: (517) 373-0543

Senate Bills 227 and 228 (as passed by the Senate)

Sponsor: Senator Michael J. Bouchard

Committee: Economic Development, International Trade and Regulatory Affairs

Date Completed: 8-25-97

RATIONALE

Article 20 of the Occupational Code allows a firm to engage in the practice of architecture, professional engineering, or professional surveying in Michigan, if at least two-thirds of the firm's principals are licensees in those professions. The Code also requires that a nonlicensed principal and his or her firm apply for and receive an approval from the Department of Consumer and Industry Services (DCIS) to engage in the practice of architecture, professional engineering, or professional surveying, if the conduct of the firm and its principals comply with rules promulgated by the DCIS. Public Act 23 of 1993 created the Michigan Limited Liability Company (LLC) Act to provide certain liability protections and tax advantages to those who choose to organize their business as an LLC. The LLC Act, however, requires that each member and manager of a professional LLC be a licensed person in one or more of the professional services rendered by the company.

Although these provisions of the Occupational Code and the LLC Act may appear to be in conflict, the Michigan Attorney General has ruled that they are not and that a person who is not licensed as an architect may not be a member of an LLC formed solely to provide the professional service of an architect (Opinion of the Attorney General (OAG), 1995-1996, No. 6845 (April 26, 1995)). Some people feel that firms providing architectural, engineering, and surveying professional services under the two-thirds membership requirement of the Occupational Code should be allowed to secure the liability protections and tax benefits available to a business organized as an LLC. (See **BACKGROUND** for a description of the OAG.)

CONTENT

Senate Bill 227 would amend Article 20 of the Occupational Code, which regulates architects, professional engineers, and land surveyors, to include a limited liability company in the Article's definition of "firm" and include a member of an LLC in the Article's definition of "principal". Currently, "firm" means a sole proprietorship, partnership, or corporation through which a person licensed under Article 20 offers or provides a service to the public. "Principal" means "a sole proprietor, partner, or the president, vice-president, secretary, treasurer, or director of a corporation".

Senate Bill 228 would amend the Michigan Limited Liability Company Act to specify that a professional LLC could engage in the practice of architecture, professional engineering, or professional surveying in Michigan if at least two-thirds of the company's members were licensed in this State to render the professional services offered. The Act currently provides that a professional LLC may render one or more professional services, and each member and manager must be a person licensed in one or more of the professional services rendered by the company.

MCL 339.2001 (S.B. 227) 450.4904 (S.B. 228)

BACKGROUND

Opinion of the Attorney General, 1995-1996, No. 6845 was issued in response to a legislator's inquiring whether a person who is not licensed as an architect may be a member of a limited liability company formed solely to provide the professional service of architecture. In examining the construction of the LLC Act, the OAG pointed out that Article 9, Section 901 specifies that a professional LLC and its members and managers

Page 1 of 2 sb227&228/9798

are subject to Article 9 and that Article 9 takes precedence over any other provision of the Act in event of conflict. Article 9, Section 904 of the LLC Act requires that, for a professional LLC, "...each member and manager must be a licensed person in 1 or more of the professional services rendered by the company" (emphasis included in the OAG).

While noting that architects are licensed under the Occupational Code and that the Code allows a firm to "...engage in the practice of architecture, engineering, professional or professional surveying...if not less than 2/3 of the principals of the firm are licensees", the OAG pointed out that the Occupational Code defines "firm" as "'a sole proprietorship, partnership, or corporation...". Two licensed architects and one nonlicensed individual, then, could form a partnership or corporation to provide professional architectural services, but, since an LLC is an unincorporated association, the OAG reasoned, an LLC is not included in the Occupational Code's definition of "firm", and there is no conflict between the Occupational Code's two-thirds membership provision and the LLC Act's full membership requirement.

ARGUMENTS

(Please note: The arguments contained in this analysis originate from sources outside the Senate Fiscal Agency. The Senate Fiscal Agency neither supports nor opposes legislation.)

Supporting Argument

Senate Bill 228 would extend the Michigan LLC Act's liability protections and tax benefits to professional architectural, engineering, and surveying firms in which not every member is a licensed architect, engineer, or surveyor. Since the LLC Act's licensure requirement for members of a firm is more strict than the membership requirements for architectural, engineering, and surveying firms operating under the Occupational Code, those business operations with an unlicensed member are currently unable to avail themselves of the benefits of operating as a limited liability company rather than as a corporation or partnership. One of the aims of the LLC Act, according to its proponents, was to improve Michigan's business climate, especially for small businesses, by allowing persons to convert an existing enterprise to an LLC. Senate Bill 228 would help to advance that goal by making the LLC Act's membership licensure requirements for an architectural, engineering, or surveying business consistent with the membership requirements for those operations under the Occupational Code.

Opposing Argument

Senate Bill 228 is not quite consistent with the Occupational Code's membership requirements. Although the Code allows a firm to engage in the business of architecture, engineering, or surveying if at least two-thirds of its members are licensed, it also requires the nonlicensed principal and the firm to apply for and receive approval from the DCIS to engage in those professional practices. Senate Bill 228 should include a similar requirement for operation as an LLC.

Response: It may not be necessary to include that requirement in the LLC Act, because Senate Bill 227 would include an LLC in the Occupational Code's definition of "firm" and a member of an LLC would be added to the definition of "principal". An LLC, then, would be subject to the Code's requirement that a firm's nonlicensed principal secure the DCIS Director's approval to engage in the firm's professional practices.

Legislative Analyst: P. Affholter

FISCAL IMPACT

The bills would have no fiscal impact on State or local government.

Fiscal Analyst: M. Tyszkiewicz

A9798\S227B

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an

Page 2 of 2 sb227&228/9798

official statement of legislative intent.

Page 3 of 2 sb227&228/9798