
Senate Fiscal Agency
P. O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536

SFA**BILL ANALYSIS**

Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986
TDD: (517) 373-0543

Senate Bill 593 (as reported by the Committee of the Whole)

Sponsor: Senator Mike Rogers

Committee: Gaming and Casino Oversight

CONTENT

The bill would create a new act to permit the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communication pursuant to judicial authorization in the investigation of racketeering, money laundering, gaming violations proposed by Senate Bill 569, or specific drug-related offenses. The bill would do all of the following:

- Permit the contents of an intercepted communication or evidence derived from it to be used or disclosed by an investigative or law enforcement officer in the performance of his or her duties, or to be disclosed by a person giving testimony.
- Prohibit a prosecuting attorney from authorizing an application to intercept a communication unless the Attorney General or his or her designee approved the authorization.
- Prohibit the disclosure or use of the contents of a communication that was wrongfully intercepted.
- Prohibit the manufacture, possession, sale, or advertisement of devices primarily used for the interception of communication.
- Allow a party to an intercepted communication, or a person against whom interception was directed, to move to suppress evidence of the contents of the communication or evidence derived from it.
- Require the development of a communication interception training program for law enforcement officers.
- Require the Attorney General to report annually to the administrative office of the United States courts information relating to the use of communications interceptions under the bill. (The Department of State Police would have to report the same information to the Attorney General, Senate, House of Representatives, and Governor.)
- Create a civil cause of action for victims of a wrongful interception and make good faith reliance on an authorization a defense to civil or criminal liability.
- Repeal the Penal Code's current provisions regarding electronic eavesdropping (MCL 750.539a to 750.539i).

Legislative Analyst: P. Affholter

FISCAL IMPACT

State Police/Law Enforcement: The bill would require the Department of State Police to develop a wiretapping and electronic surveillance course for which it could charge local law enforcement agencies a fee, which would offset the costs of training. There would be some "up front" costs to the State Police to develop this course and there also would be additional costs to local agencies for enrolling in the course.

The bill also would require the State Police to issue an annual report concerning applications, orders, and interceptions of wire, oral, and electronic communications. This would create additional administrative costs for the Department.

Costs associated with the use of communication interception by law enforcement would depend on the extent to which it was used. The bill does not contain mandated costs and the cost of communication interception would be funded from the law enforcement agencies' resources.

Costs to local law enforcement would depend on the number of times the procedure was used. Existing resources would have to be reallocated to the extent that wiretaps were used.

Corrections: The new penalties in the bill for disclosing the contents of a wrongfully intercepted communication, and for manufacturing, possessing or selling an interception device, could increase costs for prosecuting and sanctioning violators. There are insufficient data available at this time to estimate the potential number of annual violators.

In addition, to the extent that the bill resulted in increased convictions, State and local criminal justice costs would increase. In 1995, there were over 8,100 convictions for a drug-related offense, nearly 1,800 (22%) receiving a prison sentence, with an average minimum sentence of 2.2 years. As an example, an increase in annual convictions of 10 offenders, each receiving a two-year sentence, would cost an additional \$300,000 annually.

Date Completed: 6-10-97

Fiscal Analyst: B. Baker
M. Hansen
B. Bowerman

floor/sb593

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.