H.B. 4376

(as amended July 3, 1997)

A bill to prohibit the state or a political subdivision of the state from imposing a substantial burden on a person's exercise of religion unless the state or political subdivision of the state demonstrates a compelling governmental interest in imposing that burden; and to provide a claim or defense to persons whose exercise of religion is substantially burdened by the state or a political subdivision of the state.

## THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

[Sec. 1. This act shall be known and may be cited as the "Michigan religious freedom restoration act".]

- 1 Sec. [2]. As used in this act:
- 2 (a) "Exercise of religion" means a practice protected by
- 3 section 4 of article I of the state constitution of 1963.
- **4** (b) "State or a political subdivision of the state" means
- 5 this state or a county, township, city, or village in this
- 6 state.

02193'97

- H.B. 4376 as amended July 3, 1997
- 1 Sec. [3]. The state or a political subdivision of this state

2

- 2 shall not impose a substantial burden on a person's exercise of
- 3 religion unless it establishes by clear and convincing evidence
- 4 both of the following:
- 5 (a) The burden is necessary to advance a compelling govern-
- 6 mental interest.
- 7 (b) The burden is the least restrictive means of furthering
- 8 the compelling governmental interest described in subdivision
- **9** (a).
- 10 Sec. [4]. A person [, other than an individual lawfully imprisoned in a penal or correctional institution in this state,] who alleges a violation of section [3] may
- 11 assert that violation as a claim or defense in a judicial pro-
- 12 ceeding, and may obtain equitable or other relief against the
- 13 state or political subdivision of the state for that violation. [A court may award all or a portion of the costs of litigation, including reasonable attorneys fees, to a prevailing plaintiff.]