

House Office Building, 9 South Lansing, Michigan 48909 Phone: 517/373-6466

ADDITIONAL JUDGE FOR THE FIFTY-SECOND DISTRICT

House Bill 4207 Sponsor: Rep. Nancy Cassis Committee: Family and Civil Law

Complete to 3-6-00

A SUMMARY OF HOUSE BILL 4207 AS INTRODUCED 2-9-99

The bill would amend the Revised Judicature Act to authorize the creation of an additional district judgeship for the 52nd district. The 52nd district consists of the county of Oakland except for the cities of Madison Heights, Ferndale, Hazel Park, Royal Oak, Berkeley, Huntington Woods, Oak Park, Pleasant Ridge, Southfield, Lathrup Village, Farmington, Farmington Hills, Northville, Sylvan Lake, Keego Harbor, Orchard Lake Village, Birmingham, Bloomfield Hills, and Pontiac and the townships of Royal Oak, Southfield, West Bloomfield and Waterford. The bill would allow for the third division of the district to be increased from two to three judges. The division includes the cities of Rochester, Auburn Hills, and Lake Angelus and the townships of Oxford, Addison, Orion, and Oakland. In addition, the bill would allow the first division of the district to create an additional judgeship effective January 1, 2001, provided that each district control unit adopts a resolution approving the additional judgeship. The division consists of the cities of Novi, South Lyon, Wixom, and Walled Lake and the townships of Milford, Highland, Rose, White Lake, Commerce, Lyon, and Novi and has 3 judges.

Finally, the bill would strike several provisions that would have allowed for the creation of additional divisions of the 52nd district and judgeships subject to the provisions of law allowing for the consolidation of districts. The language is now extraneous, as the time for the creation of these divisions has passed. Similarly, the bill would delete references to adding judgeships in the 47th and 48th judicial districts where the relevant dates have passed.

MCL 600.8123

Analyst: W. Flory

[■] This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.