## HOUSE SUBSTITUTE FOR SENATE BILL NO. 859

A bill to amend 1949 PA 300, entitled "Michigan vehicle code,"
(MCL 257.1 to 257.923) by adding section 667a.

## THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

- 1 SEC. 667A. (1) THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE OR THE STATE
- 2 TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT; THE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS,
- 3 BOARD OF COUNTY ROAD COMMISSIONERS, OR COUNTY SHERIFF; OR OTHER
- 4 LOCAL AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION OVER A HIGHWAY OR STREET MAY
- 5 AUTHORIZE THE INSTALLATION AND USE OF UNMANNED TRAFFIC MONITORING
- 6 DEVICES AT A RAILROAD GRADE CROSSING WITH FLASHING SIGNALS AND
- 7 GATES ON A HIGHWAY OR STREET UNDER THEIR RESPECTIVE
- 8 JURISDICTIONS. EACH DEVICE SHALL BE SUFFICIENTLY MARKED OR IDEN-
- 9 TIFIED OR A SIGN SHALL BE PLACED AT THE APPROACH TO THE CROSSING
- 10 INDICATING THAT THE CROSSING IS MONITORED BY AN UNMANNED TRAFFIC
- 11 MONITORING DEVICE.

04387'99 \* (H-2)

Senate Bill No. 859

- 1 (2) BEGINNING 31 DAYS AFTER THE INSTALLATION OF AN UNMANNED
- 2 TRAFFIC MONITORING DEVICE AT A RAILROAD GRADE CROSSING DESCRIBED
- 3 IN SUBSECTION (1), A PERSON IS RESPONSIBLE FOR A CIVIL INFRACTION
- 4 AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 667 IF THE PERSON VIOLATES A PROVISION OF
- 5 THAT SECTION ON THE BASIS OF EVIDENCE OBTAINED FROM AN UNMANNED
- 6 TRAFFIC MONITORING DEVICE. HOWEVER, FOR THE FIRST 30 DAYS AFTER
- 7 THE INSTALLATION OF AN UNMANNED TRAFFIC MONITORING DEVICE, A
- 8 PERSON SHALL BE ISSUED A WRITTEN WARNING ONLY. IT SHALL BE AN
- 9 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO A CHARGE OF VIOLATING SECTION 667 THAT THE
- 10 MECHANICAL WARNING DEVICES AT THE CROSSING WERE MALFUNCTIONING.
- 11 (3) A SWORN STATEMENT OF A POLICE OFFICER FROM THE STATE OR
- 12 LOCAL AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION OVER THE HIGHWAY OR STREET
- 13 UPON WHICH THE RAILROAD GRADE CROSSING DESCRIBED IN
- 14 SUBSECTION (1) IS LOCATED, BASED UPON INSPECTION OF PHOTOGRAPHS,
- 15 MICROPHOTOGRAPHS, VIDEOTAPE, OR OTHER RECORDED IMAGES PRODUCED BY
- 16 AN UNMANNED TRAFFIC MONITORING DEVICE, SHALL BE PRIMA FACIE EVI-
- 17 DENCE OF THE FACTS CONTAINED THEREIN. ANY PHOTOGRAPHS, MICROPHO-
- 18 TOGRAPHS, VIDEOTAPE, OR OTHER RECORDED IMAGES INDICATING SUCH A
- 19 VIOLATION SHALL BE AVAILABLE FOR INSPECTION IN ANY PROCEEDING TO
- 20 ADJUDICATE THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR A VIOLATION OF SECTION 667.
- 21 ANY PHOTOGRAPHS, VIDEOTAPE, OR DIGITAL IMAGES EVIDENCING SUCH A
- 22 VIOLATION SHALL BE DESTROYED 90 DAYS AFTER FINAL DISPOSITION OF
- 23 THE CITATION.
- 24 (4) IN A PROSECUTION FOR A VIOLATION OF SECTION 667 ESTAB-
- 25 LISHED BY AN UNMANNED TRAFFIC MONITORING DEVICE UNDER THIS SEC-
- 26 TION, PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE THAT THE VEHICLE DESCRIBED IN THE
- 27 CITATION ISSUED WAS OPERATED IN VIOLATION OF SECTION 667,

Senate Bill No. 859

- 1 TOGETHER WITH PROOF THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS AT THE TIME OF THE
- 2 VIOLATION THE REGISTERED OWNER OF THE VEHICLE, SHALL CONSTITUTE
- 3 IN EVIDENCE A REBUTTABLE PRESUMPTION THAT THE REGISTERED OWNER OF

3

- 4 THE VEHICLE WAS THE PERSON WHO COMMITTED THE VIOLATION. THE PRE-
- 5 SUMPTION MAY BE REBUTTED IF THE REGISTERED OWNER OF THE VEHICLE
- 6 FILES AN AFFIDAVIT BY REGULAR MAIL WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT
- 7 THAT HE OR SHE WAS NOT THE OPERATOR OF THE VEHICLE AT THE TIME OF
- 8 THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OR TESTIFIES IN OPEN COURT UNDER OATH THAT
- 9 HE OR SHE WAS NOT THE OPERATOR OF THE VEHICLE AT THE TIME OF THE
- 10 ALLEGED VIOLATION. THE PRESUMPTION ALSO MAY BE REBUTTED IF A
- 11 CERTIFIED COPY OF A POLICE REPORT, SHOWING THAT THE VEHICLE HAD
- 12 BEEN REPORTED TO THE POLICE AS STOLEN BEFORE THE TIME OF THE
- 13 ALLEGED VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION, IS PRESENTED BEFORE THE
- 14 APPEARANCE DATE ESTABLISHED ON THE CITATION. FOR PURPOSES OF
- 15 THIS SUBSECTION, THE OWNER OF A LEASED OR RENTAL VEHICLE SHALL
- 16 PROVIDE THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON TO WHOM THE VEHICLE
- 17 WAS LEASED OR RENTED AT THE TIME OF THE VIOLATION.
- 18 (5) NOTWITHSTANDING SECTION 742, A CITATION FOR A VIOLATION
- 19 OF SECTION 667 ON THE BASIS OF EVIDENCE OBTAINED FROM AN UNMANNED
- 20 TRAFFIC MONITORING DEVICE MAY BE EXECUTED BY MAILING BY
- 21 FIRST-CLASS MAIL A COPY TO THE ADDRESS OF THE OWNER OF THE VEHI-
- 22 CLE AS SHOWN ON THE RECORDS OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE. IF THE
- 23 SUMMONED PERSON FAILS TO APPEAR ON THE DATE OF RETURN SET OUT IN
- 24 THE CITATION PREVIOUSLY MAILED BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL PURSUANT TO
- 25 THIS SUBSECTION, A COPY SHALL BE SENT BY CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN
- 26 RECEIPT REQUESTED. IF THE SUMMONED PERSON FAILS TO APPEAR ON
- 27 EITHER OF THE DATES OF RETURN SET OUT IN THE COPIES OF THE

Senate Bill No. 859

- 1 CITATION MAILED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION, THE CITATION SHALL BE
- 2 EXECUTED IN THE MANNER PROVIDED BY LAW FOR PERSONAL SERVICE. THE
- 3 COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR THE ARREST OF A PERSON WHO FAILS TO
- 4 APPEAR WITHIN THE TIME LIMIT ESTABLISHED ON THE CITATION IF A
- 5 SWORN COMPLAINT IS FILED WITH THE COURT FOR THAT PURPOSE AS
- 6 REOUIRED FOR OTHER CIVIL INFRACTIONS UNDER SECTION 744.
- (6) IF THERE IS A FATALITY AT A RAILROAD GRADE CROSSING IN A
- 8 CITY WITH POPULATION OF 60,000 OR MORE, THE STATE TRANSPORTATION
- 9 DEPARTMENT SHALL UNDERTAKE A DIAGNOSTIC REVIEW. THE DIAGNOSTIC
- 10 REVIEW SHALL BE SCHEDULED WITHIN 120 DAYS. IF THE DIAGNOSTIC
- 11 REVIEW CONFIRMS THAT WARNING DEVICES SUCH AS FLASHING LIGHTS AND
- 12 GATES ARE NEEDED, THE STATE TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT SHALL ORDER
- 13 SUCH IMPROVEMENTS. THE COST FOR THE IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE
- 14 FINANCED CONSISTENT WITH THE FINANCING OF SIMILAR PROJECTS BY THE
- 15 STATE TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT ACCORDING TO ITS ANNUAL PRIORITI-
- 16 ZATION OF GRADE CROSSING SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS.