H.B. 5267 (S-1): FLOOR ANALYSIS

Senate Fiscal Agency P. O. Box 30036 Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536



Telephone: (517) 373-5383 Fax: (517) 373-1986 TDD: (517) 373-0543

House Bill 5267 (Substitute S-1 as reported) Sponsor: Representative Patricia Birkholz House Committee: Land Use and Environment

Senate Committee: Natural Resources and Environmental Affairs

## **CONTENT**

The bill would amend Public Act 285 of 1931, which provides for municipal planning commissions, to require a municipal planning commission to adopt a municipal plan that would address land use issues and could project 20 years or more into the future, under procedures described in the bill. The bill would do the following:

- -- Require a municipal planning commission, before preparing a plan, to notify various local entities, including the planning commission or legislative body of each township, city, or village located within or contiguous to the municipality, and the county planning commission or the county board of commissioners.
- -- Require the municipal planning commission to submit a proposed plan to the legislative body of the municipality for review and comment.
- -- Allow planning commissions and other entities 65 days to submit comments on the proposed plan to the municipal and county planning commissions.
- -- Require the county planning commission or board of commissioners to submit comments at least 75 days but not more than 95 days after the plan was submitted.
- -- Require the municipal planning commission to hold a public hearing before approving the plan.
- -- Provide that the planning commission's approval would be final unless the legislative body of the municipality asserted the right to approve or reject the plan.
- -- Require a municipal planning commission to review its plan at least every five years and determine whether to commence the procedure to amend the plan or adopt a new plan.
- -- Allow a municipal planning commission to meet with other governmental planning commissions to deliberate.

MCL 125.31 et al. Legislative Analyst: N. Nagata

## **FISCAL IMPACT**

The bill would have no direct fiscal impact on State revenues and minimal fiscal impact on local units. By potentially altering the future uses of property, the bill could affect future property values and thus affect both State and local revenues. The impact of such secondary effects is indeterminate.

Date Completed: 12-5-01 Fiscal Analyst: D. Zin