

Senate Fiscal Agency
P. O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536

SFA**BILL ANALYSIS**

Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986
TDD: (517) 373-0543

House Bill 5298 (as reported without amendment)
Sponsor: Representative James Koetje
House Committee: Criminal Justice
Senate Committee: Judiciary

CONTENT

The bill would amend the Code of Criminal Procedure to revise the conditions for a verdict or plea of guilty but mentally ill (GBMI). Under the bill, the defendant would have to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he or she was mentally ill, and would have to have failed to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that he or she met the standard for legal insanity. The bill would take effect on May 1, 2002.

Under the Code, if a defendant asserts a defense of insanity, he or she may be found GBMI if, after trial, the trier of fact (the jury or, in the absence of a jury, the judge) finds all of the following beyond a reasonable doubt: 1) the defendant is guilty of an offense; 2) the defendant was mentally ill at the time he or she committed the offense; and 3) the defendant was not legally insane at the time he or she committed the offense. The bill specifies, instead, that a defendant who asserted an insanity defense could be found GBMI if, after trial, the trier of fact found all of the following: 1) the defendant was guilty of an offense beyond a reasonable doubt; 2) the defendant had proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he or she was mentally ill at the time he or she committed the offense; and 3) the defendant had not established by a preponderance of the evidence that he or she lacked the substantial capacity either to appreciate the nature and quality or the wrongfulness of his or her conduct or to conform his or her conduct to the requirements of the law. (This is the standard for legal insanity.)

In addition, under the Code, if a defendant asserts an insanity defense and waives his or her right to trial, the judge, with the approval of the prosecuting attorney, may accept a plea of GBMI. The judge may not accept that plea, however, until he or she, with the defendant's consent, has examined the reports prepared in compliance with requirements for asserting an insanity defense, has held a hearing on the issue of the defendant's mental illness at which either party could present evidence, and is satisfied that the defendant was mentally ill at the time of the offense to which the plea is entered. Under the bill, the judge would have to be satisfied that the defendant had proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he or she was mentally ill at the time of the offense to which the plea was entered.

MCL 768.36

Legislative Analyst: Patrick Affholter

FISCAL IMPACT

The bill would have no fiscal impact on State or local government.

Date Completed: 4-11-02

Fiscal Analyst: Bill Bowerman