SUBSTITUTE FOR

HOUSE BILL NO. 5962

(As amended May 15, 2002)

A bill to amend 1969 PA 296, entitled

"An act to provide for the transfer of jurisdiction over high-ways; to provide for the final determination of disputes involving transfers of highway jurisdiction; and to supersede certain acts and parts of acts,"

by amending section 5 (MCL 247.855), as amended by 1980 PA 12, and by adding section 3a.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

- 1 SEC. 3A. (1) IN A COUNTY WITH A POPULATION OVER 1,000,000,
- 2 THE [COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS] MAY REQUEST THAT THE GOV-
- 3 ERNING BODY OF A CITY OR VILLAGE WITHIN THAT COUNTY TRANSFER
- 4 JURISDICTION OF A ROAD THAT WAS ONCE UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF
- 5 THE BOARD OF COUNTY ROAD COMMISSIONERS BACK TO THE BOARD OF
- 6 COUNTY ROAD COMMISSIONERS IF THE [COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSION-
- 7 ERS] MAKES ALL OF THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS:

HB5962, As Passed House, May 16, 2002

- Sub. HB 5962 (H-2) as amended May 15, 2002
 - 1 (A) THE ROAD HAS BEEN BLOCKED OR CLOSED FOR MORE THAN 6
 - 2 MONTHS AND THE CITY OR VILLAGE CANNOT DEMONSTRATE A COMPELLING
 - 3 NEED FOR BLOCKING OR CLOSING THE ROAD. THE FACT THAT A CITY OR
 - 4 VILLAGE HAS JURISDICTION OVER THE ROAD AT THE TIME OF THE REQUEST
 - 5 OF THE [COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS] DOES NOT DEMONSTRATE A
 - 6 COMPELLING NEED FOR BLOCKING OR CLOSING THE ROAD.
 - 7 (B) FOR PURPOSES OF HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE, THE ROAD
 - 8 SHOULD NOT BE BLOCKED.
- 9 (C) THE ROAD IS USED TO SERVE MORE THAN 1 CITY OR VILLAGE
- 10 WITHIN THE COUNTY.
- 11 (2) THE REQUEST OF THE [COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS]
- 12 FOR A TRANSFER OF JURISDICTION UNDER SUBSECTION (1) SHALL BE MADE
- 13 IN WRITING AND ADDRESSED TO THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OR
- 14 VILLAGE THAT HAS JURISDICTION OVER THE ROAD.
- 15 (3) IF WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER A WRITTEN REQUEST IS RECEIVED BY
- 16 A GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OR VILLAGE UNDER SUBSECTION (1) THE
- 17 GOVERNING BODY OF A CITY OR VILLAGE DOES NOT CONSENT TO TRANSFER
- 18 JURISDICTION OF THE ROAD OR DOES NOT DEMONSTRATE A COMPELLING
- 19 NEED FOR BLOCKING THE ROAD, THE [COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSION-
- 20 ERS] MAY INITIATE PROCEEDINGS TO TRANSFER JURISDICTION OF THE ROAD
- 21 BACK TO THE COUNTY BOARD OF ROAD COMMISSIONERS UNDER THIS ACT.
- 22 Sec. 5. (1) The business which THAT the board may perform
- 23 shall be conducted at a public meeting of the board held in com-
- 24 pliance with Act No. 267 of the Public Acts of 1976, as amended,
- 25 being sections 15.261 to 15.275 of the Michigan Compiled Laws
- 26 THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT, 1976 PA 267, MCL 15.261 TO 15.275. Public
- 27 notice of the time, date, and place of the meeting shall be given

HB5962, As Passed House, May 16, 2002

House Bill No. 5962 3

- 1 in the manner required by Act No. 267 of the Public Acts of
- 2 1976, as amended THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT, 1976 PA 267, MCL 15.261
- 3 TO 15.275. The chairperson of the board shall immediately fix a
- 4 date and place for convening the board to hear the testimony of
- 5 the parties to the proposed transfer of highway jurisdiction and
- 6 shall notify the parties. The date selected shall be not more
- 7 than 30 days after selection of the chairperson.
- 8 (2) The board shall convene on the date and at the place
- 9 fixed by the chairperson and shall hear testimony and receive
- 10 evidence from the parties to the proposed transfer of highway
- 11 jurisdiction, from local and regional planning bodies if appro-
- 12 priate and from other sources who desire to appear or present
- 13 testimony. The board may reconvene at times and places as deter-
- 14 mined by the chairperson, in compliance with Act No. 267 of the
- 15 Public Acts of 1976, as amended THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT, 1976
- **16** PA 267, MCL 15.261 TO 15.275. IN A PROCEEDING INITIATED UNDER
- 17 SECTION 3A, IF THE PARTY REQUESTING TRANSFER DEMONSTRATES TO THE
- 18 BOARD'S SATISFACTION THE FINDING REQUIRED UNDER SECTION 3A(1)(A),
- 19 THEN THE PARTY OPPOSING TRANSFER MAY REBUT THE DEMONSTRATION BY
- 20 REBUTTING THE DEMONSTRATION OF THE PARTY REQUESTING TRANSFER CON-
- 21 CERNING THE FINDING REQUIRED UNDER SECTION 3A(1)(A) AND BY DEMON-
- 22 STRATING TO THE BOARD'S SATISFACTION THAT THE FINDINGS REQUIRED
- 23 UNDER SECTION 3A(1)(B) AND (C) HAVE NOT BEEN SATISFIED. THE
- 24 BOARD SHALL RENDER A DECISION IN FAVOR OF THE PARTY REQUESTING
- 25 TRANSFER IF THE PARTY OPPOSING TRANSFER IS UNABLE TO REBUT THE
- 26 DEMONSTRATION OF THE PARTY REQUESTING TRANSFER UNDER SECTION
- 27 3A(1)(A) OR IS UNABLE TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THE FINDINGS REQUIRED

HB5962, As Passed House, May 16, 2002

House Bill No. 5962

- 1 UNDER SECTION 3A(1)(B) AND (C) HAVE NOT BEEN SATISFIED. Two
- 2 members shall constitute a quorum and the affirmative vote of 2
- 3 members is necessary for a final determination of the dispute.
- 4 If a member of the board becomes unable to perform the member's
- 5 duties as a board member, a new member shall be selected as pre-
- 6 scribed in this act.