



Senate Fiscal Agency
P. O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536



BILL ANALYSIS

Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986
TDD: (517) 373-0543

Senate Bill 111 (Substitute S-2 as passed by the Senate)
Sponsor: Senator Valde Garcia
Committee: Natural Resources and Environmental Affairs

Date Completed: 4-14-04

RATIONALE

Four of Michigan's State parks have areas designated for target-shooting purposes. Evidently, some State park visitors who were on the premises to picnic, hike, or pursue other activities have complained that the noise from the shooting range disrupted their activities and indicated that they would not have visited the park had they known the range was in use that day. Some people believe that, if signs were posted at the entrances to the parks' public areas on days when the shooting range was in use, visitors who would be disturbed by the noise could find another location before entering the parks. Currently, the State parks have no policy of posting signs on days when a shooting range will be in use.

CONTENT

The bill would amend Part 741 (State Parks System) of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act to require the Department of Natural Resources, on each day a State park containing a designated shooting range was open to visitors, to post a notice at the entrance to the recreational areas of the park, stating the hours of operation of the shooting range on that day. The notice would have to be posted in a visible location and be of a sufficient type size to be read easily by park visitors.

Proposed MCL 324.74103a

ARGUMENTS

(Please note: The arguments contained in this analysis originate from sources outside the Senate Fiscal Agency. The Senate Fiscal Agency neither supports nor opposes legislation.)

Supporting Argument

The bill would ensure that there would be some sort of notice posted in the public areas of Michigan's State parks that have shooting ranges, stating that the range would be in use on a particular day. Park-goers then could use other facilities if the noise would disturb them.

Legislative Analyst: J.P. Finet

FISCAL IMPACT

The bill would have no fiscal impact on State or local government.

Fiscal Analyst: Jessica Runnels

A0304\S111a

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.