



Senate Fiscal Agency
P. O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536



BILL ANALYSIS

Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986
TDD: (517) 373-0543

Senate Bill 1252 (as reported without amendment)
Sponsor: Senator Michael Switalski
Committee: Judiciary

CONTENT

The bill would amend the Revised Judicature Act to authorize the Supreme Court to increase the payment due to circuit court reporters or recorders for transcripts ordered and timely filed for appeals of certain civil cases.

The Act provides that circuit court reporters or recorders are entitled to \$1.75 per original page and 30 cents per page for each copy of a transcript ordered by any person, unless a lower rate is agreed upon. For a transcript ordered by the circuit judge, reporters and recorders are entitled to receive the same compensation from the county.

The bill would add that the Supreme Court, by administrative order or court rule, could authorize the payment to circuit court reporters or recorders of \$3 per original page and 50 cents for each copy for transcripts ordered and timely filed as part of a program of differentiated case management for appeals of civil cases in which circuit courts either granted or denied summary disposition. If a transcript ordered under such a program were not timely filed, the reporter or recorder would not be entitled to receive the increased rate for that transcript.

(Administrative Order 2002-5 of the Michigan Supreme Court states, "The Court of Appeals is engaged in a delay-reduction initiative, with the goal of disposing of 95 percent of its cases within 18 months of filing...". The Order authorizes the Court of Appeals to give precedence on the session calendar to any appeals that the Court of Appeals determines are appropriate for differentiated case management.)

MCL 600.2543

Legislative Analyst: Patrick Affholter

FISCAL IMPACT

Civil litigants would incur the additional costs of increased transcript fees under the circumstances specified in the bill, so there would be no cost to either the State or local court funding units, unless either was a party in an applicable case. To the extent that it would promote timely filing and the success of case management programs, the bill could provide for administrative efficiencies and related savings for the Judiciary.

Date Completed: 6-30-04

Fiscal Analyst: Bethany Wicksall