Senate Fiscal Agency P. O. Box 30036 Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536 Telephone: (517) 373-5383 Fax: (517) 373-1986 TDD: (517) 373-0543

H.B. 4332: FLOOR ANALYSIS

House Bill 4332 (as reported without amendment)

Sponsor: Representative Scott Shackleton

House Committee: Senior Health, Security and Retirement

Senate Committee: Families and Human Services

## CONTENT

The bill would amend the Fire Fighters and Police Officers Retirement Act to prohibit a municipality from denying duty death pension benefits to the remarried surviving spouse of a fire fighter or police officer. Currently, a surviving spouse of an officer whose death is service-connected receives a duty death pension that is to continue for the rest of the surviving spouse's life or until his or her remarriage.

The Act permits a municipality to adopt a resolution that remarriage does not render a surviving spouse ineligible to receive a duty death or non-duty death pension (which is payable to the surviving spouse of an officer who attained 20 years of service but died before retiring and did not designate a survivor option). If a municipality adopts the resolution and a surviving spouse's pension was terminated due to his or her remarriage, the surviving spouse must file a written application for reinstatement of the pension with the retirement board. Beginning on the bill's effective date, to the extent that these provisions apply to a surviving spouse who is eligible for a *non*-duty death pension, the provisions would apply to a municipality upon its governing body's approval of the resolution.

A surviving spouse who was eligible for a *duty* death pension and who remarried after the bill's effective date could not be denied the pension because of the remarriage.

The bill also would delete a provision that, in a city completely surrounded by a city of at least 80,000 whose governing body elected to be included under the provisions of the Act, a non-duty death pension must be paid to the surviving spouse of a member who attains 15 years of service and dies while in the service of the city before retiring.

MCL 35.556-38.556b Legislative Analyst: Julie Koval

## **FISCAL IMPACT**

The bill would have no fiscal impact on State or local government.

Date Completed: 4-24-03 Fiscal Analyst: Joe Carrasco