Legislative Analysis



Mitchell Bean, Director Phone: (517) 373-8080 http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa

SUMMER TAX DEFERMENT: INCOME THRESHOLD

Senate Bill 348 (Substitute S-1) Sponsor: Sen. Nancy Cassis House Committee: Tax Policy Senate Committee: Finance

Complete to 6-29-05

A SUMMARY OF SENATE BILL 348 AS PASSED BY THE SENATE 5-4-05

The General Property Tax Act requires local tax collecting units that collect a summer property tax to defer the collection, at the taxpayer's request, until the following February15 for the principal residence (formerly known as homestead property) of certain taxpayers with a household income of \$35,000 or less. To be eligible for the deferment, the taxpayer must be totally and permanently disabled; blind; paraplegic; quadriplegic; or an eligible serviceperson or an eligible veteran (or be the widow or widower of such a person). The deferment is also available to taxpayers who are at least 62 years of age or the unremarried surviving spouse of a person who was at least 62 years of age at the time of death. The taxpayer must file a claim with the local treasurer by September 15 or the date the taxes are due, whichever is later.

<u>Senate Bill 348</u> would eliminate the income requirement for taxpayers listed above, except for taxpayers who are at least 62 years of age or the unremarried surviving spouse of a person who was at least 62 years of age at the time of death. For those taxpayers, the income threshold would increase to \$37,500 for the 2006 tax year and to \$40,000 for the 2007 tax year and beyond.

Summer tax deferments were first made available in 1976 through the enactment of Public Act 294 of 1975, which initially established a household income threshold of \$10,000. The threshold has since been increased on three previous occasions. Public Act 205 of 1984 increased the threshold to \$20,000, Public Act 97 of 1992 increased the threshold to \$25,000 and, most recently, Public Act 24 of 2005 increased the threshold to the current level of \$35,000. The Senate-passed version of the bill does not reflect changes made to the act by Public Act 24 of 2005. A substitute bill should be expected.

FISCAL IMPACT:

A fiscal review is in progress.

Legislative Analyst: Mark Wolf Fiscal Analyst: Becky Ross

[■] This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.