Legislative Analysis



Mitchell Bean, Director Phone: (517) 373-8080 http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa

NO LOCAL CONSENT NEEDED FOR PIPELINES, ETC. ON LIMITED ACCESS HIGHWAY RIGHTS-OF-WAY

Senate Bill 522

Sponsor: Sen. Michelle A. McManus

House Committee: Energy and Technology

Senate Committee: Transportation

Complete to 6-13-05

A SUMMARY OF SENATE BILL 522 AS PASSED BY THE SENATE 6-8-05

The bill would amend Public Act 368 of 1925 to allow certain utilities to construct and maintain utility lines and structures, including pipelines, longitudinally within limited access highway rights-of-way and under any public road, street, or other subsurface that intersects any limited access highway at a different grade, without the consent of the governing body of the city, village, or township.

The utility would have to comply with standards approved by the State Transportation Commission and the Michigan Public Service Commission that conform to federal laws and regulations.

The bill cites the definition of "utility" in the federal Code of Federal Regulations [CFR 645.105(m)], which refers to a privately, publicly, or cooperatively owned line, facility or system for producing, transmitting, or distributing communications, cable television, power, electricity, light, heat, gas, oil, crude products, water, steam, waste, storm water not connected with highway drainage, or any other similar commodity, including any fire or police signal system or street lighting system, which directly or indirectly serves the public. The term also includes any wholly owned or controlled subsidiary of the utility.

MCL 247.183

FISCAL IMPACT:

There is potential, but indeterminate, additional litigation cost for both the State of Michigan and various local units of government whenever the local unit of government opposes the construction of new pipelines, or other utility lines and structures. According to the Lansing State Journal, the City of Lansing has spent about \$162,000 in legal fees over a three-year period to prevent the Wolverine Pipeline Co. from constructing an oil pipeline along four miles of I-96 within its borders. The city contends that the proposed pipeline would endanger the water supply and residents' safety, and both the Michigan Court of Appeals and the Michigan Supreme Court have upheld Lansing's denial of pipeline construction approval. There may be other costs accruing to either the State of

