Legislative Analysis



Mitchell Bean, Director Phone: (517) 373-8080 http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa

DIFFERENTIAL PAY FOR MILITARY SERVICE

House Bill 4117

Sponsor: Rep. John Proos IV

Committee: Local Government and Urban Policy

Complete to 2-7-05

A SUMMARY OF HOUSE BILL 4117 AS INTRODUCED 2-1-05

House Bill 4117 would amend Public Act 133 of 1955 (MCL 32.271 et al) which provides for the granting of military leave and re-employment protection for officers and enlisted personnel, to allow a local unit of government to establish a differential pay program for employees who request or are required to take a leave of absence to enter into active military service.

The bill would define "differential pay program" to mean a program through which the local unit of government agrees to pay during the leave of absence all or a part of the difference between the amount the employee is paid by the local unit of government and the amount the employee receives through military service.

The bill specifies that a local unit of government (a city, village, township, or county) can provide, by ordinance or resolution or through a personnel policy, for a differential pay program, or for a specific number of paid days of leave, for those employees of the government who take a leave of absence to enter into active military service.

<u>Definitions</u>. The bill would define "active military service" to mean 1) active service in the Michigan National Guard or Michigan Defense Force as an officer or enlisted person, or both; 2) federal service in response to a call or order of the President in time of declared national emergency when such service is not voluntary; and 3) voluntary active duty in the service of the United States by members of the state military establishment, not in response to a call or order of the President in time of declared national emergency, but only when such duty is in support of a National Guard mission. Any fractional part of a year amounting to six months or more would be counted as a complete year. Active service is terminated by an honorable separation from the Michigan National Guard or Defense Force.

FISCAL IMPACT:

There would be no state revenue impact. The cost to local units would depend on how they chose to implement the program.

Legislative Analyst: J. Hunault Fiscal Analyst: Jim Stansell

[■] This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.