HOUSE BILL No. 6368

August 30, 2006, Introduced by Reps. Angerer, Wojno, Clemente, Sak, Spade, Vagnozzi, Miller, Leland, Cushingberry, Bieda, Alma Smith, Lemmons, Jr., Bennett and Cheeks and referred to the Committee on Transportation.

A bill to amend 1949 PA 300, entitled "Michigan vehicle code,"

by amending section 667a (MCL 257.667a), as amended by 2002 PA 534.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

- 1 Sec. 667a. (1) The department of state police or the state
- 2 transportation department; the county board of commissioners, board
- 3 of county road commissioners, or county sheriff; or other local
- 4 authority having jurisdiction over a highway or street may
- 5 authorize the installation and use of unmanned traffic monitoring
- 6 devices at a railroad grade crossing with flashing signals and
- 7 gates on a highway or street under their respective jurisdictions.
- 8 Each device shall be sufficiently marked or identified or a sign
- shall be placed at the approach to the crossing indicating that the

07079'06 TVD

HOUSE BILL No. 6368

- 1 crossing is monitored by an unmanned traffic monitoring device.
- 2 (2) Beginning 31 days after the installation of an unmanned
- 3 traffic monitoring device at a railroad grade crossing described in
- 4 subsection (1), a person is responsible for a civil infraction as
- 5 provided in section 667 if the person violates a provision of that
- 6 section on the basis of evidence obtained from an unmanned traffic
- 7 monitoring device. However, for the first 30 days after the
- 8 installation of an unmanned traffic monitoring device, a person
- 9 shall be issued a written warning only. It -shall be- IS an
- 10 affirmative defense to a charge of violating section 667 that the
- 11 mechanical warning devices at the crossing were malfunctioning.
- 12 (3) A sworn statement of a police officer from the state or
- 13 local authority having jurisdiction over the highway or street upon
- 14 which the railroad grade crossing described in subsection (1) is
- 15 located, based upon inspection of photographs, microphotographs,
- 16 videotape, or other recorded images produced by an unmanned traffic
- 17 monitoring device, -shall be IS prima facie evidence of the facts
- 18 contained therein. Any photographs, microphotographs, videotape, or
- 19 other recorded images indicating such a violation shall be
- 20 available for inspection in any proceeding to adjudicate the
- 21 responsibility for a violation of section 667. Any photographs,
- 22 videotape, or digital images <u>evidencing such a</u> **OF THE** violation
- 23 shall be destroyed 90 days after final disposition of the citation.
- 24 (4) In a prosecution for a violation of section 667
- 25 established by an unmanned traffic monitoring device under this
- 26 section, prima facie evidence that the vehicle described in the
- 27 citation issued was operated in violation of section 667, together

07079'06 TVD

- 1 with proof that the defendant was at the time of the violation the
- 2 registered owner of the vehicle, shall constitute in evidence a
- 3 rebuttable presumption that the registered owner of the vehicle was
- 4 the person who committed the violation. The presumption is rebutted
- 5 if the registered owner of the vehicle files an affidavit by
- 6 regular mail with the clerk of the court that he or she was not the
- 7 operator of the vehicle at the time of the alleged violation or
- 8 testifies in open court under oath that he or she was not the
- 9 operator of the vehicle at the time of the alleged violation. The
- 10 presumption also is rebutted if a certified copy of a police
- 11 report, showing that the vehicle had been reported to the police as
- 12 stolen before the time of the alleged violation of this section, is
- 13 presented before the appearance date established on the citation.
- 14 For purposes of this subsection, the owner of a leased or rental
- 15 vehicle shall provide the name and address of the person to whom
- 16 the vehicle was leased or rented at the time of the violation.
- 17 (5) Notwithstanding section 742, a citation for a violation of
- 18 section 667 on the basis of evidence obtained from an unmanned
- 19 traffic monitoring device may be executed by mailing by first-class
- 20 mail a copy to the address of the owner of the vehicle as shown on
- 21 the records of the secretary of state. If the summoned person fails
- 22 to appear on the date of return set out in the citation previously
- 23 mailed by first-class mail pursuant to UNDER this subsection, a
- 24 copy shall be sent by certified mail-return receipt requested. If
- 25 the summoned person fails to appear on either of the dates of
- 26 return set out in the copies of the citation mailed -pursuant to
- 27 UNDER this section, the citation shall be executed in the manner

07079'06 TVD

- 1 provided by law for personal service. The court may issue a warrant
- 2 for the arrest of a person who fails to appear within the time
- 3 limit established on the citation if a sworn complaint is filed
- 4 with the court for that purpose.
- 5 (6) If there is a fatality RESULTING FROM A TRAIN-VEHICLE
- 6 CRASH at a PUBLIC railroad grade crossing, -in a city, village, or
- 7 township with population of 60,000 or more, or in a county with
- 8 population of 150,000 or more, the state transportation department
- 9 shall -undertake CONVENE a diagnostic STUDY TEAM review, if there
- 10 has not been a diagnostic STUDY TEAM review at the crossing in the
- 11 last 2 years. HOWEVER, A DIAGNOSTIC STUDY TEAM REVIEW IS NOT
- 12 REQUIRED IF THE INITIAL LAW ENFORCEMENT INVESTIGATION OF THE
- 13 FATALITY INDICATES THAT THE MOTORIST'S CONSUMPTION OF ALCOHOL OR A
- 14 CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE OR HIS OR HER DISREGARD OF AN EXISTING TRAFFIC
- 15 CONTROL DEVICE CONVEYING A "STOP" MESSAGE CONTRIBUTED TO THE
- 16 FATALITY, OR THAT THE FATALITY WAS A SUICIDE. The diagnostic STUDY
- 17 TEAM review shall be -scheduled CONDUCTED within 120 days AFTER
- 18 THE STATE TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT IS MADE AWARE OF THE FATALITY.
- 19 If the diagnostic STUDY TEAM review —confirms— REACHES CONSENSUS
- 20 that warning -devices such as flashing lights and gates DEVICE
- 21 ENHANCEMENTS are needed, the state transportation department shall
- 22 order -such THOSE improvements. The cost for the improvements
- 23 shall be financed consistent with the financing of similar projects
- 24 by the state transportation department according to its annual
- 25 prioritization of grade crossing safety improvements.