8

1

## **HOUSE BILL No. 6577**

September 20, 2006, Introduced by Reps. Pastor, Sheen, Palmer, Gosselin, Acciavatti, Marleau, LaJoy, Moore, Moolenaar, Brandenburg, Shaffer, Amos, Elsenheimer, Booher, Casperson, Gillard, Baxter, Huizenga, Stakoe, Hoogendyk, Pavlov, Hune, Taub, Stahl and Vander Veen and referred to the Committee on Natural Resources, Great Lakes, Land Use, and Environment.

A bill to amend 1976 PA 399, entitled "Safe drinking water act,"

by amending sections 4 and 21 (MCL 325.1004 and 325.1021), section 4 as amended by 2006 PA 37, and by adding section 4a.

## THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

- Sec. 4. (1) A supplier of water shall file with the department 2 the plans and specifications of the entire waterworks system owned 3 or operated by the supplier, unless the department determines that its existing records are adequate. A general plan of the waterworks 5 system for each public water supply shall be provided to the 6 department by a supplier of water and shall be updated as determined necessary by the department. 7
  - (2) Upon receipt of the plans and specifications for a proposed waterworks system, the department shall evaluate the

- 1 adequacy of the proposed system to protect the public health by
- 2 supplying water meeting the state drinking water standards and, if
- 3 applicable, shall evaluate the impact of the proposed system as
- 4 provided in subsections (3) and (4). The department shall also
- 5 conduct a capacity assessment for a proposed community supply or
- 6 nontransient noncommunity water supply and determine if the system
- 7 has the technical, financial, and managerial capacity to meet all
- 8 requirements of this act and the rules promulgated under this act,
- 9 on the date of commencement of operations. If upon evaluation the
- 10 department determines the plans and specifications to be inadequate
- 11 or the capacity assessment shows the system to be inadequate, the
- 12 department may return the plans and specifications to the applicant
- 13 and require additions or modifications as may be appropriate. The
- 14 department may reject plans and specifications for a waterworks
- 15 system that will not satisfactorily provide for the protection of
- 16 the public health or, if applicable, will not meet the standards
- 17 provided in subsections (3) and (4). The department may deny a
- 18 permit for construction of a proposed community supply or a
- 19 nontransient noncommunity water supply if the capacity assessment
- 20 shows that the proposed system does not have adequate technical,
- 21 financial, or managerial capacity to meet the requirements of this
- 22 act and the rules promulgated under this act.
- 23 (3) The department may evaluate the impact of a proposed
- 24 waterworks system for a community supply owned by a political
- 25 subdivision that will do any of the following:
- 26 (a) Provide new total designed withdrawal capacity of more
- 27 than 2,000,000 gallons of water per day from a source of water

- 1 other than the Great Lakes and their connecting waterways.
- 2 (b) Provide an increased total designed withdrawal capacity of
- 3 more than 2,000,000 gallons of water per day from a source of water
- 4 other than the Great Lakes and their connecting waterways beyond
- 5 the system's total designed withdrawal capacity.
- 6 (c) Provide new total designed withdrawal capacity of more
- 7 than 5,000,000 gallons of water per day from the Great Lakes and
- 8 their connecting waterways.
- 9 (d) Provide an increased total designed withdrawal capacity of
- 10 more than 5,000,000 gallons of water per day from the Great Lakes
- 11 and their connecting waterways beyond the system's total designed
- 12 withdrawal capacity.
- 13 (4) The department shall reject the plans and specifications
- 14 for a proposed waterworks system evaluated under subsection (3) if
- 15 it determines that the proposed system will not meet the applicable
- 16 standard provided in section 32723(5) or (6) of the natural
- 17 resources and environmental protection act, 1994 PA 451, MCL
- 18 324.32723, unless both of the following conditions are met:
- 19 (a) The department determines that there is no feasible and
- 20 prudent alternative location for the withdrawal.
- 21 (b) The department includes in the approval conditions related
- 22 to depth, pumping capacity, rate of flow, and ultimate use that
- 23 ensure that the environmental impact of the withdrawal is balanced
- 24 by the public benefit of the withdrawal related to public health,
- 25 safety, and welfare.
- 26 (5) Before commencing the construction of a waterworks system
- 27 or an alteration, addition, or improvement to a system, a supplier

- 1 of water shall submit the plans and specifications for the
- 2 improvements to the department and secure from the department a
- 3 permit for construction as provided by rule. Plans and
- 4 specifications submitted to the department shall be prepared by a
- 5 professional engineer licensed under article 20 of the occupational
- 6 code, 1980 PA 299, MCL 339.2001 to 339.2014. A contractor, builder,
- 7 or supplier of water shall not engage in or begin the construction
- 8 of a waterworks system or an alteration, addition, or improvement
- 9 to a waterworks system until a valid permit for the construction
- 10 has been secured from the department. A contractor, builder, or
- 11 supplier of water who permits or allows construction to proceed
- 12 without a valid permit, or in a manner not in accordance with the
- 13 plans and specifications approved by the department, violates this
- 14 act. A supplier of water shall not issue a voucher or check or in
- 15 any other way expend money or provide consideration for
- 16 construction of a waterworks system unless a valid permit issued by
- 17 the department is in effect. A SUPPLIER MAY REQUEST AN EXPEDITED
- 18 PERMIT APPLICATION REVIEW AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 4A.
- 19 (6) The department may deny a permit for construction of a
- 20 waterworks system or an alteration, addition, or improvement to a
- 21 waterworks system if the most recent capacity assessment shows that
- 22 the waterworks system does not have adequate technical, financial,
- 23 or managerial capacity to meet the requirements of this act and the
- 24 rules promulgated under this act, and the deficiencies identified
- 25 in that capacity assessment remain uncorrected, unless the proposed
- 26 construction will remedy the deficiencies.
- 27 (7) A PERSON SHALL OBTAIN WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM THE DEPARTMENT

- 1 FOR ALL MODIFICATIONS TO A WATERWORKS SYSTEM EXCEPT FOR A MINOR
- 2 MODIFICATION. THE DEPARTMENT MAY VERBALLY APPROVE MINOR
- 3 MODIFICATIONS OF A CONSTRUCTION PERMIT ISSUED BY THE DEPARTMENT
- 4 BECAUSE OF UNFORESEEN SITE CONDITIONS THAT BECOME APPARENT DURING
- 5 CONSTRUCTION. MINOR MODIFICATIONS INCLUDE, BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO,
- 6 EXTENDING A HYDRANT LEAD OR ROUTING A WATER MAIN AROUND A MANHOLE.
- 7 A PERSON MAKING A REQUEST FOR A MODIFICATION SHALL PROVIDE TO THE
- 8 DEPARTMENT ALL RELEVANT INFORMATION REQUIRED UNDER THIS SECTION AND
- 9 THE APPLICATION FORM PROVIDED BY THE DEPARTMENT RELATED TO THE
- 10 MODIFICATION. A PERSON RECEIVING A WRITTEN OR VERBAL APPROVAL FROM
- 11 THE DEPARTMENT SHALL SUBMIT REVISED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS TO THE
- 12 DEPARTMENT WITHIN 10 DAYS FROM THE DATE OF APPROVAL.
- 13 (8) IF A PERSON SEEKS CONFIRMATION OF THE DEPARTMENT'S VERBAL
- 14 APPROVAL OF A MINOR MODIFICATION UNDER SUBSECTION (7), THE PERSON
- 15 SHALL NOTIFY THE DEPARTMENT ELECTRONICALLY, AT AN ADDRESS SPECIFIED
- 16 BY THE DEPARTMENT, WITH A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE REQUEST FOR
- 17 THE MODIFICATION. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL MAKE REASONABLE EFFORTS TO
- 18 RESPOND WITHIN 2 BUSINESS DAYS, CONFIRMING WHETHER THE REQUEST HAS
- 19 BEEN APPROVED OR NOT APPROVED. IF THE DEPARTMENT HAS NOT RESPONDED
- 20 WITHIN 2 BUSINESS DAYS AFTER THE DEPARTMENT RECEIVES THE DETAILED
- 21 DESCRIPTION, THE VERBAL APPROVAL SHALL BE CONSIDERED CONFIRMED.
- 22 SEC. 4A. (1) AN EXPEDITED PERMIT APPLICATION REVIEW PROCESS IS
- 23 AVAILABLE FROM OCTOBER 1, 2006 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 FOR
- 24 PROJECTS DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (6). A SUPPLIER PLANNING TO
- 25 REQUEST AN EXPEDITED PERMIT APPLICATION REVIEW SHALL NOTIFY THE
- 26 DEPARTMENT ELECTRONICALLY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INSTRUCTIONS
- 27 PROVIDED ON THE DEPARTMENT'S WEBSITE, AT LEAST 2 WEEKS PRIOR TO

- 1 SUBMITTING A REQUEST FOR AN EXPEDITED PERMIT APPLICATION REVIEW.
- 2 THE SUPPLIER SHALL SUBMIT THE REQUEST FOR AN EXPEDITED PERMIT
- 3 APPLICATION REVIEW ELECTRONICALLY AND SHALL INCLUDE THE APPROPRIATE
- 4 FEE AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (2). CREDIT CARD PAYMENT OF THE
- 5 APPROPRIATE FEE IS REQUIRED WITH THE ELECTRONIC FILING OF THE
- 6 APPLICATION. THE SUPPLIER SHALL SUBMIT A WRITTEN COPY OF THE
- 7 CONSTRUCTION PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE PROJECT TO THE
- 8 DEPARTMENT POSTMARKED NO LATER THAN THE DATE THAT THE APPLICATION
- 9 WAS SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY.
- 10 (2) THE EXPEDITED PERMIT APPLICATION REVIEW FEE SHALL BE AS
- 11 FOLLOWS:
- 12 (A) WATER MAIN PROJECTS WITH TOTAL LENGTHS LESS THAN 1,000
- 13 FEET, \$1,000.00.
- 14 (B) WATER MAIN PROJECTS WITH TOTAL LENGTHS GREATER THAN OR
- 15 EQUAL TO 1,000 FEET AND LESS THAN 3,000 FEET, \$1,500.00.
- 16 (C) WATER MAIN PROJECTS OF TOTAL LENGTH GREATER THAN 3,000
- 17 FEET AND LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO 10,000 FEET, \$2,000.00.
- 18 (3) EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (5), IF AN
- 19 APPLICANT DOES NOT SUBMIT A COMPLETE APPLICATION AND THE
- 20 APPROPRIATE FEE UNDER SUBSECTION (2), OR IF THE NOTIFICATION
- 21 REQUIRED IN SUBSECTION (1) IS NOT MADE, THE DEPARTMENT SHALL NOT
- 22 CONDUCT AN EXPEDITED REVIEW AND THE FEE SHALL NOT BE REFUNDED.
- 23 WITHIN 10 BUSINESS DAYS OF RECEIPT OF THE APPLICATION, THE
- 24 DEPARTMENT SHALL NOTIFY THE SUPPLIER OF THE REASONS WHY THE
- 25 APPLICATION WILL NOT BE EXPEDITED. THE SUPPLIER MAY CORRECT THE
- 26 DEFICIENCIES AND SUBMIT A NEW REQUEST FOR AN EXPEDITED PERMIT
- 27 APPLICATION REVIEW WITH THE APPROPRIATE FEE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS

- 1 SECTION. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL NOT REJECT A RESUBMITTED APPLICATION
- 2 SOLELY BECAUSE THE DEPARTMENT FAILED TO IDENTIFY THE DEFICIENCIES
- 3 IN THE ORIGINAL APPLICATION.
- 4 (4) FOR A SECOND SUBMISSION OF AN APPLICATION THAT ORIGINALLY
- 5 FAILED TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED IN SUBSECTION (3), THE
- 6 APPLICANT SHALL INCLUDE A FEE EQUAL TO 10% OF THE FEE SPECIFIED IN
- 7 SUBSECTION (2). IF THE APPLICANT MAKES ADDITIONAL CHANGES OTHER
- 8 THAN THOSE ITEMS IDENTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT AS BEING DEFICIENT,
- 9 THE APPLICANT SHALL INCLUDE THE FEE SPECIFIED IN SUBSECTION (2).
- 10 FOR EACH SUBSEQUENT SUBMITTAL OF AN APPLICATION THAT FAILS TO MEET
- 11 THE REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED IN SUBSECTION (3), THE APPLICANT SHALL
- 12 INCLUDE THE FEE SPECIFIED IN SUBSECTION (2).
- 13 (5) IF AN APPLICANT FAILS TO PROVIDE A COMPLETE APPLICATION OR
- 14 THE APPROPRIATE FEE REQUIRED UNDER THIS SECTION, THE DEPARTMENT
- 15 SHALL NOTIFY THE APPLICANT WITHIN 5 BUSINESS DAYS. THE APPLICATION
- 16 SHALL NOT BE PROCESSED UNTIL THE DEFICIENT ITEMS ARE ADDRESSED. IF
- 17 THE APPLICANT DOES NOT PROVIDE THE DEFICIENT ITEMS WITHIN 5
- 18 BUSINESS DAYS AFTER NOTIFICATION BY THE DEPARTMENT, THE APPLICATION
- 19 SHALL BE HANDLED AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (3). THE FEE FOR AN
- 20 EXPEDITED REVIEW REQUIRED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE REFUNDED IF
- 21 THE DEPARTMENT FAILS TO MEET THE DEADLINES ESTABLISHED IN
- 22 SUBSECTION (7).
- 23 (6) A REQUEST FOR AN EXPEDITED PERMIT APPLICATION REVIEW IS
- 24 LIMITED TO PROJECTS WHICH CONSIST SOLELY OF INSTALLATION OF NEW
- 25 WATER MAINS OF LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO 10,000 FEET LOCATED IN A
- 26 COUNTY WITH A POPULATION OF BETWEEN 750,000 AND 1,000,000 AND ANY
- 27 CONTIGUOUS COUNTY WITH A POPULATION OF GREATER THAN 160,000.

- 1 EXPEDITED PERMIT APPLICATION REVIEWS ARE NOT ALLOWED FOR OTHER
- 2 PROJECTS REQUIRING A PERMIT UNDER THIS ACT INCLUDING, BUT NOT
- 3 LIMITED TO, PROJECTS INVOLVING WATER TREATMENT PROCESSES, GROUND OR
- 4 ELEVATED STORAGE TANKS, CHEMICAL FEED SYSTEMS, WELLS, BOOSTER
- 5 STATIONS, PUMPS, NEW PROPOSED WATERWORKS SYSTEMS SUBJECT TO A
- 6 CAPACITY ASSESSMENT, OR PROJECTS FUNDED UNDER THE STATE DRINKING
- 7 WATER REVOLVING FUND ESTABLISHED UNDER SECTION 16B OF THE SHARED
- 8 CREDIT RATING ACT, 1985 PA 227, MCL 141.1066B.
- 9 (7) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL REVIEW AND MAKE A DECISION ON A
- 10 COMPLETE APPLICATION SUBMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH A REQUEST FOR
- 11 EXPEDITED PERMIT APPLICATION REVIEW PURSUANT TO THE FOLLOWING
- 12 SCHEDULE:
- 13 (A) FROM OCTOBER 1, 2006 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2007, THE
- 14 DEPARTMENT SHALL MAKE A PERMIT DECISION WITHIN 20 BUSINESS DAYS OF
- 15 RECEIPT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COMPLETE APPLICATION, INCLUDING
- 16 PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- 17 (B) FROM OCTOBER 1, 2007 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2008, THE
- 18 DEPARTMENT SHALL MAKE A PERMIT DECISION WITHIN 15 BUSINESS DAYS OF
- 19 RECEIPT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COMPLETE APPLICATION, INCLUDING
- 20 PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- 21 (C) FROM OCTOBER 1, 2008 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2009, THE
- 22 DEPARTMENT SHALL MAKE A PERMIT DECISION WITHIN 10 BUSINESS DAYS OF
- 23 RECEIPT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COMPLETE APPLICATION, INCLUDING
- 24 PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- 25 (8) IF THE DEPARTMENT FAILS TO MEET THE DEADLINES SPECIFIED IN
- 26 SUBSECTION (7), THE DEPARTMENT SHALL CONTINUE TO EXPEDITE THE
- 27 APPLICATION REVIEW PROCESS FOR AN APPLICATION SUBMITTED UNDER THIS

- 1 SECTION.
- 2 (9) EXPEDITED PERMIT APPLICATION REVIEW FEES SHALL BE
- 3 DEPOSITED INTO THE INFRASTRUCTURE CONSTRUCTION FUND CREATED IN
- 4 SECTION 4113 OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
- 5 ACT, 1994 PA 451, MCL 324.4113.
- 6 (10) AS USED IN THIS SECTION:
- 7 (A) "COMPLETE APPLICATION" MEANS THE APPLICATION FORM PROVIDED
- 8 BY THE DEPARTMENT IS COMPLETED, ALL REQUESTED INFORMATION IS
- 9 PROVIDED, THE SUBMITTED CONSTRUCTION PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE
- 10 PREPARED AND SEALED BY A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER LICENSED UNDER
- 11 ARTICLE 20 OF THE OCCUPATIONAL CODE, 1980 PA 299, MCL 339.2001 TO
- 12 339.2014, AND THE APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION PLANS AND
- 13 SPECIFICATIONS CAN BE PROCESSED FOR ISSUANCE OF THE PERMIT WITHOUT
- 14 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. THE DEPARTMENT MAY CONSIDER AN APPLICATION
- 15 WITH MINOR DESIGN ISSUES COMPLETE ON CONDITION THAT THOSE ISSUES
- 16 ARE CORRECTED.
- 17 (B) "PROJECT" MEANS A PLAN OR PROPOSAL TO INSTALL NEW WATER
- 18 MAINS WITHIN A WATERWORKS SYSTEM LOCATED IN 1 GENERAL AREA WHERE
- 19 ALL THE COMPONENTS ARE INTERCONNECTED BUT DOES NOT INCLUDE A
- 20 WATERWORKS SYSTEM PROPOSED FOR CONSTRUCTION IN SEPARATE PARCELS OF
- 21 LAND OR DEVELOPMENT AREAS.
- 22 Sec. 21. (1) A person who KNOWINGLY violates this act or the
- 23 rules promulgated <u>hereunder</u> UNDER THIS ACT or an order issued
- 24 pursuant to this act is guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be
- 25 punished PUNISHABLE by a fine of not more than \$5,000.00 for each
- 26 day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than 1 year, or
- 27 both.

- 1 (2) A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER MAY ISSUE AND SERVE AN
- 2 APPEARANCE TICKET UPON A PERSON FOR A MINOR OFFENSE PURSUANT TO
- 3 SECTIONS 9A AND 9G OF CHAPTER IV OF THE CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE,
- 4 1927 PA 175, MCL 764.9A AND 764.9G.
- 5 (3) AS USED IN THIS SECTION, "MINOR OFFENSE" MEANS A VIOLATION
- 6 OF A PERMIT ISSUED UNDER THIS ACT THAT DOES NOT FUNCTIONALLY IMPAIR
- 7 THE OPERATION OR CAPACITY OF A WATERWORKS SYSTEM OR THE LEVEL OF
- 8 PUBLIC HEALTH PROTECTION IT PROVIDES.
- 9 Enacting section 1. This amendatory act does not take effect
- 10 unless Senate Bill No.\_\_\_\_ or House Bill No.\_\_\_\_ (request no.
- 11 07161'06) of the 93rd Legislature is enacted into law.

07160'06 \* Final Page KHS