HOUSE BILL No. 4977

May 20, 2009, Introduced by Reps. Kandrevas, Slezak, Geiss, Haugh, Meadows and Dean and referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

A bill to amend 1988 PA 511, entitled

"Community corrections act,"

by amending section 8 (MCL 791.408).

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

- 1 Sec. 8. (1) A county, city, city-county, or regional advisory
- 2 board, on behalf of the city, county, or counties it represents,
- 3 may apply for funding and other assistance under this act by
- 4 submitting to the office a comprehensive corrections plan that
- 5 meets the requirements of this section, and the criteria,
- 6 standards, rules, and policies developed by the state board
- 7 pursuant to section 4.
- 8 (2) The plan shall be developed by the county, city, city
 - county, or regional advisory board and shall include all of the

03351'09 DRM

- 1 following for the county, city, or counties represented by the
- 2 advisory board:
- 3 (a) A system for the development, implementation, and
- 4 operation of community corrections programs and an explanation of
- 5 how the state prison commitment rate for the city, county, or
- 6 counties will be reduced, and how the public safety will be
- 7 maintained, as a result of implementation of the comprehensive
- 8 corrections plan. The plan shall include, where appropriate,
- 9 provisions that detail how the city, county, or counties plan to
- 10 substantially reduce, within 1 year, the use of prison sentences
- 11 for felons for which the state felony sentencing guidelines upper
- 12 limit for the recommended minimum sentence is 12 months or less as
- 13 validated by the department of corrections. Continued funding in
- 14 the second and subsequent years shall be contingent upon
- 15 substantial compliance with this subdivision.
- 16 (b) A data analysis of the local criminal justice system
- 17 including a basic description of jail utilization detailing such
- 18 areas as sentenced versus unsentenced inmates, sentenced felons
- 19 versus sentenced misdemeanants, and any use of a jail
- 20 classification system. The analysis also shall include a basic
- 21 description of offenders sentenced to probation and to prison and a
- 22 review of the rate of commitment to the state corrections systems
- 23 from the city, county, or counties for the preceding 3 years. The
- 24 analysis also shall compare actual sentences with the sentences
- 25 recommended by the state felony sentencing guidelines.
- 26 (c) An analysis of the local community corrections programs
- 27 used at the time the plan is submitted and during the preceding 3

03351'09 DRM

- 1 years, including types of offenders served and funding levels.
- 2 (d) A system for evaluating the effectiveness of the community
- 3 corrections program, which shall utilize the criteria developed
- 4 pursuant to section 4(d).
- (e) The identity of any designated subgrant recipient.
- 6 (f) In the case of a regional or city-county plan, provisions
- 7 for the appointment of 1 fiscal agent to coordinate the financial
- 8 activities pertaining to the grant award.
- 9 (3) The county board or boards of commissioners of the county
- 10 or counties represented by a county, city-county, or regional
- 11 advisory board, or the city council of the city represented by a
- 12 city or city-county advisory board, shall approve the proposed
- 13 comprehensive corrections plan prepared by their advisory board
- 14 before the plan is submitted to the office pursuant to subsection
- **15** (1).
- 16 (4) This section is intended to encourage the participation in
- 17 community corrections programs of offenders who MEET ALL OF THE
- 18 FOLLOWING CRITERIA:
- 19 (A) THE OFFENDERS would likely be sentenced to imprisonment in
- 20 a state correctional facility or jail. 7
- 21 (B) THE OFFENDERS would not LIKELY increase the risk to public
- 22 safety , have not demonstrated a pattern of violent behavior, and
- 23 do not have BASED ON AN OBJECTIVE RISK AND NEEDS ASSESSMENT THAT
- 24 DEMONSTRATES THAT THE OFFENDER CAN BE SAFELY TREATED AND SUPERVISED
- 25 IN THE COMMUNITY. AS USED IN THIS SUBDIVISION, "OBJECTIVE RISK AND
- 26 NEEDS ASSESSMENT" MEANS AN EVALUATION OF A PROBATIONER'S CRIMINAL
- 27 HISTORY; THE PROBATIONER'S NONCRIMINAL HISTORY; THE AVAILABILITY IN

03351'09 DRM

- 1 THE COMMUNITY OF EVIDENCE-BASED PROGRAMMING; AND ANY OTHER FACTORS
- 2 RELEVANT TO PREDICTING THE RISK THE PROBATIONER WOULD PRESENT TO
- 3 THE PUBLIC SAFETY, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, a criminal record
- 4 that indicates a pattern of violent offenses.