7

## **SENATE BILL No. 826**

September 16, 2009, Introduced by Senator CROPSEY and referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

A bill to amend 1988 PA 511, entitled "Community corrections act," by amending section 8 (MCL 791.408).

## THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

- 1 Sec. 8. (1) A county, city, city-county, or regional advisory
- 2 board, on behalf of the city, county, or counties it represents,
- 3 may apply for funding and other assistance under this act by
- 4 submitting to the office a comprehensive corrections plan that
- 5 meets the requirements of this section, and the criteria,
  - standards, rules, and policies developed by the state board
  - pursuant to section 4.
    - (2) The plan shall be developed by the county, city, city-

04549'09 DRM

- 1 county, or regional advisory board and shall include all of the
- 2 following for the county, city, or counties represented by the
- 3 advisory board:
- 4 (a) A system for the development, implementation, and
- 5 operation of community corrections programs and an explanation of
- 6 how the state prison commitment rate for the city, county, or
- 7 counties will be reduced, and how the public safety will be
- 8 maintained, as a result of implementation of the comprehensive
- 9 corrections plan. The plan shall include, where appropriate,
- 10 provisions that detail how the city, county, or counties plan to
- 11 substantially reduce, within 1 year, the use of prison sentences
- 12 for felons for which the state felony sentencing quidelines upper
- 13 limit for the recommended minimum sentence is 12 months or less as
- 14 validated by the department of corrections. Continued funding in
- 15 the second and subsequent years shall be contingent upon
- 16 substantial compliance with this subdivision.
- 17 (b) A data analysis of the local criminal justice system
- 18 including a basic description of jail utilization detailing such
- 19 areas as sentenced versus unsentenced inmates, sentenced felons
- 20 versus sentenced misdemeanants, and any use of a jail
- 21 classification system. The analysis also shall include a basic
- 22 description of offenders sentenced to probation and to prison and a
- 23 review of the rate of commitment to the state corrections systems
- 24 from the city, county, or counties for the preceding 3 years. The
- 25 analysis also shall compare actual sentences with the sentences
- 26 recommended by the state felony sentencing guidelines.
- (c) An analysis of the local community corrections programs

04549'09 DRM

- 1 used at the time the plan is submitted and during the preceding 3
- 2 years, including types of offenders served and funding levels.
- 3 (d) A system for evaluating the effectiveness of the community
- 4 corrections program, which shall utilize the criteria developed
- 5 pursuant to section 4(d).
- 6 (e) The identity of any designated subgrant recipient.
- 7 (f) In the case of a regional or city-county plan, provisions
- 8 for the appointment of 1 fiscal agent to coordinate the financial
- 9 activities pertaining to the grant award.
- 10 (3) The county board or boards of commissioners of the county
- 11 or counties represented by a county, city-county, or regional
- 12 advisory board, or the city council of the city represented by a
- 13 city or city-county advisory board, shall approve the proposed
- 14 comprehensive corrections plan prepared by their advisory board
- 15 before the plan is submitted to the office pursuant to subsection
- **16** (1).
- 17 (4) This section is intended to encourage the participation in
- 18 community corrections programs of offenders who MEET ALL OF THE
- 19 FOLLOWING CRITERIA:
- 20 (A) THE OFFENDERS would likely be sentenced to imprisonment in
- 21 a state correctional facility or jail.  $\tau$
- 22 (B) THE OFFENDERS would not LIKELY increase the risk to public
- 23 safety , have not demonstrated a pattern of violent behavior, and
- 24 do not have BASED ON AN OBJECTIVE RISK AND NEEDS ASSESSMENT THAT
- 25 DEMONSTRATES THAT THE OFFENDER CAN BE SAFELY TREATED AND SUPERVISED
- 26 IN THE COMMUNITY. AS USED IN THIS SUBDIVISION, "OBJECTIVE RISK AND
- 27 NEEDS ASSESSMENT" MEANS AN EVALUATION OF A PROBATIONER'S CRIMINAL

04549'09 DRM

- 1 HISTORY AND THE PROBATIONER'S NONCRIMINAL HISTORY; A DETERMINATION
- 2 OF THE AVAILABILITY IN THE COMMUNITY OF APPROPRIATE PROGRAMMING;
- 3 AND ANY OTHER FACTORS RELEVANT TO PREDICTING THE RISK THE
- 4 PROBATIONER WOULD PRESENT TO THE PUBLIC SAFETY, INCLUDING, BUT NOT
- 5 LIMITED TO, a criminal record that indicates a pattern of violent
- 6 offenses.
- 7 Enacting section 1. This amendatory act does not take effect
- 8 unless Senate Bill No. 827
- 9 of the 95th Legislature is enacted into law.