

Legislative Analysis

MSHDA AMENDMENTS

Mary Ann Cleary, Director
Phone: (517) 373-8080
<http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa>

House Bill 5617

Sponsor: Rep. Dale W. Zorn

House Bill 5618

Sponsor: Rep. Deb Shaughnessy

House Bills 5619 & 5620

Sponsor: Rep. Wayne Schmidt

Committee: Commerce

Complete to 5-14-12

A SUMMARY OF HOUSE BILLS 5617-5620 AS INTRODUCED 5-10-12

Each of the bills would amend the State Housing Development Authority Act, under which the state's housing authority (MSHDA) conducts its programs.

House Bill 5617 would amend Section 44a, which deals with loans for the rehabilitation of property for occupancy by individuals or families of low and moderate income. The bill would:

- Revise the definition of "low and moderate income" so that it would apply to those with an income of 175% of statewide median gross income, as determined by the federal IRS Code. [This is \$105,700, according to MSHDA.] Currently the income limits are \$74,750 in an eligible distressed area and \$65,000 elsewhere.
- Revises the maximum principal loan amount. Currently the limit is \$50,000 for a residential structure containing one dwelling unit, but is reduced to \$35,000 if the loan is made in conjunction with additional money provided by a municipality or nonprofit community housing organization. This lower limit would be eliminated. (The \$25,000 per-unit limit for structures containing from 2 to 24 units would remain unchanged.)
- Use the term "residential property rehabilitation" loans rather than "home improvement" loans.
- Allow for a 30-year repayment instead of 20 years.

House Bill 5618 would amend Section 44c, which deals with loans to various nonprofit housing organizations, cooperatives, limited dividend housing organizations, mobile home park associations, and public entities for the construction, rehabilitation, and/or long-term financing of multi-family housing projects. The bill would eliminate the requirement that certain projects be in "eligible distressed areas." This currently applies to projects where at least 20% of the units are allotted to individuals of low and moderate income and where no more than 80% are available without regard to income.

House Bill 5619 would amend Section 15a of the MSHDA Act to exempt certain housing projects from any "eligible tax reverted property specific tax imposed under the Tax Reverted Clean Title Act" (2003 PA 260). The exemption depends on approval by the land bank fast track authority that would otherwise receive the revenue from the specific tax. A similar exemption already exists for state and local ad valorem property taxes.

To be eligible, the projects must be owned by nonprofit housing corporations, consumer housing cooperatives, limited dividend housing corporations, limited dividend housing association, mobile home park corporation, mobile home park association financed by federally-aided or MSHDA-aided mortgage, or advance or grant from MSHDA.

Exempt projects currently must pay a service charge for public services in lieu of taxes based, generally speaking, on the value of property prior to construction or rehabilitation or 10% of annual shelter rents from the property. (For new construction, the service charge is the greater of the two; for rehabilitation, the lesser of the two.) A municipality can, by ordinance, change the service charge. This service charge would also apply to recipients of the new exemption proposed by House Bill 5619, but in those cases, the municipality would have to pay 50% of the service charge collected from the owner of the project to the land bank fast track authority for each year the exemption is in place.

House Bill 5620 would amend Section 32b, which applies to a mortgage credit certificate program administered through participating mortgage lenders. As noted below this allows borrowers a federal income tax credit.* The bill revises program criteria for (1) the purchase price of eligible property and (2) the income of borrowers. In both cases, the bill makes the criteria the same as the limits established in Section 44 for newly rehabilitated, newly constructed, or existing one-to- four unit housing units for which the authority may make loans to individual purchasers for acquisition and long-term financing and refinancing. The new cap on a borrower's family income is \$108,000 for all borrowers for the acquisition of new or existing units. The new income limit related to the rehabilitation of an existing housing unit would be based on limits in Section 44a. (House Bill 5617 would make that 175% of statewide median gross income.) This would be instead of the limits currently in the act that are based on whether the property is located in a distressed area, and that currently are set at \$74,750 for a distressed area and \$65,000 for property located any other area.

The caps on purchase prices or, in the case of refinancing, the appraised values, would be as follows: (1) With respect to a one- or two-family unit, \$224,500 (instead of three times the income limit); with respect to a three-family unit, \$261,625 (instead of three and one-half times the income limit); and, with respect to a four-family unit, \$299,000 (instead of four times the income limit).

*[According to the MSHDA website: "A Mortgage Credit Certificate (MCC) is issued in connection with the purchase of a home and is designed to help lower income households afford homeownership. For eligible homebuyers, MCCs may reduce the amount of federal income tax. This is not a one-time credit. It is an annual credit for the life of the original mortgage, as long as the home remains the principal residence."]

FISCAL IMPACT:

A fiscal analysis is in process.

Legislative Analyst: Chris Couch
Fiscal Analyst: Ben Gielczyk

■ This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.