



Senate Fiscal Agency
P. O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536

BILL



ANALYSIS

Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bill 1351 (Substitute S-4 as Passed by the Senate)
Sponsor: Senator John Pappageorge
Committee: Judiciary

Date Completed: 12-6-12

CONTENT

The bill would amend the Revised Judicature Act (RJA) to provide for the reorganization of three judicial districts in Oakland County.

Currently, the 44th District consists of the City of Royal Oak and has two judges. Under the bill, beginning on January 2, 2015, the district would consist of the Cities of Royal Oak and Berkley and have three judges. Beginning on January 3, 2015, the district would have two judges effective on the earlier of the following dates:

- The date on which a vacancy occurred in the office of district judge in the 44th District.
- The beginning date of the term for which an incumbent district judge in the 44th District no longer sought election or re-election to that office.

Following that reduction, the 44th District would have one judge as of the date on which a vacancy occurred or the beginning date of the term for which an incumbent judge in the district no longer sought election or re-election to that office, whichever was earlier.

The bill also would re-establish the 45th-A District. (Public Act 37 of 2012 abolished the 45th-A and 45th-B Districts, effective July 1, 2012. The 45th-A District consisted of the City of Berkley and had one judge, and the 45th-B District consisted of the Cities of Huntington Woods, Oak Park, and Pleasant Ridge and the Township of Royal Oak, and had two judges. Public Act 37 also combined the affected local units in a new 45th District, with three judges.)

Under the bill, the 45th-A District again would consist of the City of Berkley, with one judge, and the remaining 45th District would be reduced to two judgeships. The new 45th-A District would be abolished on January 2, 2015, and the judge of that district would become a judge of the 44th District (which would include Berkley as of January 2, 2015). The person who served as judge of the previous 45th-A District on June 30, 2012, or his or her successor, would serve as judge of the new 45th-A district until it was abolished. For purposes of the November 2014 election only, the term of the person elected to that office would be eight years.

Any physical reorganization required to accomplish the reorganization of district boundaries under the bill would have to be completed by January 1, 2021.

MCL 600.8123 & 600.8132

Legislative Analyst: Patrick Affholter

FISCAL IMPACT

The bill would have an indeterminate but likely minor fiscal impact on State and local government. The bill would not result in any change to the long-run number of judgeships, which have the salaries and defined contribution retirement benefits funded by the State; rather, it simply would alter the manner through which the consolidation process would occur. Due to the alteration in the consolidation process, it is possible that the reduction of judgeships via attrition could be delayed or accelerated. The actual timing of attrition of judgeships depends on events that cannot be known at this time. Over time, the number of judgeships serving the community consisting of the Cities of Royal Oak, Berkley, Huntington Woods, Oak Park, and Pleasant Ridge, and the Township of Royal Oak will be reduced from the current of five, down to three, and that overall reduction of two judgeships by attrition would remain unchanged under this bill.

The bill also would have limited impact on local governments. The consolidation process could require some upfront administrative costs associated with merging facilities, staff, and technology. However, these potential minor costs of consolidation and reorganization also are present under the law as previously altered by Public Act 37 of 2012.

Fiscal Analyst: Dan O'Connor

S1112\1351sa

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.