## SENATE SUBSTITUTE FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 4746

A bill to amend 2006 PA 110, entitled "Michigan zoning enabling act," by amending section 205 (MCL 125.3205).

## THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

- 1 Sec. 205. (1) An ordinance adopted under this act A ZONING
- 2 ORDINANCE is subject to the electric transmission line
- 3 certification act, 1995 PA 30, MCL 460.561 to 460.575.
- 4 (2) A county or township shall not regulate or control the
- 5 drilling, completion, or operation of oil or gas wells or other
- 6 wells drilled for oil or gas exploration purposes and shall not
- 7 have jurisdiction with reference to the issuance of permits for the
- 8 location, drilling, completion, operation, or abandonment of such
- 9 wells.

- 1 (3) AN ORDINANCE SHALL NOT PREVENT THE EXTRACTION, BY MINING,
- 2 OF VALUABLE NATURAL RESOURCES FROM ANY PROPERTY UNLESS VERY SERIOUS
- 3 CONSEQUENCES WOULD RESULT FROM THE EXTRACTION OF THOSE NATURAL
- 4 RESOURCES. NATURAL RESOURCES SHALL BE CONSIDERED VALUABLE FOR THE
- 5 PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION IF A PERSON, BY EXTRACTING THE NATURAL
- 6 RESOURCES, CAN RECEIVE REVENUE AND REASONABLY EXPECT TO OPERATE AT
- 7 A PROFIT.
- 8 (4) A PERSON CHALLENGING A ZONING DECISION UNDER SUBSECTION
- 9 (3) HAS THE INITIAL BURDEN OF SHOWING THAT THERE ARE VALUABLE
- 10 NATURAL RESOURCES LOCATED ON THE RELEVANT PROPERTY, THAT THERE IS A
- 11 NEED FOR THE NATURAL RESOURCES BY THE PERSON OR IN THE MARKET
- 12 SERVED BY THE PERSON, AND THAT NO VERY SERIOUS CONSEQUENCES WOULD
- 13 RESULT FROM THE EXTRACTION, BY MINING, OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES.
- 14 (5) IN DETERMINING UNDER THIS SECTION WHETHER VERY SERIOUS
- 15 CONSEQUENCES WOULD RESULT FROM THE EXTRACTION, BY MINING, OF
- 16 NATURAL RESOURCES, THE STANDARDS SET FORTH IN SILVA V ADA TOWNSHIP,
- 17 416 MICH 153 (1982), SHALL BE APPLIED AND ALL OF THE FOLLOWING
- 18 FACTORS MAY BE CONSIDERED, IF APPLICABLE:
- 19 (A) THE RELATIONSHIP OF EXTRACTION AND ASSOCIATED ACTIVITIES
- 20 WITH EXISTING LAND USES.
- 21 (B) THE IMPACT ON EXISTING LAND USES IN THE VICINITY OF THE
- 22 PROPERTY.
- 23 (C) THE IMPACT ON PROPERTY VALUES IN THE VICINITY OF THE
- 24 PROPERTY AND ALONG THE PROPOSED HAULING ROUTE SERVING THE PROPERTY,
- 25 BASED ON CREDIBLE EVIDENCE.
- 26 (D) THE IMPACT ON PEDESTRIAN AND TRAFFIC SAFETY IN THE
- 27 VICINITY OF THE PROPERTY AND ALONG THE PROPOSED HAULING ROUTE

- 1 SERVING THE PROPERTY.
- 2 (E) THE IMPACT ON OTHER IDENTIFIABLE HEALTH, SAFETY, AND
- WELFARE INTERESTS IN THE LOCAL UNIT OF GOVERNMENT. 3
- (F) THE OVERALL PUBLIC INTEREST IN THE EXTRACTION OF THE
- SPECIFIC NATURAL RESOURCES ON THE PROPERTY. 5
- (6) SUBSECTIONS (3) TO (5) DO NOT LIMIT A LOCAL UNIT OF 6
- GOVERNMENT'S REASONABLE REGULATION OF HOURS OF OPERATION, BLASTING 7
- HOURS, NOISE LEVELS, DUST CONTROL MEASURES, AND TRAFFIC, NOT 8
- PREEMPTED BY PART 632 OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL 9
- PROTECTION ACT, 1994 PA 451, MCL 324.63201 TO 324.63223. HOWEVER, 10
- 11 SUCH REGULATION SHALL BE REASONABLE IN ACCOMMODATING CUSTOMARY
- 12 MINING OPERATIONS.
- (7) THIS ACT DOES NOT LIMIT STATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY UNDER 13
- 14 OTHER STATUTES OR RULES.