Legislative Analysis



Mary Ann Cleary, Director Phone: (517) 373-8080 http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa

BREASTFEEDING ANTIDISCRIMINATION ACT

House Bill 5591 reported from committee without amendment

Sponsor: Rep. Amanda Price

House Bill 5592 reported from committee without amendment

Sponsor: Rep. Lisa Posthumus Lyons

Senate Bill 674 reported from committee with committee amendment

Sponsor: Sen. Rebekah Warren

House Committee: Judiciary

Senate Committee: Judiciary (SB 674)

First Analysis (5-26-14)

BRIEF SUMMARY: Senate Bill 674 would create the Breastfeeding Antidiscrimination Act to grant to a woman the right to breastfeed in a public place. House Bills 5591 and 5592 would exempt a mother's breastfeeding of a child from state laws prohibiting open or indecent exposure of her person and also disorderly conduct in public.

Senate Bill 674 is tie-barred to House Bills 5591-5592, and each House bill is tie-barred to Senate Bill 674. A bill cannot become law unless the bill to which it is tie-barred is also enacted.

FISCAL IMPACT: The House bills could result in a reduction in costs for local courts due to a decrease in caseloads. There could also be a decrease in penal fine revenues, which would decrease funding for local libraries, which are the constitutionally-designated recipients of those revenues. The Senate bill would likely have a minor impact on civil court caseloads.

THE APPARENT PROBLEM:

Currently, Michigan law allows local governments to prohibit public nudity within their jurisdictions, but excludes breastfeeding from the definition of the term whether or not the nipple or areola is exposed during or incidental to the feeding. However, discriminating against a woman who is breastfeeding in a public place is not specifically prohibited in statute. Moreover, the state's bans on public indecency and disorderly conduct do not specifically exempt the exposure of a woman's breast when breastfeeding a child.

The confusion over what is and isn't lawful regarding breastfeeding in public has reportedly led some mall security guards and store and restaurant employees to ask (or in some cases, demand) a mother to stop feeding her child and either move to a different location (like the restroom) or leave the premises. In some cases, as in an incident at a

Harper Woods Target store in November of 2009, police have been called. Other women report being stared at, glared at, or subjected to rude comments.

Forty-five states, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands have some form of law to allow women to breastfeed a child in public or private locations. The reasons for such laws are based in science – breastfeeding has many benefits, physical and emotional, for mothers and babies alike. The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends exclusive breastfeeding for the first six months of life, and increasing the numbers of mothers breastfeeding their children have has included in goals for both the Healthy People 2010 and 2020 initiatives.

Yet, according to information offered by the Health Department of Southwest Michigan, the state is in the bottom quarter of states regarding breastfeeding initiation and duration to one year. Of mothers participating in the WIC program, only about 18 percent who begin to breastfeed are still breastfeeding at six months.

Many believe that the confusion over the legal status to breastfeed outside the home is a major contributor to the low rates of breastfeeding. Breastfeeding is not without its challenges, and the lack of support, fear of public intimidation, and uncertainty over whether they are breaking the law acts as a huge obstacle that many mothers find difficult to overcome.

It has been recommended by many in the healthcare industry and women and children's advocacy organizations that Michigan follow the lead of other states and amend its laws to create an environment more encouraging of breastfeeding.

THE CONTENT OF THE BILLS:

<u>Senate Bill 674</u> would create the Breastfeeding Antidiscrimination Act to grant to a woman the right to breastfeed in a public place. <u>House Bills 5591 and 5592</u> would exempt a mother's breastfeeding of a child from state laws prohibiting open or indecent exposure of her person and also disorderly conduct in public.

Senate Bill 674: the Breastfeeding Antidiscrimination Act

The bill would mirror protections afforded in public places under the Elliot-Larsen Civil Rights Act that prohibit discrimination based on religion, race, color, national origin, age, sex, or marital status. Under the bill, except where expressly permitted by state or federal statute (or a regulation promulgated under the statute), a person with control over a public accommodation or public service would be prohibited from doing any of the following:

- Denying the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of a place of public accommodation or public service to a woman because she is breastfeeding a child.
- o Printing, circulating, posting, mailing, or otherwise publishing a statement, advertisement, notice, or sign that indicates:

- That the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of a place of public accommodation or public service will be refused, withheld from, or denied a woman because she is breastfeeding a child.
- That a woman's patronage or presence at a place of public accommodation is objectionable, unwelcome, unacceptable, or undesirable because she is breastfeeding a child.

<u>Remedies</u>: A person alleging a violation of the act could bring a civil action for appropriate injunctive relief and/or actual or presumed damages of \$200. In addition, the court could award all or a portion of the costs of litigation, including reasonable attorney fees and witness fees, to the complainant in the action if the court determines that the award is appropriate.

<u>Definitions</u>: "Place of public accommodation" would mean a business, an educational institution, or a refreshment, entertainment, recreation, health, or transportation facility of any kind, whether licensed or not, whose goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations are extended, offered, sold, or otherwise made available to the public.

"Public service" would mean a public facility, department, agency, board, or commission owned, operated, or managed by or on behalf of the state or a subdivision of the state, by a county, city, village, township, or independent or regional district, or by a tax-exempt private agency established to provide service to the public. Public service would not include a state or correctional facility with respect to actions or decisions regarding an individual serving a sentence of imprisonment.

House Bills 5591 and 5592 would amend the Michigan Penal Code to exempt a mother's breastfeeding of a child from state laws prohibiting open or indecent exposure of her person and also indecent or obscene conduct in public. Both bills are tie-barred to Senate Bill 674, meaning that neither bill could take effect unless Senate Bill 674 is also enacted.

House Bill 5591 would amend Section 335a (MCL 750.335a). Currently, it is crime to knowingly make any open or indecent exposure of a one's person or of the person of another. Penalties can range from a one or two-year misdemeanor to life imprisonment, depending on elements of the conduct. The bill would specify that a mother's breastfeeding of a child does not constitute open or indecent exposure regardless of whether or not her areola or nipple is visible during or incidental to the breastfeeding.

House Bill 5592 would similarly amend Section 167 of the Penal Code (MCL 750.167), which deals with indecent or obscene conduct in a public place as a category of disorderly conduct.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

In 2009, House Bill 5515 would have placed similar provisions within the Elliot-Larsen Civil Rights Act. That bill was reported by the House Judiciary Committee.

ARGUMENTS:

For:

Although state law does not ban breastfeeding in public, and local ordinances say that breastfeeding does not constitute public nudity, many people in the state continue to believe that public breastfeeding is against the law. As a result, reportedly, breastfeeding mothers have been asked to leave public places such as stores and restaurants, been ushered out of public agencies, been subjected to disapproving glares from strangers, and even had the police called on them.

The bills would address the issue by clarifying in law that discriminating against breastfeeding mothers will not be tolerated, and that public breastfeeding is not a crime of indecent exposure or disorderly conduct.

Breastfeeding has many benefits to baby such as reducing the risk for childhood obesity, death from SIDS (by 75 percent), and asthma, and shields the baby from infections. Breastfeeding helps a mother return to her pre-birth weight (thus reducing the risk for adult obesity) and reduces the lifetime risk of breast cancer. In addition, breastfeeding enhances the bond between mother and child. Moreover, families can save close to \$1,500 a year by breastfeeding over buying formula. According to information submitted in committee testimony, if 90 percent of new mothers in the U.S. breastfed exclusively for six months, overall medical expenses across the nation would be reduced by \$13 billion a year!

So, creating a more "mommy friendly" social environment that supports breastfeeding can decrease infant mortality, decrease obesity in children and women, save money for individual families, and reduce unnecessary medical expenditures borne by society. Considering such facts, it is easy to see that the bills represent good public policy. It simply is time for Michigan to join the 45 states that have already adopted probreastfeeding laws and enact the bill package.

For:

Creating a supportive environment for mothers to breastfeed their children in public will enable more girls and young women to see positive images of breastfeeding that is likely to help them feel comfortable choosing breastfeeding when they become mothers. Such positive modeling will enable the state to reach its goals of increasing the numbers of mothers who breastfeed to one year, or at least to six months. As already stated, the benefits to the individual mother and child, as well as to society as a whole in terms of reducing health care costs, are too monumental to ignore.

POSITIONS:

Representatives of the following entities testified in support of, or indicated support for, the bills on 5-22-14):

Washtenaw County Public Health Michigan Academy of Pediatrics Michigan Breastfeeding Network Black Mothers Breastfeeding Association Michigan Council for Maternal and Child Health Health Department of Northern Michigan, Child and Adolescent Health Center Program Washtenaw County

Though not offering a formal position on the bills, the Chief Medical Executive for the State of Michigan, within the Department of Community Health, testified as to the many positive benefits of breastfeeding to both child and mother.

Legislative Analyst: Susan Stutzky Fiscal Analyst: Robin Risko

[■] This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.