



**ANALYSIS** 

Telephone: (517) 373-5383

Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bill 2 (Substitute S-1 as reported)

Sponsor: Senator Mark C. Jansen

Committee: Reforms, Restructuring and Reinventing

Date Completed: 4-25-13

## **RATIONALE**

In Michigan, the Public Health Code provides for certifications for registered professional nurses (R.N.s) who have advanced training beyond that required for a license, and have demonstrated competency through examination or evaluation, as a nurse practitioner, or midwife, nurse anesthetist. Certified R.N.s must practice under the supervision of a licensed physician and, depending on the R.N.'s certification, a licensed physician may delegate certain authority to an R.N.

Advanced practice registered nurses (A.P.R.N.s) are not recognized by the Code. In states that recognize A.P.R.N.s, the title typically encompasses licensed, or certified, nurse midwives, anesthetists, practitioners, and specialists. Michigan is one of 21 states that do not recognize A.P.R.N.s. states, and Washington, D.C., A.P.R.N.s are allowed to practice autonomously with regard to providing diagnosis and treatment, and are allowed to write prescriptions independently. Generally, A.P.R.N. models vary based on the type of license or certification, the ability to practice autonomously, and the ability to prescribe drugs independently.

Many people believe that the use of A.P.R.N.s can improve the quality and accessibility of health care, and will help avert a shortage of medical professionals that currently exists and is expected to Because Michigan law does not grow. recognize A.P.R.N.s, however, and the scope of their practice elsewhere is broader than the scope of practice of R.N.s with advance practice credentials in this State, there is

concern that these R.N.s are leaving Michigan or deciding not to locate in this State. Therefore, it has been suggested that the Code should provide for, set regulatory standards for, and define the scope of practice for A.P.R.N.s, and allow A.P.R.N.s independence to practice, and prescribe drugs, within the context of their education, training, national certification, and scope of practice.

# **CONTENT**

The bill would amend the Public Health Code to provide for the licensure of advanced practice registered nurses, who would include certified nurse midwives, certified nurse practitioners, and clinical nurse specialist-certifieds; and eliminate provisions regarding the nurse certification of midwives and nurse practitioners. The bill also would do the following:

- -- Prescribe A.P.R.N. license fees, and method for review and adjustment.
- -- Revise the membership of the Michigan Board of Nursing.
- -- Create the A.P.R.N. Task Force.
- -- Allow the Board of Nursing to require a licensee under Part 172 (Nursing) to provide evidence of the completion of continuing education or competency courses, for license renewal.
- -- Authorize a licensed A.P.R.N. to prescribe and administer nonscheduled prescription druas and Schedule 2 through 5 controlled

Page 1 of 8 sb2/1314

- -- substances if he or she met certain criteria.
- -- Require an A.P.R.N. to enter into a mentorship agreement if he or she had been licensed for less than two years, in order to prescribe and administer drugs.
- -- Allow an A.P.R.N. to issue a complementary starter dose of a prescription drug or Schedule 2 to 5 controlled substance.
- Include a licensed A.P.R.N. among the individuals who may refer a patient for speech-language pathology services or occupational therapy.
- -- Include an individual licensed under Part 172 among the people who may prescribe physical therapy.

# **Definitions & Titles**

The bill would define "advanced practice registered nurse" or "A.P.R.N." as an individual who is licensed under Part 172 as a certified nurse midwife, certified nurse practitioner, or clinical nurse specialist-certified.

"Certified nurse midwife" or "C.N.M.", "certified nurse practitioner" or "C.N.P.", and "clinical nurse specialist-certified" or "C.N.S.-C" all would mean an individual who is licensed under Part 172 as a registered professional nurse; is also licensed as an A.P.R.N., and meets the requirements applicable to that license; and has a particular focus in his or her practice, as described below.

A certified nurse midwife would, within the parameters of his or her education, training, and national certification, focus on health care services for women throughout their lifespan, including comprehensive maternity care that includes prenatal care, childbirth in diverse settings, postpartum care, and care for newborns who are 28 days old or younger; gynecological, reproductive, and contraceptive care; physical exams; diagnosis and treatment of common health problems with consultation or referral as indicated; prescribing pharmacological and nonpharmacological interventions treatments; and treatment of male partners for sexually transmitted infection and reproductive health.

A certified nurse practitioner would, within the parameters of his or her education, training, and national certification, focus on the performance of comprehensive providing assessments: examinations and other health assessments and screening activities; and diagnosing, treating, and managing patients with acute and chronic illnesses and diseases. Nursing care provided by a C.N.P. would include orderina, performing, supervising, interpreting laboratory and imaging studies; pharmacological prescribing and nonpharmacological interventions treatments within the C.N.P.'s specialty role and scope of practice; health promotion; disease prevention; health education; and counseling of patients and families with potential, acute, and chronic health disorders.

A clinical nurse specialist-certified would, within the parameters of his or her education, training and national certification, focus on continuous improvement of patient outcomes and nursing care with broad focus across the areas of direct patient care, patient education, nursing education, nursing practice, and organizational systems. A C.N.S.-C would be responsible and accountable for diagnosis, intervention, and treatment, of health or illness states, and disease management, including the use and prescription of pharmacological and nonpharmacological intervention and treatment within his or her specialty and scope of practice; health promotion; and prevention of illness and risk behavior among individuals, families, groups, and communities. In addition, a C.N.S.-C would evaluate patient outcomes: translate evidence into practice; and develop, plan, coordinate, and direct programs of care for acute and chronically ill patients and their families.

The following words, titles, and letters could be used only by those authorized under Part 172 to use them and in a way prescribed in Part 172: "certified nurse midwife", "C.N.M.", "certified nurse practitioner", "C.N.P.", "clinical nurse specialist-certified", and "C.N.S.-C".

## A.P.R.N. Duties & Licensure

The bill would require an A.P.R.N. to do the following:

Page 2 of 8 sb2/1314

- -- Provide those functions common to the population for which A.P.R.N.s are educationally and experientially prepared.
- -- Comply with the standards established by the Board of Nursing and with the national accreditation standards of the national professional nursing associations applicable to his or her license.
- -- Consult with or refer patients to other health professionals as appropriate, or refer a patient to other health professionals if the care were outside the A.P.R.N.'s education, training, and national certification.
- -- Supervise R.N.s, licensed practical nurses (L.P.N.s), and other health professionals as appropriate.

The bill would prohibit a person from engaging in the practice of A.P.R.N. unless licensed or otherwise authorized by Article 15 (Occupations) of the Code.

The bill would require the Board of Nursing to issue an A.P.R.N. license to an R.N. who held a specialty certification, issued by the Board, as a nurse midwife, nurse practitioner, or clinical nurse specialist, if he or she met both of the following requirements:

- -- He or she applied for an A.P.R.N. license within two years after the bill's effective date.
- -- His or her license and specialty certification issued by the Board were current on the bill's effective date and on the date he or she submitted the license application.

The Department would have to renew an A.P.R.N. license concurrently with the R.N. license.

# C.N.M./C.N.P./C.N.S.-C Licensure

The Board of Nursing would be required to issue a certified nurse midwife license, a certified nurse practitioner license, or a clinical nurse specialist-certified license to an R.N. who met all of the following:

-- He or she had completed an accredited graduate, postgraduate, or doctoral level nursing education program that prepared the nurse for the role of C.N.M, C.N.P, or C.N.S.-C., as applicable.

- -- He or she was certified by a nationally accredited certification body as demonstrating role and population focused competencies for C.N.M.s, C.N.P.s, or C.N.S.-Cs, as applicable, or the Board determined that he or she met the standards for that certification.
- -- He or she maintained continued competence by obtaining recertification in the role and population described above through the national certification program, or the Board determined that he or she met the standards for that recertification.

The person also would have to demonstrate to the Board's satisfaction that he or she met all of the following:

- -- He or she had acquired advanced clinical knowledge and skills that primarily prepared him or her to provide direct care to patients, and to provide indirect care.
- -- His or her practice built on the competencies of R.N.s by demonstrating a greater depth and breadth of knowledge, a greater synthesis of data, increased complexity of skills and interventions, and greater role autonomy.
- -- He or she had clinical experience of sufficient depth and breadth to perform as a licensee.

In addition, the person would have to demonstrate that he or she was educationally prepared to assume responsibility and accountability for health promotion or maintenance assessment, diagnosis, and management of patient problems, including the use and prescription of pharmacologic nonpharmacologic interventions within the parameters of his or her education, training, and national certification.

# **Specialty Certification**

Under Part 172, the Board of Nursing may issue a specialty certification to an R.N. who has advanced training beyond that required initial licensure for and who has demonstrated competency through examination and other evaluative processes and who practices in one of the following specialty fields: nurse midwifery, nurse anesthetist, or nurse practitioner. Beginning on the bill's effective date, this provision

Page 3 of 8 sb2/1314

would apply only to the specialty field of nurse anesthetist.

# **Board of Nursing**

Currently, the Board of Nursing consists of the following 23 voting members:

- -- Nine registered professional nurses.
- -- One nurse midwife.
- -- One nurse anesthetist.
- -- One nurse practitioner.
- -- Three licensed practical nurses.
- -- Eight public members.

The bill would increase the total number of members to 29, beginning 60 days after its effective date. In addition to the nine R.N.s and the three L.P.N.s, the Board would have to include two certified nurse midwifes, two nurse anesthetists, two certified nurse practitioners, two clinical nurse specialist-certifieds, and nine public members.

Currently, the nurse midwife and nurse practitioner members must each have a certification issued specialty by Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA) in his or her respective specialty field. Under the bill, each appointed C.N.M., C.N.P., and C.N.S.-C. would have to have an A.P.R.N. license issued by LARA in his or her respective role, and each of the nurse anesthetists would have to have a specialty certification issued by LARA in that specialty field.

# A.P.R.N. Task Force

The bill would create the A.P.R.N. Task Force. The Task Force would have to consist of the following 11 members, who would have to be members of the Board:

- -- One registered professional nurse.
- -- Two certified nurse midwives.
- -- Two certified nurse practitioners.
- -- Two clinical nurse specialists-certified.
- -- Two certified nurse anesthetists.
- -- Two public members.

In consultation with LARA, the Task Force would have to develop and make public guidelines on the appropriate scope of practice of an A.P.R.N. according to his or her education, training, and experience. These guidelines would be nonbinding and advisory, and would only express the Task Force's criteria for determining whether an

A.P.R.N. was practicing within his or her scope of practice.

The Task Force also would have to do the following:

- In consultation with LARA, serve as the disciplinary subcommittee for A.P.R.N.s and certified nurse anesthetists.
- -- Make written recommendations to the Board on reinstatement of A.P.R.N. licenses and notices of intent to deny them.
- -- File an annual report with the Board and LARA concerning any matters prescribed by the Task Force and Board.

Currently, if a health profession specialty field task force is created for a health profession, that task force must serve as the task force for all health profession specialty fields within the scope of practice of the health profession. This requirement would not apply to the A.P.R.N. Task Force. The Task Force also would not be subject to requirements that a task force make recommendations to a licensing board and appoint a disciplinary subcommittee.

During an investigation or after a complaint has been issued, LARA may schedule a compliance conference. If an agreement is not reached, LARA must schedule a hearing. A compliance conference or a hearing may include one member of the appropriate board or task force who is not a member of disciplinary subcommittee jurisdiction over the matter, and such a person may attend a hearing. Under the bill, if the A.P.R.N. Task Force were the disciplinary subcommittee with jurisdiction, a compliance conference could include a Task Force member, and a Task Force member could attend a hearing.

### License Fees

The fees for an individual licensed or seeking licensure to practice nursing as an R.N. include a \$24 application processing fee and a \$30 annual license fee. For a specialty certification for an R.N., the Code prescribes an application processing fee of \$24 and an annual specialty certification fee of \$14.

The bill would retain these fees and prescribe the following fees for an individual who sought or held a license as an advanced practice registered nurse under Part 172:

Page 4 of 8 sb2/1314

- -- An application processing fee of \$32.
- -- An annual certification fee of \$55.

The Department and the A.P.R.N. Task Force would be required to review these fees every two years. The Department could, by rule and with the consent of the Task Force, adjust the fees to reflect expenses regarding issuing A.P.R.N. licenses, and program administration.

#### License Renewal

The bill would permit the Board of Nursing, by rule, to require a licensee seeking renewal of a license under Part 172 to give the Board satisfactory evidence that, during the two years immediately before the date of the renewal application, he or she completed continuing education competency courses or activities approved by the Board. If the Board did so, it would have to promulgate rules requiring each applicant for license renewal to complete as part of those courses or activities an appropriate number of hours or courses in pain and symptom management.

# Pharmacy Practice & Drug Control

The bill would authorize a licensed A.P.R.N. to possess, prescribe, and administer nonscheduled prescription drugs and controlled substances included in Schedules 2 through 5, within the parameters of his or her education, training, and national certification, if he or she met all of the following:

- -- He or she had completed graduate level pharmacology, pathophysiology, and physical assessment courses and clinical practicum in the role of a C.N.M, C.N.P., or C.N.S.-C., as applicable to his or her A.P.R.N. license.
- -- He or she had completed the number of contact hours in pharmacology as part of the requisite continuing education for a controlled substances license, and for renewal of his or her license under Part 172.
- -- He or she held a controlled substances license under the Code.
- -- He or she possessed, prescribed, or administered the drug or controlled substance only while engaged in the practice of advanced practice registered nursing within the parameters of his or

her education, training, and national certification.

In addition, the person would have to be engaged in A.P.R.N. practice, including diagnosing, treating, and prescribing within the parameters of his or her education, and meet any of the following:

- -- He or she possessed any of the following certifications or licenses for at least two years, and provided written documentation of it to the Board: 1) a national certification as a C.N.M., C.N.P., or C.N.S.-C.; 2) a specialty certification as a C.N.M., or as a C.N.P., before the bill's effective date; or 3) an A.P.R.N. license.
- -- If he or she did not meet any of those certification or licensure requirements, he or she, for two years, had possessed, prescribed, or administered those drugs or substances under the terms of one or more mentorship agreements.
- -- If he or she did not meet either of the requirements described above, he or she only possessed, prescribed, or administered those drugs or substances under a mentorship agreement.

A mentorship agreement would have to be in writing, between the A.P.R.N. and a licensed physician who held a controlled substance license, or between the A.P.R.N. and another A.P.R.N. who held the same license under Part 172, had at least five years of work experience in that licensed profession, and held a controlled substance license. The mentorship agreement also would have to meet the following:

- -- Include the responsibilities and duties of each party to the agreement.
- -- Be for a term of one year and be renewable by the parties for one or more additional one-year periods.
- -- Be revocable by either party, with at least 30 days' written notice.
- -- Be signed by each party.

Before prescribing a controlled substance included in Schedules 2 to 5, the A.P.R.N. would have to request that the Department of Community Health (DCH) provide any data in its electronic monitoring system concerning that controlled substance. He or she would have to consider the data to determine whether prescribing or administering the controlled substance to

Page 5 of 8 sb2/1314

the intended individual was consistent with patient safety and that it would not likely be subject to abuse by the individual. After prescribing the controlled substance, the A.P.R.N. would have to give any information about the prescription to the DCH that a dispensing prescriber is required to report for the electronic monitoring system.

The Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs would have to issue a controlled substance license to an A.P.R.N. who applied and was qualified to possess, prescribe, and administer nonscheduled prescription drugs and controlled substances included in Schedules 2 to 5. The Department could place a limitation on the license to reflect the terms of a mentorship agreement.

An A.P.R.N. engaged in the practice of advanced practice registered nursing could, within the parameters of his or her education, training, national and certification, order, receive, and dispense a dose complementary starter of prescription drug or controlled substance in Schedules 2 to 5 without delegation from a supervising physician. Only the name of the A.P.R.N. would have to be used, recorded, or otherwise indicated in connection with that order, receipt, or dispensing. required of a prescriber who dispenses complementary starter doses, an A.P.R.N. would have to give certain information to the patient.

The bill provides that it would not require new or additional third-party reimbursement or mandated worker's compensation benefits for services rendered by an A.P.R.N. authorized to prescribe nonscheduled prescription drugs and controlled substances included in Schedules 2 to 5.

# Other Provisions

Under the Code, а speech-language pathology licensee may perform assessment, treatment or therapy, and procedures related to swallowing disorders medically related and communication disorders only on patients who have been referred to him or her by a person licensed in the practice of medicine or osteopathic medicine and surgery. The bill would include a patient referred by a licensed A.P.R.N. engaged in the practice of advanced practice registered nursing.

Currently, occupational therapy services include the provision of vision therapy services or low vision rehabilitation services, if the services are provided pursuant to a referral or prescription from, or under the supervision or comanagement of, a licensed physician or optometrist. Under the bill, these services also could be provided pursuant to a referral or prescription from a licensed A.P.R.N. engaged in the practice of advanced practice registered nursing.

The Code prohibits a person from engaging in the practice of physical therapy or practice as a physical therapist assistant unless licensed or otherwise authorized. A person may engage in the actual treatment of an individual only upon the prescription of an individual holding a license issued under Part 166 (Dentistry), 170 (Medicine), 175 (Osteopathic Medicine and Surgery), or 180 (Podiatric Medicine and Surgery). Under the bill, a person holding an A.P.R.N. license, while engaged in the practice of advanced practice registered nursing, also could prescribe physical therapy.

The Code requires licensed health facilities and agencies to adopt a policy describing the rights and responsibilities of patients or residents. The policy must contain specific provisions, including that a patient or resident is entitled to be free from physical and chemical restraints, except those authorized in writing by the attending physician or physician's assistant. Under the bill, restraints also could be authorized by an A.P.R.N. engaged in the practice of advanced practice registered nursing.

MCL 333,2701 et al.

#### **ARGUMENTS**

(Please note: The arguments contained in this analysis originate from sources outside the Senate Fiscal Agency. The Senate Fiscal Agency neither supports nor opposes legislation.)

# **Supporting Argument**

The bill would allow R.N.s to practice to the full extent of their education and training, by promoting uniformity through recognition of A.P.R.N.s, and removing barriers such as mandatory oversight by a licensed physician. This could result in a more competitive environment for health care that, in turn, would lower health care costs for consumers, promote greater access to

Page 6 of 8 sb2/1314

health care professionals, and spur innovation.

A 2010 report by the Institute of Medicine examined the state of the nursing profession and made several recommendations. One of the recommendations was to allow nurses to practice to the full extent of their education and training. Since regulations vary greatly across states, nurses are effectively limited in practice based on the local laws, not on their education and training. Given the current shortage of health care providers, and the imminent ramifications of the Affordable Care Act, demand for health care professionals will increasingly exceed supply. Failing to allow nurses to practice based on their education and training will create a bottleneck, resulting in underutilization of nurses, and therefore, opportunity cost. According to a representative of the Nurse Advanced Practice Registered Coalition (APRNC), underutilization of nurses costs the U.S. \$9 billion annually.

The current model of delegated authority limits consumer access to affordable quality health care, particularly in rural areas, and areas designated as health professional shortage areas (HPSAs). An Annals of Family Medicine 2003 study of health care professionals in California and Washington showed that, when compared with licensed physicians, a higher proportion of C.N.P.s and C.N.M.s tended to work in rural areas and HPSAs. Additionally, the study showed that nonphysician primary care clinicians, and family physicians, were more likely to care for underserved populations than specializing physicians. According to the Michigan Department of Community Health, 81 out of 83 counties in the State contained medically underserved areas as of June 14, 2012. According to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 79 counties are designated as HPSAs with regard to primary care clinicians.

Increasing the scope of authority for A.P.R.N.s also could increase future access to health care professionals by potentially offsetting impending health care practitioner shortages. As baby boomers age and retire, there will be higher demand for medical services, and the number of physicians retiring will exacerbate the need for providers. According to a study by the Center for Health Workforce Studies on

current trends, the State will be short 4,400 primary care physicians in 2020.

Furthermore, expanded use of A.P.R.N.s would decrease costs. When compared to licensed physicians, according to the the APRNC, nurse representative from practitioners and midwives typically prescribe order fewer fewer drugs, expensive tests, and use lower-cost treatment. Α 2011 Federal Trade Commission (FTC) memo also suggested that while A.P.R.N. care is already generally less expensive than licensed physician care, greater autonomy for A.P.R.N.s would reduce administrative burdens for A.P.R.N.s. resulting in lower operating costs that could be passed on to consumers in the form of lower prices.

addition, restrictions that prevent A.P.R.N.s from practicing based on their education and certification give these professionals an incentive to leave the State for work in areas that uniformly recognize that A.P.R.N.s may practice without licensed physician supervision. The medical care industry is important to the Michigan economy, and the State competes for health care services just as it does for any other business industry. Enabling A.P.R.N.s to practice to the full extent of their education. training, and certification would encourage them to stay in, and move to, Michigan, ultimately giving Michigan a competitive edge over other states.

Finally, by giving A.P.R.N.s statutory recognition and providing for their regulation, the bill would protect the public from people who lack appropriate education certification, and would prevent unqualified individuals from using the A.P.R.N. title.

# **Opposing Argument**

The bill would functionally permit A.P.R.N.s to act as physicians, since it would allow A.P.R.N.s to practice independently of physician oversight, and prescribe drugs. The minimum standard for practicing medicine is the ability to make a diagnosis, and prescribe drugs. The bill would allow A.P.R.N.s to do both of these, thereby effectively allowing them to practice medicine without the appropriate education, certification, and training.

Page 7 of 8 sb2/1314

According to the Primary Care Coalition, licensed physicians' standards of education, rigorous testing, and residency requirements culminate in roughly 20,000 hours over a decade of instruction and training. practitioners, in contrast, have no residency requirements, and receive roughly 3,000 to 5,000 hours over five-and-a-half to six years of instruction and training. Since their training and education are less comprehensive and consistent than physicians', A.P.R.N.s should be subject to licensed physicians' oversight. Through a collaborative relationship, overseeina physicians are in the best position to ensure that A.P.R.N.s practice to the fullest extent of their education and training. Under the bill, not only would physicians lack this oversight, but the proposed Task Force would not include a physician to offer input on regulating the A.P.R.N. profession.

Allowing A.P.R.N.s to practice without supervision could increase health care costs. Since these individuals are less qualified than physicians, they may be more apt to be uncertain about diagnosing and prescribing. This could result in unnecessary tests and prescriptions, which would raise health care costs. Further, overprescribing and testina can have other excessive repercussions, such as threats to patient safety and well-being. According to a report by the Josiah Macy Jr. Foundation, a review of over 900 articles and documents over 30 years concluded that nurse practitioners ordered more lab tests than physicians ordered.

Since the ultimate responsibility, and legal liability, for a patient's well-being rests with the physician, allowing A.P.R.N.s to act as physicians could radically alter, and challenge, the medical care landscape.

The bill would restrict Response: A.P.R.N.s from practicing outside of their education, training, and national certification, ensuring that they acted within the scope of their expertise. Licensed and certified nurse practitioners have competence demonstrated their respective areas of practice as evidenced by achieving the appropriate degrees, passing the required exams and evaluations, and receiving certification. To suggest that they are not prepared to practice within the scope of their education and abilities is to imply that nurse practitioner certification and licensure standards are insufficient.

According to a memo issued by the FTC, restrictions on A.P.R.N. practice typically exceed what is necessary to protect consumers. According to a Texas Budget Board Staff Report, there is no evidence that A.P.R.N. care varies depending on whether a licensed physician supervises an A.P.R.N., and A.P.R.N. care is generally safe when an A.P.R.N. provides services consistent with his or her level of training.

According to the report by the Josiah Macy Jr. Foundation, compared with licensed physicians, nurse practitioners had higher patient satisfaction and resolution of pathological conditions, spent more time with patients, made more referrals, and had fewer patients hospitalized. Nurse practitioners and physicians were equivalent with regard to patients' overall quality of care, prescription of drugs, and number of visits.

Legislative Analyst: Glenn Steffens

### **FISCAL IMPACT**

The bill would have an indeterminate effect on State finances, and no fiscal impact on local government. Under the bill, an individual seeking licensure as an advanced practice registered nurse would have to pay an application processing fee of \$32 and an annual license fee of \$55. It is unknown how many individuals would apply and seek licensure, but revenue from the fees would be credited to the Health Professions Regulatory Fund and used for costs associated with issuing the licenses.

The Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs would be responsible for some increased costs related to processing applications and licenses issuing It is unknown prescribed by the bill. whether the fees in the bill would be sufficient to cover the Department's expenses, SO the fiscal impact indeterminate.

Fiscal Analyst: Josh Sefton

## A1314\s2a

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.