



Senate Fiscal Agency
P. O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536



Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bill 32 (as introduced 1-16-13)
Sponsor: Senator Tonya Schuitmaker
Committee: Judiciary

Date Completed: 1-28-13

CONTENT

The bill would add Section 7114 to Article 7 of the Estates and Protected Individuals Code (the Michigan Trust Code) to define the conditions under which a trustee would have an insurable interest in the life of the individual who created the trust (the settlor) or in the life of an individual in whom a settlor had an insurable interest.

The bill is tie-barred to Senate Bill 31, which would amend the Insurance Code to specify that a trustee of a trust would have an insurable interest in the life of an individual as provided in Section 7114 of the Estates and Protected Individuals Code.

(Please note: Senate Bill 32 would incorporate the Insurable Interests Amendment promulgated by the Uniform Law Commission, which is discussed below.)

Senate Bill 32 specifies that a trustee of a trust would have an insurable interest in the life of an individual insured under a life insurance policy that was owned by the trustee acting in a fiduciary capacity or that designated the trust itself as the owner if, on the date the policy was issued, both of the conditions described below were met.

First, the insured would have to be one of the following:

- A settlor of the trust.
- An individual in whom a settlor had, or would have had if living when the policy was issued, an insurable interest.

In addition, the life insurance proceeds would have to be primarily for the benefit of one or more beneficiaries who had one of the following:

- An insurable interest in the life of the insured.
- A substantial interest engendered by love and affection in the continuation of the life of the insured.

If the beneficiaries fell into the second category and did not have an insurable interest in the life of the insured, they would have to be one of the following:

- Related to the insured by blood or affinity within the third degree or closer, as measured by the civil law system of determining degrees of relation.
- Stepchildren of the insured.

Proposed MCL 700.7114

BACKGROUND

Public Act 46 of 2009 significantly amended Article 7 of the Estates and Protected Individuals Code, and named Article 7 the Michigan Trust Code. As amended, Article 7 substantially reflects the Uniform Trust Code, which was promulgated in 2000 by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws (the Uniform Law Commission). In 2010, the Uniform Law Commission completed the Insurable Interests Amendment to the Uniform Trust Code. The language quoted below is from the summary of that amendment prepared by the Commission.

"Personal life insurance trusts are a key component of most modern estate plans, and trust and estate planners create them routinely. The trustee is typically designated as the owner, and usually also acts as the beneficiary, of one or more insurance policies held on the life of the trust's creator (i.e., the 'grantor' or 'settlor'). These trusts are extremely useful devices for ensuring that life insurance proceeds are managed competently for the beneficiaries of the trust, and, in the case of irrevocable life insurance trusts ('ILITs'), for removing life insurance proceeds from an insured's gross estate.

"Until recently, it was widely assumed that a trustee who owns insurance on the life of the trust's settlor has an insurable interest in the life of the insured. This is important because every state requires, either as a matter of statutory or common law, a purchaser of life insurance on another individual to have an insurable interest in the life of that person. This assumption, however, was called into question by a Virginia federal court decision in 2005, where the court [applying Maryland law] held that a trust did not have an insurable interest in the life of the insured who was the settlor and the creator of the trust. See *Chawla ex rel Giesinger v. Transamerica Occidental Life Insurance Co.*,...

"An insurable interest is generally based on either a close familial relationship, sometimes referred to as the 'blood or affection' test of insurable interest, or on the presence of an economic interest in the insured's life. For example, the close family relationship is satisfied by one spouse's relationship to another, or a parent's insurable interest in the life of their children, or a child's insurable interest in the life of a parent, and vice versa. As for examples of the economic interest, a creditor has an insurable interest in the life of the debtor, because the debtor's continued well being is essential to the debtor being able to pay the debt owed the creditor, and a partner has an insurable interest in the life of his or her partner, and so on. An individual is held to have an unlimited insurable interest in his or her own life, but to purchase insurance on the life of another, either the close family relationship or an economic interest must provide the insurable interest."

Following the *Chawla* decision, Maryland and several other states enacted statutory amendments clarifying the circumstances under which a trustee or trust has an insurable interest in the life of another person. During this process, the American College of Trust and Estate Counsel, and others, expressed the opinion that it would be best if a uniform approach could be fashioned. The Uniform Law Commission then decided to clarify the issue with respect to the Uniform Trust Code, and established a drafting committee for that purpose.

According to the Commission's summary, an amendment could simply have made it clear that a trustee or trust possesses an insurable interest in the life of the settlor, or in the life of an individual in whom the settlor has, or would have had, an insurable interest. This language, however, involved the interaction of two different insurance law principals.

The first principal relates to state laws that prohibit a person from taking out insurance on the life of another when the person does not have an insurable interest in the other's life. This principal addresses the fundamental concern that the purchaser may have an incentive to hasten the insured's death in order to recover the proceeds.

A different body of rules, however, treats insurance policies as property that may be sold or transferred. This means that, as an owner of insurance on his or her own life, an individual settlor is free to name any person he or she wishes as the beneficiary entitled to receive the proceeds upon the individual's death, even a person who lacks an insurable interest in the settlor's life. At the same time, if the owner of a policy on one's own life can name any beneficiary or he or she wants, presumably this owner should be allowed to assign the policy to anyone he or she wants. This body of law led to a controversial business practice called "stranger-owned life insurance", or STOLI. Essentially, an individual takes out insurance on his or her own life, usually in the millions of dollars, and then assigns the policy to investors who pay the individual a large cash settlement in exchange for the ownership rights to the policy, including the right to receive the proceeds upon the insured's death.

Under the Insurable Interests Amendment, therefore, only certain types of beneficiaries are allowed to receive insurance proceeds from a policy owned by an irrevocable life insurance trust. These are beneficiaries who have an insurable interest in the life of the insured, as well as a limited class of individuals whom the insurance must primarily benefit.

According to an article in *Life Settlement Review*, "[T]his formulation of insurable interest for [irrevocable life insurance trusts] addresses both the Chawla 'problem,' as well as concerns that ILITs have been used to promote STOLI schemes" ("NCCUSL Work on Insurable Interest and Trusts...", www.lifesettlementreview.com, February 2010).

Legislative Analyst: Suzanne Lowe

FISCAL IMPACT

The bill would have no fiscal impact on State or local government.

Fiscal Analyst: Dan O'Connor

S1314\32sa

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.