**PUBLIC ACTS 175 & 176 of 2013** 

ANALYSIS

Telephone: (517) 373-5383

Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bills 171 and 172 (as enacted) Sponsor: Senator Phil Pavlov (S.B. 171)

Senator Tom Casperson (S.B. 172)

Senate Committee: Outdoor Recreation and Tourism

House Committee: Natural Resources

Date Completed: 12-18-13

### **RATIONALE**

Several parts of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act regulate the taking of game in the State, provide for the protection of threatened and endangered animals, and prescribe criminal, civil, and administrative penalties for violations. The potential sanctions include payment of restitution to the State for an animal that is illegally killed, possessed, purchased, or sold. The amount of restitution ranges from \$100 to \$1,500 per animal, depending on the type. For a deer, the restitution value is \$1,000. An offender also is prohibited from possessing a hunting license for three years. Some people believe that the restitution amount and the license sanction do not adequately penalize people who illegally take a large antlered deer, or a "big buck", which can be particularly attractive to poachers, or provide an adequate deterrent. For these violations, it was suggested that the State should require an additional amount of restitution, increasing as the size of the animal increases, as well as a longer license prohibition period.

Another issue related to poaching involves recreational trespass. This occurs when a person goes onto someone else's property to engage in a recreational activity, including hunting, without the owner's permission. The Act allows the landowner to bring an action and recover up to \$250 or actual damages, whichever is greater, from the violator. The Act also prescribes a misdemeanor penalty for someone convicted of recreational trespass. Again, some people believe that neither the maximum amount of civil damages nor the criminal fine is adequate to punish offenders who commit hunting violations on private property, or to deter would-be violators.

# **CONTENT**

<u>Senate Bill 171</u> amends Part 401 (Wildlife Conservation) of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act to do the following:

- Require a person to pay additional restitution to the State for illegally killing, possessing, purchasing, or selling an antlered white-tailed deer with eight or more antler points.
- -- Increase the hunting license prohibition period for illegally killing, possessing, purchasing, or selling wildlife, if the violation involves an antiered white-tailed deer.

Senate Bill 172 amends Part 731 (Recreational Trespass) of the Act to:

-- Increase the amount of damages that a property owner may recover in an action against a recreational trespasser.

Page 1 of 4 sb171/1314

- -- Make it a misdemeanor to kill any protected animal, game, or fish while violating Part 731.
- -- Increase the criminal fine that may be imposed for a second or subsequent violation of Part 731.

The bills will take effect on February 25, 2014.

#### Senate Bill 171

### Restitution

The Act prescribes penalties for a violation of Parts 401 and 365 (Endangered Species Protection). In addition to these penalties, an individual convicted of illegally killing, possessing, purchasing, or selling game or a protected animal must reimburse the State for its value as set forth in Part 401. For deer, the specified reimbursement rate is \$1,000 per animal.

Under the bill, in addition to the established restitution value for deer, an individual convicted of illegally killing, possessing, purchasing, or selling an antlered white-tailed deer also must pay an additional restitution value that is equal to \$1,000 plus one of the following:

- -- For a deer with between eight and 10 points, \$500 for each point.
- -- For a deer with 11 or more points, \$750 for each point.

The bill defines "point" a projection on the antler of a white-tailed deer that is at least one inch long as measured from its tip to the nearest edge of the antler beam.

Part 401 contains provisions regarding payment and collection of the restitution value for illegally killing, possessing, purchasing, or selling game or protected animals, and requires the restitution collected to be deposited in the Game and Fish Protection Fund. Under the bill, these provisions also will apply with respect to the additional restitution for an antlered white-tailed deer.

### <u>License Prohibition</u>

Under Part 401, a person is guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment and/or a fine, plus the costs of prosecution, for the illegal possession or taking of deer, bear, wild turkey, wolf, elk, moose, or a designated protected animal, or the illegal purchase or sale of game or a protected animal. In addition, the violator may not secure or possess a hunting license of any kind during the remainder of the year in which he or she is convicted and the next three calendar years.

Under the bill, an individual convicted of illegally killing, possessing, purchasing, or selling an antlered white-tailed deer may not secure or possess a hunting license of any kind for an additional two calendar years for a first offense, and an additional seven years for a second or subsequent offense, after the initial license prohibition period.

### Senate Bill 172

Part 731 prohibits a person from entering or remaining upon another person's property, other than farm property or a wooded area connected to farm property, to engage in any recreational activity or trapping without the owner's consent, if the property is fenced or enclosed and is maintained in a manner intended to exclude intruders; or is posted in a conspicuous manner against entry. A person may not enter or remain upon farm property or a connected wooded area for a recreational activity or trapping without the owner's consent whether or not the property or wooded area is fenced, enclosed, or posted. Part 731 also prohibits a person from discharging a firearm within the right-of-way of a public highway adjoining or abutting any platted property, fenced, enclosed, or posted property, or farm property or a connected wooded area, without the abutting property owner's consent. In addition, a person may not remove, deface, or destroy a sign or poster that has been posted against entry.

Page 2 of 4 sb171/1314

The owner of property on which a violation of Part 731 is committed, or his or her lessee, may bring an action against the violator for \$250 or actual property damage, whichever is greater, and actual and reasonable attorney fees. The bill increases the amount to the greater of \$750 or actual property damage, as well as attorney fees.

An individual who violates Part 731 is guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment for up to 90 days and/or a fine of at least \$100 but not more than \$500. For a second or subsequent violation within three years of a previous violation, the penalty is imprisonment for up to 90 days and/or a fine of at least \$100 but not more than \$1,000. In addition, the court may order the person's hunting, fishing, or trapping license revoked, and may order the person not to seek or possess a license for up to three years. The bill increases the minimum fine to \$500 and the maximum fine to \$1,500 for a second or subsequent offense within three years.

The bill also makes it a misdemeanor, punishable by imprisonment for up to 90 days or a fine of not less than \$250 or more than \$750, or both, to kill any protected animal, game, or fish while violating Part 731.

MCL 324.40119 (S.B. 171) 324.73109 & 324.73110 (S.B. 172)

#### ARGUMENTS

(Please note: The arguments contained in this analysis originate from sources outside the Senate Fiscal Agency. The Senate Fiscal Agency neither supports nor opposes legislation.)

# **Supporting Argument**

In addition to contributing millions of dollars to the State and local economies, hunting is important to many people on a personal level. For some individuals and families, the opening day of the firearm deer season is as traditional as Thanksgiving, and hunting gives residents and nonresidents an opportunity to enjoy the State's natural beauty. Venison is a mainstay of many people's diets, and surplus deer can be donated to food banks. When people poach deer, they are literally stealing the State's natural resources, and depriving others of the opportunity to experience a legitimate hunt.

Evidently, poachers who pursue trophy deer will commit multiple violations to do so, such as using spotlights, hunting at night, and trespassing. While many hunters might commit a minor violation, such as not wearing an orange hat, serious poachers commit flagrant offenses. Although poachers might claim that they need the meat, they will pass up unantlered deer or deer with small antlers in order to stalk a big buck. In some cases, such a deer is legendary among local hunters, who might spend years pursuing the animal legally until it is taken down by a poacher.

The current penalties are not strong enough to punish violators or to prevent future offenses. In terms of the restitution value, there is no differentiation between an antierless deer and a big buck, which actually rewards violators who poach trophy deer. Senate Bill 171 addresses this by requiring the payment of additional restitution for an antiered white-tailed deer that has eight or more than points, with the restitution amount increasing according to the number of points. For example, the restitution will be \$5,000 for a deer with eight points, and \$9,250 for an 11-point buck. These amounts will be on top of the \$1,000 in restitution already required under the law.

Also, by providing for additional periods of time during which a violator will be prohibited from obtaining a hunting license of any kind, the bill will deter poaching antlered white-tail deer (regardless of point size). Including the three-year period under current law, a first-time violator will be prevented from hunting for five years, and a second or subsequent offender will not be able to get a hunting license for 10 years.

**Response:** There is some concern that courts might be reluctant to impose large amounts of restitution for killing a deer illegally, especially when they are imposing smaller fines for other types of crimes, such as driving under the influence or assault and battery. If a court cannot "buy into" the concept of restitution, an offender might avoid conviction altogether.

Page 3 of 4 sb171/1314

### **Supporting Argument**

Senate Bill 172 will strengthen the law against recreational trespass by increasing the civil damage award and the criminal fine for repeat offenses. Currently, unless actual damages exceed \$250, that is the maximum amount a landowner may recover from someone who trespasses on his or her land to hunt (or engage in other recreational activity). The property owner, however, has invested in the land and might have made substantial improvements to it for his or her own use and enjoyment. Under the bill, an owner will be able to recover \$750 without having to show actual damages, or recover actual damages if they are higher. The bill also will put more teeth into the law by increasing the criminal fine for those who commit another violation within three years of a previous offense.

In addition, for someone who kills any protected animal, game, or fish while committing recreational trespass, the bill creates a new misdemeanor with higher fines than allowed for a first offense of violating Part 731.

Legislative Analyst: Suzanne Lowe

### **FISCAL IMPACT**

### Senate Bill 171

The bill will have a small, but likely positive effect on State finances, and no fiscal impact on local units of government. The bill will require individuals convicted of illegally taking, purchasing, possessing, or selling antlered white-tailed deer to pay restitution based on the number of points, in addition to any other penalties prescribed by law. It is unknown how much revenue will be raised by the additional restitution, but the bill will require that revenue to be deposited in the Game and Fish Protection Fund.

### Senate Bill 172

The bill increases criminal fines for second or subsequent violations of Part 731 and adds a new penalty for killing a protected animal while committing a violation (as described above). Local units of government will incur the cost of imprisonment or probation for individuals convicted of the new misdemeanor. Revenue from the increased and new fines will benefit public libraries.

Fiscal Analyst: Dan O'Connor

Josh Sefton

#### A1314\s171ea