

Legislative Analysis



CPL NO-CARRY ZONE EXEMPTION: INCLUDE RETIRED FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT

Phone: (517) 373-8080
<http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa>

Senate Bill 53 (Substitute S-1 as passed by the Senate)

Sponsor: Sen. Rick Jones

House Committee: Judiciary

Senate Committee: Judiciary

Analysis available at
<http://www.legislature.mi.gov>

Complete to 3-2-15

SUMMARY:

The bill would allow a retired federal law enforcement officer who has a license to carry a concealed pistol to carry that pistol in a no-carry zone.

Currently, a person holds a concealed pistol license (CPL) cannot carry the concealed pistol into certain places such as day care centers or bars - known as *no-carry zones* - unless specifically allowed by statute.

Senate Bill 53 would amend the Handgun License Act to specifically authorize a retired federal law enforcement officer who held a concealed pistol license (CPL) under the act to carry the pistol in a no-carry zone. The concealed weapon licensing board could require a letter or other documentation from the law enforcement agency that had employed the officer immediately prior to retirement stating that the officer retired in good standing. The bill would define "retired federal law enforcement officer" to mean an officer or agent employed by a law enforcement agency of the U.S. government whose primary responsibility was enforcing the laws of the United States, who was required to carry a firearm in the course of duties as a law enforcement officer, and who retired in good standing as a federal law enforcement officer.

The bill would also make several revisions of a technical nature to references to Section 12a to conform to changes made to that section by Public Act 559 of 2006.

MCL 28.425o

FISCAL IMPACT:

The bill would have no significant fiscal impact on the state or local units of government.

Legislative Analyst: Susan Stutzky
Fiscal Analyst: Paul Holland

■ This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House Fiscal Agency staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.