Legislative Analysis



INCOMPATIBLE OFFICES: EXCEPTIONS FOR CERTAIN PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICERS

Phone: (517) 373-8080 http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa

House Bill 4070 as introduced Sponsor: Rep. Kurt Heise

Analysis available at http://www.legislature.mi.gov

Committee: Oversight and Ethics

Complete to 3-13-15

SUMMARY:

The bill would amend Public Act 566 of 1978, which prescribes standards of conduct for public officers and employees and prohibits the holding of incompatible offices. Section 2 of the act says, "except as prohibited in Section 3, a public officer or public employee shall not hold two or more incompatible offices at the same time," and then Section 3 describes numerous exceptions to this general policy in detail. House Bill 4070 would modify those exceptions in cases involving public safety offices.

** The bill would allow a public officer or public employee of a city, village, township, or county with a population <u>under 50,000</u> to serve as a <u>firefighter</u>, <u>police chief</u>, <u>fire chief</u>, <u>police officer</u>, or <u>public safety officer</u>, with or without compensation, as long as he or she was not a person who negotiated a collective bargaining agreement on behalf of firefighters, police chiefs, fire chiefs, police officers, or public safety officers.

Currently, the exception in the act only applies to locales with a population under 25,000, and only allows officials or employees to serve as firefighters, and only as long as they are not full-time firefighters, fire chiefs, or negotiators.

- ** Currently, the act does not prohibit public officers or public employees of a city, village, township, or county with a population under 25,000 from serving, with or without compensation, as <u>emergency medical services personnel</u>. The bill would increase the population threshold to 50,000.
- ** Currently, the act says it does not limit the authority of the governing board of a city, village, township, or county with a population under 25,000 to authorize a public officer or public employee to perform other additional services for the local unit, with or without compensation. The bill would increase the population threshold to 50,000.
- ** The bill also would delete a provision that prohibits a public officer or public employee of a city, village, township, or county with a population under 3,000 from serving as fire chief. That provision would be rendered obsolete by other provisions in the bill.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

Public Act 566 of 1978 defines "incompatible offices" as public offices held by a public official that, when he or she is performing the duties of any of the offices, results in the

House Fiscal Agency Page 1 of 2

subordination of one public office to another, the supervision of one public office by another, or a breach of duty of public office.

The term "public officer" means a person who is elected or appointed to any of the following: (1) an office established by the State Constitution of 1963; (2) a public office of a city, village, township, or county in this state; or (3) a department, board, agency, institution, commission, authority, division, council, college, university, school district, intermediate school district, special district, or other public entity of this state or a city, village, township, or county in this state.

The term "public employee" means an employee of the state; an employee of a city, village, township, or county of this state; or an employee of a department, board, agency, institution, commission, authority, division, council, college, university, school district, intermediate school district, special district, or other public entity of this state or of a city, village, township, or county in this state, but does not include a person whose employment results from election or appointment.

FISCAL IMPACT:

As written, the bill could decrease expenditures for governmental units with a population of less than 50,000, should they choose to consolidate positions. There would be no impact on state revenues or expenses.

Legislative Analyst: Chris Couch Fiscal Analyst: Adam Desrosiers

House Fiscal Agency HB 4070 Page 2 of 2

[■] This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House Fiscal Agency staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.