## **Legislative Analysis**



FIREARMS: DEFINE "BRANDISH"

Phone: (517) 373-8080 http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa

House Bill 4160 as introduced Sponsor: Rep. Joel Johnson

Analysis available at http://www.legislature.mi.gov

House Bill 4161 as introduced Sponsor: Rep. Holly Hughes

**Committee: Judiciary** 

Complete to 2-9-15

## **SUMMARY:**

<u>House Bill 4160</u> would revise the prohibition on brandishing a weapon in public. House Bill 4161 would define the term "brandish."

The bills would take effect 90 days after enactment; they are tie-barred to each other, meaning that neither could take effect unless both are enacted into law.

Currently, the Michigan Penal Code prohibits a person from knowingly brandishing a firearm in public, but does not define the term "brandish."

<u>House Bill 4161</u> would amend the code to define the term "brandish" to mean intentionally point, wave about, or display in a threatening manner that would induce fear in a reasonable person. (MCL 750.222)

<u>House Bill 4160</u> would amend the code to revise the prohibition on brandishing a firearm so as to prohibit a person from *willfully* and knowingly brandishing a firearm in public. (MCL 750.234e)

There is <u>currently</u> an exception from the brandishing prohibition for a peace officer lawfully performing duties as a peace officer and also for a person *lawfully engaged* in hunting; target practice; or the sale, purchase, repair, or transfer of that firearm. <u>The bill</u> would:

- o Retain the exemption for a peace officer.
- Eliminate the exemptions for a person lawfully engaged in hunting; target practice; or the sale, purchase, repair, or transfer of that firearm.
- Add an exemption for a person lawfully acting in self-defense or defense of another under the Self-Defense Act (MCL 780.971-780.974)

Currently, a violation of the brandishing prohibition is a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment for not more than 90 days and/or a fine of not more than \$100; the bill retains the current penalty.

House Fiscal Agency Page 1 of 2

The bills v	would not have fiscal implic	ations for state or local u	nits of government.
		I Agiclativa Ana	alvet - Sucan Stutzk
		Legislative Ana	alyst: Susan Stutzk alyst: Robin Risko

■ This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House Fiscal Agency staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.

House Fiscal Agency