

# Legislative Analysis

---



## MOVING VIOLATIONS CAUSING DEATH OR SERIOUS INJURY

Phone: (517) 373-8080  
<http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa>

**House Bill 4314 as reported without amendment**  
**Sponsor: Rep. Sam Singh**  
**Committee: Criminal Justice**  
**Complete to 4-30-15**

Analysis available at  
<http://www.legislature.mi.gov>

**BRIEF SUMMARY:** The bill would apply the penalties for a moving violation causing serious injury or death to a moving violation that occurred on a frozen lake, stream, or pond or places open to the general public such as a parking lot.

**FISCAL IMPACT:** Given that the bill doesn't change existing penalties or fines, or create new penalties or fines, the bill would not have any new fiscal impact.

### **THE APPARENT PROBLEM:**

Several years ago, a person driving a van in a parking lot of a big box store hit several people, severely injuring one of them. The driver was ticketed under a statute that creates misdemeanor penalties for a moving violation that causes the death of or serious injury to another person, as adopted by a local ordinance. The defendant argued, and the court agreed, that the local ordinance and the underlying state statute as currently written, unlike the reckless driving statute, only apply to moving violations that occur on a state highway, not in a parking lot or other place open to the general public.

Some feel this is an unintended outcome of legislation meant to provide a lesser penalty than for reckless driving, which results in a felony for causing death or serious injury to another when operating a vehicle in a willful or wanton disregard for the safety of persons or property on a highway, frozen public lake, stream, or pond or other place open to the general public, including but not limited to a parking lot. Legislation has been offered to apply the moving violation causing death or serious injury statute in all the same places for which reckless driving applies.

### **THE CONTENT OF THE BILL:**

The bill specifies that the penalties currently in law for a moving violation that causes the death of another person or that causes serious impairment of a body function to another person would be triggered when a person commits a moving violation *while operating a vehicle upon a highway or a frozen public lake, stream, or pond or other place open to the general public, including, but not limited to, an area designated for the parking of motor vehicles.*

House Bill 4314 amends the Michigan Vehicle Code (MCL 257.601d). Currently, a moving violation that causes the death of another person is a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment for not more than one year and/or a fine of not more than \$2,000. A moving

violation that causes the serious impairment of a body function of another is also a misdemeanor and is punishable by no more than 93 days in jail and/or a fine not to exceed \$500. The new language being added, italicized above, is identical to that used in the reckless driving statute.

### ***ARGUMENTS:***

#### ***For:***

The bill closes what some see as a loophole created when the old felonious driving and negligent homicide statutes were repealed several years ago and replaced with laws creating a felony penalty for reckless driving and a misdemeanor penalty for a moving violation if the violations caused death or a serious injury to a body function of another. Unfortunately, unlike the reckless driving provision, the moving violation language did not include the places to which it would apply. A separate provision in the Vehicle Code (Section 601) states that unless a different place is specifically referred to in a given section, the provision refers exclusively to the operation of a vehicle upon a highway. Thus, a person who kills or maims another while operating a vehicle but whose conduct may not rise to the level of reckless driving would be guilty of a misdemeanor if the accident happened on a public road but not in a parking lot or other place open to the public. This seems like an oversight and not an intentional act by the Legislature.

The bill would remedy the situation by revising the moving violation statute to more closely mirror the reckless driving statute. In this way, justice would be preserved for victims of a negligent driver regardless of where they were injured or killed.

#### ***Against:***

Some may be concerned that the bill would give police expanded powers to patrol privately owned but publicly accessed areas such as parking lots.

#### ***Response:***

The bill would not expand police powers. The reckless driving statute has been in place for almost five years and applies to incidents occurring on other than public roads and it has not resulted in or been interpreted to expand police powers. The bill adopts the same language that is in the reckless driving statute so that victims of irresponsible drivers don't get one level of justice if the accident happened on a public street and another if it occurred elsewhere.

### ***POSITIONS:***

The Department of State Police indicated support for the bill. (4-21-15)

Legislative Analyst: Susan Stutzky  
Fiscal Analyst: Robin Risko

---

■ This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House Fiscal Agency staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.