

Legislative Analysis



ALLOW OUT-OF-STATE PHYSICIAN AFFILIATED WITH ATHLETIC TEAM TO PRACTICE IN MICHIGAN

Phone: (517) 373-8080
<http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa>

House Bill 4792 as enacted
Public Act 60 of 2016
Sponsor: Rep. Robert Wittenberg
House Committee: Regulatory Reform
Senate Committee: Health Policy
Complete to 1-22-18

Analysis available at
<http://www.legislature.mi.gov>

BRIEF SUMMARY: House Bill 4792 would amend Section 16171 of the Public Health Code to allow an individual who is currently authorized to practice a health profession in another state, and who provides health services for an athletic team, to practice that profession in this state without holding a Michigan license, if certain criteria are met.

FISCAL IMPACT: HB 4792 would not have a significant fiscal impact on the state or local units of government, as the bill would bring statute into conformity with relevant actual practices of the Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA).

THE APPARENT PROBLEM:

According to testimony presented by the bill sponsor, under current law, team physicians who travel with athletic teams and clubs from other states into Michigan and treat the members of the travel party are breaking state law, though to the knowledge of those presenting testimony, no visiting team physician has been charged with such a violation in Michigan.

THE CONTENT OF THE BILL:

House Bill 4792 would amend Section 16171 of the Public Health Code to allow an individual who is currently authorized to practice a health profession in another state and is providing health services for an athletic team, to practice that profession in this state without holding a Michigan license, if all of the following are met:

- The individual provides only those health services he or she would be permitted to provide if he or she were authorized under this article to engage in that health profession in this state.
- The athletic team is from the same state that authorized the individual to practice the health profession.
- The individual provides the health services under the terms of a written agreement with the athletic team.
- The individual only provides the health services while the athletic team is traveling to or from or participating in a sporting event in this state and only to any of the following:
 - A member of the athletic team.
 - A member of the athletic team's coaching, communications, equipment, or sports medicine staff.
 - A member of a band or cheerleading squad that is accompanying the athletic team.
 - The athletic team's mascot.

- The individual does not provide health services at a health care facility or agency, as defined in Section 20106 of the Code, located in this state.

A health care facility or agency is defined in Section 20106 as:

- An ambulance operation, aircraft transport operation, nontransport prehospital life support operation, or medical first response service.
- A county medical care facility.
- A freestanding surgical outpatient facility.
- A health maintenance organization.
- A home for the aged.
- A hospital.
- A nursing home.
- A facility or agency listed above that is located in a university, college, or other educational institution.
- A hospice.
- A hospice residence.

The bill would take effect 90 days after being enacted.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

Section 16171 of the Code currently contains several other situations in which an individual may practice a certain health profession without being licensed in that profession in Michigan, including a current exemption for individuals authorized to practice a health profession in another state who have been authorized by the U.S. Olympic Committee to exclusively to team personnel and athletes.

ARGUMENTS:

For:

Supporters of the bill stated that the intent is to bring Michigan law into line with current practice without altering Michigan's standards for physician licensing. Participants in the athletic contest would be best served by a physician who is familiar with their medical history and has treated them in the past, supporters say, and since those participants are also usually residents of the state where that physician is licensed, those participants would be receiving the same level of care they would in their home state.

Against:

No individuals or organizations indicated opposition to the bill.

Legislative Analyst: Josh Roesner
Fiscal Analyst: Paul B.A. Holland

■ This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House Fiscal Agency staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.