



**ANALYSIS** 

Telephone: (517) 373-5383

Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bill 610 (Substitute S-1 as passed by the Senate)

Sponsor: Senator Margaret E. O'Brien

Committee: Local Government

Date Completed: 3-17-16

#### **RATIONALE**

The Condominium Act requires a condominium subdivision plan for each condominium project to be prepared by a licensed architect, licensed professional surveyor, or licensed professional engineer, and to contain documents and information specified in the Act. These items include building sections showing the existing and proposed structures and improvements. Any proposed structure and improvement shown must be labeled either "must be built" or "need not be built". The developer is required to complete all structures or improvements designated "must be built". The Act contains provisions under which the developer has 10 years to complete the development and construction of portions of a condominium project identified as "need not be built"; if those portions are not developed, they are automatically transferred to the condominium association, unless the developer has withdrawn the undeveloped portions from the project. The transferred portions remain part of the condominium project as general common elements and all rights to construct units on that land cease. These provisions have caused confusion regarding the timing of the transfer of property and the title history of transferred property. Some people therefore believe that the process of transferring undeveloped portions of a condominium project to the association of condominium co-owners should be revised.

### CONTENT

The bill would amend the Condominium Act to revise provisions under which a developer may withdraw undeveloped portions from a project without the prior consent of co-owners, mortgagees, or other interested parties; and provisions under which undeveloped portions that are not withdrawn after a specified time period remain as general common elements and construction rights cease. Regarding undeveloped land or condominium units not withdrawn, the bill would 1) allow an association of co-owners, by an affirmative two-thirds vote, to declare that the undeveloped land would remain part of the project but revert to common elements and that rights to construct condominium units on that undeveloped land would cease; and 2) allow the developer, within 60 days, to withdraw the undeveloped land or convert the undeveloped condominium units to "must be built".

If a condominium developer has not completed development and construction of units or improvements in a condominium project that are identified as "need not be built" within 10 years after construction of the project began, the developer, its successors, or its assigns have the right to withdraw from the project all undeveloped portions of it that are not identified as "must be built", without prior consent of any co-owners, mortgagees of units in the project, or any other party having an interest in the project. If the master deed contains provisions permitting the expansion, contraction, or rights of convertibility of units or common elements in the condominium project, then the time period is six years after the developer exercised its rights with respect to expansion, contraction, or convertibility, whichever right was exercised last. The undeveloped portions of the project withdrawn are automatically granted easements for utility and access purposes through the condominium project for the benefit of the undeveloped portions.

Page 1 of 4 sb610/1516

The bill would revise the provisions described above. Specifically, for 10 years after the recording of the master deed, the developer, its successors, or assigns could withdraw from the project any undeveloped land or convert the undeveloped condominium units located on that land to "must be built" without the prior consent of any co-owners, mortgagees of condominium units in the project, or any other party having an interest in the project. If the master deed conferred on the developer expansion, contraction, or convertibility rights with respect to condominium units or common elements in the condominium project, then the time period would be 10 years after the recording of the master deed or six years after the recording of the amendment to the master deed by which the developer last exercised its expansion, contraction, or convertibility rights, whichever period ended later. Any undeveloped land so withdrawn would be automatically granted easements for utility and access purposes through the condominium project for the benefit of the undeveloped land.

Currently, if the developer does not withdraw the undeveloped portions of the project from the project before the specified time period expires, the undeveloped land must remain part of the project as general common elements and all rights to construct units on that land cease. The bill instead provides that, if the developer did not withdraw undeveloped land from the project or convert undeveloped condominium units to "must be built" before the applicable time period expired, the association of co-owners, by an affirmative two-thirds majority vote, could declare that the undeveloped land would remain part of the project but revert to general common elements and that all rights to construct condominium units on that undeveloped land would cease.

When a declaration described above was made, the association of co-owners would have to give written notice of it to the developer or any successor developer by first-class mail at its last known address. Within 60 days after receiving the notice, the developer or any successor developer could withdraw the undeveloped land or convert the undeveloped units to "must be built". If the undeveloped land were not withdrawn or the undeveloped condominium units were not converted within 60 days, however, the association could file the notice of the declaration with the register of deeds. The association also would have to file notice of the declaration with the local supervisor or assessing officer.

A reversion of undeveloped land to common elements, as described above, whether occurring before or after the bill's effective date, would not be effective unless the election, notice, and recording requirements were met.

The provisions that the bill would amend would not apply to condominium units no longer owned by the developer or by the owner of the property at the time it became part of the condominium project, unless the purchaser from the developer or previous owner were a successor developer.

The bill would define "undeveloped land" as land on which were recorded one or more condominium units, none of which were either identified in the condominium subdivision plan as "must be built" or have had construction commenced, although infrastructure construction or common element construction may have begun. The term would not include condominium units that are depicted or described on the condominium subdivision plan as containing no vertical improvements.

The bill would take effect 90 days after its enactment.

MCL 559.167

#### **ARGUMENTS**

(Please note: The arguments contained in this analysis originate from sources outside the Senate Fiscal Agency. The Senate Fiscal Agency neither supports nor opposes legislation.)

### **Supporting Argument**

The current process by which certain undeveloped parcels of a condominium project are transferred from the developer to the association of condominium co-owners is confusing. Under the Condominium Act, portions of a project that are labeled "need not be built" are to be transferred to the condominium association and be retained as common elements if the developer has not

Page 2 of 4 sb610/1516

completed development and construction of units or improvements in the condominium project or withdrawn the property from the project within 10 years after the date of "commencement of construction" of the project. Since "commencement of construction" is not defined in the Act, there has been confusion about what constitutes the beginning of construction (e.g., the design of the project, the laying out of streets and lots, the breaking of ground for infrastructure, or the actual construction of condominium units). By specifying that the developer could withdraw any undeveloped land from the project or convert undeveloped condominium units located on that land to "must be built" for 10 years after the recording of the master deed, the bill would provide a specific time frame for the developer to take action. The proposed revisions also would allow the developer to convert undeveloped land to "must be built", rather than withdrawing it from the project; this would keep the property in the project and require the development of units on that property.

### **Supporting Argument**

The current process for transferring undeveloped property does not adequately balance the rights of the developer and the association of co-owners. Automatically transferring undeveloped land that has not been withdrawn from the condominium project is unfair and limiting. The developer has no option to reclassify the property and, if it misses the elusive statutory deadline, can lose title to valuable property. By allowing a developer to either withdraw the property from the project or convert it to "must be built" within the appropriate period, and requiring a 60-day notice period after the condominium association declared its intent to assume the undeveloped land and convert it to common elements of the association, the bill would protect the developer's investment and ensure that the condominium project remained on track for development.

In addition, the statutory process for automatic transfer of undeveloped land in the condominium project can unfairly saddle a condominium association with additional parcels that must be converted to common elements. Such a conversion may be contrary to the best interests and desires of the association of co-owners, who might not want the responsibility for the undeveloped parcels. Indeed, an association may prefer to have that property developed into more condominium units so the tax liability can be distributed among more co-owners. By establishing a system under which the developer could convert portions of the project to "must be built", and providing for a transfer of undeveloped land to the association only upon the a two-thirds affirmative vote of the co-owners, the bill would allow various options to be explored.

#### **Opposing Argument**

One of the benefits of the Condominium Act is that it helps to prevent incomplete condominium projects and provide an end date for a project's development. The current land transfer provision, under which undeveloped land automatically converts to common elements, is significant to a condominium project's association of co-owners because it allows the association to take over undeveloped land and terminate rights to construction on it. This provides certainty and gives the association the opportunity to prepare a budget, determine maintenance needs and costs, levy assessments, and determine the necessary amount of funds to hold in reserve in order to maintain land held as common elements. By eliminating the automatic transfer of property, requiring a two-thirds vote of an association's co-owners, and giving the developer an additional 60 days to take action, the bill would undermine the certainty that the current system affords to an association.

While automatic transfer without some additional affirmative action may create potential title insurance issues, placing the onus for the property transfer on the co-owners is not an appropriate remedy. Rather than requiring an affirmative vote of association members to transfer undeveloped property, perhaps the bill should retain the automatic transfer process but require that an amendment to the master deed be filed with the register of deeds to reflect the property transfer.

# **Opposing Argument**

The proposed land transfer procedure would not apply to condominium units that were no longer owned by the developer or by the owner of the property at the time it became part of the condominium project, unless the purchaser of that property were a successor developer. This would prevent anyone other than a developer or successor developer from asserting developer rights under the transfer process. A co-owner who had purchased a condominium unit that had

Page 3 of 4 sb610/1516

not yet been built, for instance, could not withdraw the property from the condominium project as a developer may do. This restriction, however, could be a hindrance to the association of co-owners because it would open the door to the possibility of land remaining undeveloped indefinitely and claims that any unbuilt units that were not owned by the developer or a successor developer could not be converted to common elements of the association. The bill should allow the land transfer process to proceed regardless of who owned unbuilt units when the relevant time period expired.

Legislative Analyst: Patrick Affholter

# **FISCAL IMPACT**

The bill would have no fiscal impact on State or local government.

Fiscal Analyst: Josh Sefton

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.