



ANALYSIS

Telephone: (517) 373-5383

Fax: (517) 373-1986

House Bill 4477 (Substitute H-1 as passed by the House)

Sponsor: Representative Klint Kesto House Committee: Criminal Justice

Senate Committee: Judiciary

Date Completed: 12-7-15

CONTENT

The bill would amend the Revised Judicature Act to provide for service of process in an action appealed to the Court of Appeals or Michigan Supreme Court when a court order prohibited a party from contacting the other party to the action.

Specifically, if a court had entered an order that prohibited the disclosure of the address of a party to the action or prohibited a party from contacting another party, and the action were appealed to the Court of Appeals or the Supreme Court, a party to the action would have to serve process or papers that are required to be served directly on the protected party by delivering sufficient extra copies to the clerk of the Court of Appeals or Supreme Court, as appropriate. The delivery of those copies would have to include a request that the clerk or a sheriff, deputy sheriff, police officer, or appointed court officer serve the process or papers on the protected party.

The clerk, sheriff, deputy sheriff, police officer, or court officer would have to serve process or papers received under these provisions at one of the following locations:

- -- The confidential address the protected party gave to the court under Michigan Court Rules.
- -- If a confidential address had not been provided, the protected party's last known address as provided by a lower court.

The bill would take effect 90 days after its enactment.

MCL 600.227 & 600.316 Legislative Analyst: Patrick Affholter

FISCAL IMPACT

The bill would have a negative, though likely minimal, fiscal impact on the State and local government. The cost for delivery of process or papers by the Court of Appeals or Supreme Court clerk or other officer would be borne by the State or local government, depending on who handled the delivery.

Fiscal Analyst: Ryan Bergan

S1516\s4477sa

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.