Legislative Analysis



MOTORCYCLE ENDORSEMENT/REGISTRATION

Phone: (517) 373-8080 http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa

House Bill 4306 as introduced Sponsor: Rep. Jim Tedder

Analysis available at http://www.legislature.mi.gov

Committee: Transportation and Infrastructure

Complete to 3-20-17

SUMMARY:

The bill would amend the Michigan Vehicle Code regarding motorcycle endorsement fees and motorcycle registration taxes as follows:

** Under Section 312c of the Code every application for a motorcycle endorsement on an operator's or chauffeur's license must be accompanied by fees, in addition to other fees for the operator's or chauffeur's license. House Bill 4306 would increase the original motorcycle endorsement fee from \$13.50 to \$16.00, and increase the renewal motorcycle endorsement fee from \$5.00 to \$7.00. The Motorcycle Safety Fund receives \$10.00 from each original endorsement and \$3.00 from each renewal for motorcycle safety education programs operated by the Secretary of State. The bill does not change the amount of this earmark.

** Currently, Section 801 of the Code earmarks \$3.00 of each motorcycle registration tax for the Motorcycle Safety Fund. The bill would increase this earmark from \$3.00 to \$5.00.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Section 312c. (1)

As introduced, House Bill 4306 would result in an estimated increase in motorcycle endorsement fee revenue of \$250,000 annually over the roughly \$718,000 currently collected. This additional revenue would be credited to the General Fund. Earmarks for the MSF and for local government would not change.

Section 801(1)(n)

House Bill 4306 would not increase the current registration tax on motorcycles—\$26.00 in our reading of the Michigan Vehicle Code, \$23.00 as currently collected by the Michigan Secretary of State. (See explanation below.) The bill would increase the current Motorcycle Safety Fund earmark from \$3.00 to \$5.00. Based on 2016 motorcycle registrations of 258,265, the bill would increase Motorcycle Safety Fund revenue from the motorcycle registration tax earmark by \$516,500 (from the current \$771,468) and reduce the registration tax revenue for credit to the Michigan Transportation Fund (MTF) by a like amount.

In Fiscal Year 2015-16 the Motorcycle Safety Fund was credited with a total of \$1.7 million from license endorsement fees, the earmark of motorcycle registration taxes, and other vehicle transactions. The proposed increase in Motorcycle Safety Fund revenue is sought by the Department of State, as it explains, to ensure solvency of the fund and the motorcycle safety education training grants program.

House Fiscal Agency Page 1 of 3

Note on Motorcycle Registration Tax

The current registration tax on motorcycles is established in Section 801(1)(n) of the Michigan Vehicle Code. This subdivision states that the registration tax for each motorcycle is \$23.00. The section also states: "Beginning January 1, 1984, the registration *tax* for each motorcycle is increased by \$3.00," and directs that "Beginning January 1, 1984, \$3.00 of each motorcycle *fee* shall be placed in a motorcycle safety fund..."

The Michigan Vehicle Code generally uses the word "registration tax" to refer to the specific vehicle registration taxes that are constitutionally dedicated to transportation and are earmarked to the MTF in statute. The word "fee" is generally used to refer to late fees, service fees, regulatory fees, or recreation passport fees that are not considered specific registration taxes and thus not constitutionally dedicated to transportation. The subdivision establishing the registration tax on motorcycles, Section 801(1)(n), uses both terms, "tax" and "fee."

This current language would appear to establish a base registration tax rate for each motorcycle of \$23.00, plus a separate \$3.00 registration tax increase, effective January 1, 1984, earmarked for the Motorcycle Safety Fund. The \$23.00 base tax plus the \$3.00 increases would total \$26.00. However, it is our understanding that the Secretary of State currently collects only \$23.00 in total for each from motorcycle registration and credits the \$3.00 Motorcycle Safety Fund earmark from the \$23.00 tax.

We note that Section 801(1)(n) currently directs that the registration tax on motorcycles be annually adjusted based on increase or decrease in state personal income. It is our understanding that the Department of State does not make this annual adjustment of the motorcycle registration tax.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

Vehicle registration taxes represent one of the two major sources of constitutionally dedicated state transportation revenue—the other major source being motor fuel taxes. Revenue from registration taxes totaled \$1.0 billion in FY 2015-16. This revenue is constitutionally dedicated to transportation and is credited to the Michigan Transportation Fund (MTF) in accordance with the provisions of Public Act 51 of 1951.

Vehicle registration taxes are established in the Michigan Vehicle Code (Public Act 300 of 1949). Sections 224 and 225 of the Michigan Vehicle Code provide for the registration of motor vehicles and give authority for the vehicle registration program to the Michigan Secretary of State. Registration taxes are assessed and collected when vehicle owners obtain new registration license plates from the Secretary of State or renew registrations.

There is not a single registration tax. There are in fact a number of different registration taxes established in Section 801 of the Michigan Vehicle Code. A number of factors determine the tax rate and the base on which the tax is applied, including the vehicle model year, the list price of the vehicle, the weight of the vehicle, the use of the vehicle, and in some cases some characteristic of the vehicle owner. There is a specific registration tax on

House Fiscal Agency HB 4306 Page 2 of 3

motorcycles in Section 801. For a description of registration taxes under current law, see the memorandum <u>Vehicle Registration Taxes</u>, <u>Fiscal Focus: Vehicle Registration Primer</u>, dated August 21, 2014, on the House Fiscal Agency website.

Legislative Analyst: E. Best

Fiscal Analysts: William E. Hamilton

Michael Cnossen

House Fiscal Agency HB 4306 Page 3 of 3

[■] This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House Fiscal Agency staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.