



Senate Fiscal Agency
P. O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536

BILL



ANALYSIS

Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bill 122 (as introduced 2-9-17)
Sponsor: Senator Margaret E. O'Brien
Committee: Agriculture

Date Completed: 5-17-17

CONTENT

The bill would amend the Food Law to do the following:

- **Allow a customer's dog in an outdoor dining area of a food service establishment if a health or safety hazard would not result from the dog's presence or activities, and the establishment met certain requirements.**
- **Allow a local unit of government to adopt and enforce an ordinance that was more restrictive than the bill's provisions.**
- **Permit a food service establishment to deny entry to a customer and his or her dog, determine the space allowed for a dog, and establish other limits.**
- **Include in the definition of "core item" the bill's requirements pertaining to a dog permitted in outdoor dining areas (limiting the imposition of an administrative fine for a violation).**

The bill would take effect 90 days after its enactment.

Dogs Permitted in Outdoor Dining Area

The Law incorporates by reference Chapters 1 through 8 of the Food Code, 2009 Recommendations of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) of the United States Public Health Service. (The Food Code is a model act representing the FDA's recommended best practices for addressing food safety and the regulation of food establishments.) Generally, the Food Code provides that live animals may not be permitted on the premises of a food establishment. However, Section 6-501.115(B) specifies that live animals may be allowed in the following situations if the contamination of food, clean equipment, utensils, linens, and unwrapped single-service and single-use articles cannot result:

- Edible or decorative fish in aquariums, and shellfish or crustacea on ice or under refrigeration or in display tank systems.
- Patrol dogs accompanying police or security officers in offices and dining, sales, and storage areas, and sentry dogs running loose in outside fenced areas.
- Service animals.
- Pets in common dining areas of institutional care facilities, e.g., nursing homes or assisted living facilities, at times other than during meals if several conditions are met.
- In areas that are not used for food preparation, storage, sales, display, or dining, in which there are caged or confined animals.

The bill also would allow a dog that was controlled by a customer in an outdoor dining area of a food service establishment if a health or safety hazard would not result from the dog's presence or activities, and if all of the conditions described below were met.

The dog could not pass through the interior, or any playground area, of the food service establishment to enter the outdoor dining area. A separate entrance would have to be provided from the exterior of the establishment to the outdoor dining area.

The dining area would have to be maintained free of visible dog hair, dander, and other related waste and debris, and would have to be cleaned and disinfected appropriately as needed.

Surfaces contaminated by waste created from the dog's bodily functions would have to be cleaned and disinfected immediately, and equipment used to clean dog waste would have to be stored separately from all other cleaning equipment and could not be used for other cleaning purposes. All dog waste would have to be disposed of at least daily outside of the food establishment in a covered waste receptacle.

An employee who touched the dog or cleaned dog waste could not serve food or beverages or handle tableware until he or she had washed his or her hands.

The dog would not be allowed on a seat, chair, or customer's lap, or allowed to contact a tabletop, countertop, or similar surface in the outdoor dining area. The dog would not be allowed to contact reusable tableware unless the tableware was dedicated to use by dogs and readily distinguishable as such or was provided by the person handling the dog.

The dog would not be allowed in an area where food was prepared.

The dog could not be unattended, and the customer would have to keep the dog on a leash. The customer would have to be at least 18 years of age.

In addition, the owner of a dog brought into the food service establishment would be liable for any damage or injury to the establishment, an employee, or a customer caused by the dog.

Written Procedures & Notice

A food service establishment would have to maintain and make available to the regulatory authority or a customer upon request written procedures that ensured compliance with the bill's requirements. Before allowing a dog within the establishment, it would have to provide written notice to the local health department that it intended to allow customers' dogs to accompany customers in its outdoor dining area. The establishment would have to mail the notice by first-class mail or deliver it at least 30 days before allowing dogs to accompany customers. The notice would be effective while the establishment remained in business, and it would not have to mail or deliver more than one notice.

The food service establishment could do any of the following: a) determine the location and amount of space designated for a customer accompanied by his or her dog, b) establish a limit on the size and type of dog and any other limitation relating to a customer's dog, and c) deny entry to or reject from the food establishment a customer and his or her dog.

Local Ordinance

The Law prohibits a county, city, village, or township from regulating those aspects of food service establishments or vending machines that are subject to the Law except to the extent

necessary to carry out a local health department's responsibility to implement the Law's licensing provisions. Under the bill, a local unit of government would be permitted to adopt and enforce an ordinance that was more restrictive than the bill's provisions.

Core Items

The Law defines "core item" as a provision in the Food Code that is not designated as a priority item or priority foundation item. Core items include the following: a) an item that usually relates to general sanitation, operational controls, sanitation standard operating procedures, facilities, or structures, equipment design, or general maintenance; and b) the requirements of Sections 2129(2) and 6152 (which pertain to requirements for a certified food safety manager to complete a food safety training component with an allergen awareness component and for a food service establishment to display a poster relating to food allergy awareness, respectively).

The bill also would include as a core item its requirements pertaining to dogs permitted in an establishment's outdoor dining area.

(For violations of the Law or rules promulgated under the Law, the Department of Agriculture and Rural Development may impose an administrative fine of at least \$500 for the first violation and up to \$1,000 for each subsequent violation, with aggregate maximum fines depending on the firm's annual gross receipts. The Law prohibits the imposition of an administrative fine for violations of the Food Code other than priority items (provisions contributing directly to elimination or prevention of hazards associated with foodborne illness or injury, e.g., hand-washing), priority foundation items (provisions that support, facilitate, or enable a priority item, e.g., personnel training or record-keeping), or repeated violations that remain uncorrected beyond the time frame specified or agreed to by the Department. The Department may not impose an administrative fine for a core item violation unless the violation is not corrected within 30 days of an evaluation.)

MCL 289.1105 et al.

Legislative Analyst: Jeff Mann

FISCAL IMPACT

The bill would have an indeterminate fiscal impact on local county health departments. The bill would allow a customer to bring a non-service dog into an outdoor dining area of a food service establishment, unless prohibited by a restaurant or a local unit of government. The bill also would establish requirements applicable to establishments that allowed dogs into their outdoor dining areas. As local public health departments are responsible for the regulation of local restaurant operations, they could incur additional regulatory costs to ensure restaurant compliance with the requirements of the bill, in an amount that cannot be determined at this time.

The bill could have a fiscal impact on the State to the extent the Department of Agriculture and Rural Development imposed an administrative fine for uncorrected violations of the bill's requirements.

Fiscal Analyst: Bruce Baker

SAS\S1718\s122sa

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.