



ANALYSIS

Telephone: (517) 373-5383

Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bills 553 through 565 (as introduced 9-13-17)

Sponsor: Senator Goeff Hansen (S.B. 553-557)

Senator Wayne Schmidt (S.B. 558-561) Senator Mike Green (S.B. 562-565)

Committee: Outdoor Recreation and Tourism

Date Completed: 9-26-17

### **CONTENT**

<u>Senate Bill 562</u> would amend the Michigan Historical Markers Act to do the following:

- -- Permit the Department of Natural Resources (DNR), after consultation with the Michigan Historical Commission, to enter into an agreement to assist with administration of the Michigan Historical Marker Program.
- -- Modify the goals of the program.
- -- Include in the definition of "historic resource" places associated with a significant individual, group, or event in the State.
- -- Require the DNR to maintain the State Register of Historic Sites.
- -- Require markers and locations approved by the Commission to be added to the Register.
- -- Permit the Commission, with a landowner's consent, to submit an application for the placement of an official Michigan historical marker.
- -- Require the Department of Treasury, as appropriate, to increase the application fee annually according to increases in the consumer price index.
- -- Delete an exception for an agency from the requirement to pay an application fee.
- -- Require an application to be approved by the Commission, rather than the Michigan History Center (presently called the Michigan Historical Center).
- -- Require the Center to arrange for a marker to be prepared, upon the Commission's approval, and to give preference to a Michigan-based company.
- -- Require an applicant to pay the full actual cost of a marker, before it was ordered.
- -- Allow a marker to refer to Michigan as the "Great Lakes State".
- -- Require a person in possession of a resource or site where a marker was displayed to maintain the marker according to prescribed standards.
- -- Require certain funds to be deposited into the Michigan History Center Operations Fund, instead of the Historical Marker Fund, and transfer money in that Fund to the Operations Fund.
- -- Delete a provision making it a misdemeanor to exhibit or use the design of a marker without the DNR's permission.
- -- Permit a person in possession of a marker to return it to the DNR or a sheriff without penalty, within one year after the bill's effective date.
- -- Require a person to return a marker to the Center or dispose of it as prescribed by the Center, if the Center withdrew a marker designation.

Page 1 of 7 sb553/1718

The bill also would rename the Act as the "Governor John B. Swainson Michigan Historical Markers Act".

<u>Senate Bill 563</u> would amend Part 635 (Surface and Underground Coal Mine Reclamation) of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act to refer to the Governor John B. Swainson Michigan Historical Markers Act in the definition of "historic resource".

**Senate Bill 564** would amend the Michigan Historical Center Act to do the following:

- -- Replace the term "historical" with "history" in the names of the Act, the Michigan Historical Center, the Michigan Historical Museum, and the Michigan Historical Center Operations Fund.
- -- Allow money in the Fund to be spent to implement the Governor John B. Swainson Michigan Historical Markers Act.
- -- Require fees collected for admissions and other services to be deposited in the Operations Fund.
- -- Require the DNR to prepare an annual report accounting for revenue and expenditures of the Fund, and including information about the location of any markers erected during the previous fiscal year; and provide the report to legislative committees.

<u>Senate Bill 565</u> would amend the Michigan Historical Commission Act to refer to the Michigan History Center, rather than the Michigan Historical Center.

<u>Senate Bills 553 through 561</u> would amend various acts to refer to the Michigan History Center Act, rather than Public Act 271 of 1913 (which was repealed when the Michigan Historical Center Act was enacted) in provisions concerning the retention or disposition of records.

Senate Bills 553 through 561 are tie-barred to Senate Bill 564. Senate Bills 562, 564, and 565 are tie-barred to each other. Senate Bill 563 is tie-barred to Senate Bill 562.

Each bill would take effect 90 days after enactment.

Senate Bills 562 and 564 are described in more detail below. The provisions of the laws that Senate Bills 553 through 561 would amend also are listed below.

#### Senate Bill 562

# <u>Historical Marker Program</u>

The Michigan Historical Markers Act states that historic preservation and related public education are declared to be public purposes, and authorizes the Department of Natural Resources to administer a Michigan Historical Marker Program in furtherance of those purposes. The bill would refer to the preservation of history and culture, rather than historic preservation.

The bill would permit the DNR, after formal consultation with the Michigan Historical Commission, to enter into an agreement to assist with administration of the program. The bill would require the Michigan History Center, using modern professional practices, to meet the goals listed in the Act.

Page 2 of 7 sb553/1718

Those goals include identifying and locating historic sites and subjects having historic significance to the State. The bill would refer to events, objects, and people, as well as sites and subjects.

The goals also include uniting people from various regions of the State through improved dissemination of information about historic resources and places. The bill would refer to the State's heritage and cultural resources, rather than places.

The Act permits the DNR to list a historic resource or site in the State Register of Historic Sites, and to commemorate the resource or site with the placement of an official Michigan historical marker if the resource or site meets written criteria adopted by the Department upon recommendation of the Commission. The bill would delete this language.

The bill instead would require the DNR to maintain the State Register of Historic Sites, and would require markers and locations approved by the Commission to be added to the Register. The bill also would require the DNR to provide information to the public regarding the Register, the marker database, and the Michigan Historical Marker Program through online, printed, or other media.

## Marker Application & Approval; Preparation; Text; & Maintenance

The Act allows the following to file an application (a request for the placement of an official Michigan historical marker at the location of a historic resource or site and for its listing in the Register):

- -- A person owning or in possession of a historic resource or a person having written consent from the owner or person in possession or a historic resource.
- -- A department or agency of the State or a political subdivision of the State that owns, controls, or is in possession of a historic resource.

The bill also would allow the Commission on its own motion with consent from the landowner to file an application.

The Act requires an applicant other than an agency to pay the Center a \$250 application fee when submitting an application. The bill would delete the exception for an agency, and would require the Department of Treasury to increase the amount annually, as appropriate, according to increases in the consumer price index. ("Consumer price index" would mean the most comprehensive index of consumer prices available for the Detroit area from the Bureau of Labor Statistics in the U.S. Department of Labor.)

Currently, the Center must deposit the fee in the Historical Marker Fund. The bill, instead, would require the Center to forward the fee to the State Treasurer for deposit into the Michigan History Center Operations Fund.

The Act requires the Center to review an application for completeness and accuracy. The bill would delete a provision that allows Center representatives to visit a site if necessary.

Currently, if the Center concludes that an application meets the criteria for placement of an official marker, it must endorse the application and prepare marker text for presentation to the Commission. Under the bill, instead, if the Commission concluded that an application met the criteria, it would have to approve the application and the Center would have to work with the sponsor to prepare the marker text for presentation to the Commission.

Page 3 of 7 sb553/1718

The bill also would require the Center to arrange for a marker to be prepared if the Commission approved an application. The Center would have to give preference to a Michigan-based company for the preparation. Before a marker was ordered, the applicant would have to pay its full actual cost.

The Act requires a marker to include the words "Michigan Historical Center" and the name of the Department. The bill instead would require a marker to include the words "Michigan History Center and Michigan Historical Commission". The Act also requires a marker to have a logo or seal with a wolverine emblem. Under the bill, a marker could refer to Michigan as the "Great Lakes State" and would have to be dark green with gold lettering; have a logo or seal with a wolverine emblem or Great Lakes State emblem; and (as currently required) include the words "registered Michigan historic site".

The bill would require a person or agency in possession of a resource or site where a marker was displayed to maintain the marker according to standards prescribed by the DNR upon consultation with the Commission. Failure to do so could result in the marker's removal by the Department.

#### Operations Fund; Fund Revenue

The Act creates the Historical Marker Fund, and requires the State Treasurer to direct the investment of the Fund and credit to it interest and earnings from investments. Money in the Fund must remain in it at the end of the fiscal year and not lapse to the General Fund. The bill would delete these provisions. On the bill's effective date, any money in the Historical Marker Fund would be transferred to the Operations Fund.

(The Operations Fund is created under the Michigan Historical Center Act, as described below in the summary of Senate Bill 564.)

The Historical Markers Act allows the DNR to accept gifts, grants, bequests, and appropriations for the purpose of administering the Michigan Historical Marker Program, including the manufacture and placement of markers, repair and maintenance of markers, program administration, application reviews, marker restoration, marker recovery, and enforcement of the Act. Senate Bill 562 would include in these purposes tourism and education programs.

The Act also permits the DNR to register as a trademark or service mark the logo, seal, and emblem associated with official historical markers, as well as license or sell rights to publish or otherwise use the logo, seal, or emblem.

Upon discovering that a marker has been marred, vandalized, or otherwise damaged, the DNR may bring an action to recover the actual replacement cost, plus taxable costs, reasonable attorney fees, and interest.

All of the money described above must be deposited in the Historical Marker Fund. The bill instead would require the money to be forwarded to the State Treasurer for deposit into the Operations Fund.

#### Prohibited Conduct; Fines

The Act prohibits a person or agency from exhibiting, displaying, or using an official Michigan historical marker's distinctive design, configuration, pattern, or color combination for any purpose without the DNR's written permission. A violation is a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment for up to 90 days or a maximum fine of \$1,000, or both. The bill would delete these provisions.

Page 4 of 7 sb553/1718

The Act also makes it a misdemeanor for a person or agency to damage, destroy, remove, or possess an official historical marker without the Department's permission. In addition to imprisonment and a fine, an offender is liable to the State in an amount double the cost of repair, replacement, and restoration of the site and the marker.

In addition, it is a misdemeanor for a person, including a salvage company, commercial business, or collector, to knowingly accept in trade or possess an official historical marker. In addition to imprisonment and a fine, an offender is liable to the State in an amount three times the cost of the repair, restoration, or replacement.

These amounts must be deposited in the Historical Marker Fund. The bill would refer to the amounts as civil fines, and would require them to be forwarded to the State Treasurer for deposit into the Operations Fund.

### Return of Marker without Penalty

Within one year after its effective date, the bill would permit a person possessing an official historical marker to return it to the DNR or the sheriff of the person's county of residence without penalty for larceny or violating the Act, unless the removal of a marker resulted in death or personal injury. In consultation with the Commission, the Department would have to determine the disposition of the returned marker.

# Withdrawal of Marker

Currently, if the Center withdraws a marker designation, the person or agency in possession of the historic resource or site must immediately return the marker to the Center. The bill would require the person or agency to return the marker or dispose of it in a manner prescribed by the Center and documented in writing.

## Senate Bill 564

The Michigan Historical Center Act creates the Michigan Historical Center Operations Fund in the State Treasury. The DNR may spend money in the Fund, upon appropriation, to do any of the following:

- -- Purchase artifacts for the collections of the Michigan Historical Center.
- -- Restore artifacts in the collections of the Center.
- -- Advertise and pay for educational programs, special exhibits, and special events presented at the Center or another museum or historical facility operated by the DNR.
- -- Provide free material to school groups.
- -- Purchase items offered for sale at the store operated by the Center.
- -- Pay for any other expense incurred by the Center.

The bill also would allow money in the Fund to be spent to implement the Governor John B. Swainson Michigan Historical Markers Act.

(As noted above, the bill would refer to the Michigan History Center, rather than the Michigan Historical Center.)

The bill would require the DNR annually to prepare a report containing an accounting of revenue and expenditures from the Fund for the previous fiscal year. The report would have to include information about the location of any markers erected during the previous year. The report would have to be given to the Senate and House of Representatives Appropriations

Page 5 of 7 sb553/1718

Committees and the standing committees with jurisdiction over issues pertaining to natural resources and the environment.

Currently, money collected by the Center for historical markers, document reproduction and services, conferences, admissions, workshops, training classes, and the use of specialized equipment, facilities, exhibits, collections, and software must be used for expenses necessary to provide the required services. The bill instead would require the money to be forwarded to the State Treasurer for deposit into the Operations Fund.

The Act permits the Center, subject to the annual appropriations process, to charge reasonable fees for admissions and other services described above. The bill would require these fees to be forwarded to the State Treasurer for deposit into the Operations Fund.

# Senate Bills 553 through 561

As noted above, the bills would amend various acts to refer to the Michigan History Center Act, rather than Public Act 271 of 1913, in provisions concerning the retention or disposition of records.

<u>Senate Bill 553</u> would amend a provision in the General Property Tax Act that requires a local tax collecting unit to maintain a retention policy that complies with the 1913 statute.

<u>Senate Bills 554 and 555</u> would amend provisions in the Business Corporation Act and the Nonprofit Corporation Act, respectively, that allow a county clerk to destroy or dispose of, in accordance with Public Act 271 of 1913, corporate documents that were forwarded to the clerk's office under a statute that governs certain corporations.

<u>Senate Bill 556</u> would amend a provision in the Revised Judicature Act that requires the State Court Administrative Office to develop and maintain a record retention and disposal schedule as prescribed in Public Act 271 of 1913.

<u>Senate Bill 557</u> would amend Public Act 105 of 1964, which provides for the reproduction of State, local unit of government, and municipal court records and the use of those reproductions as evidence, and allows the original records to be disposed of or destroyed pursuant to the Management and Budget Act, if applicable, and Public Act 271 of 1913.

<u>Senate Bill 558</u> would amend Public Act 29 of 1957, which allows a probate court, subject to Public Act 271 of 1913, to order the destruction of files and records related to proceedings for the hospitalization of a child or youth with special health care needs.

<u>Senate Bill 559</u> would amend a section of the Michigan Penal Code that provides that all official books, papers, or records created by or received in any office or agency of the State or its political subdivisions are public property and may be destroyed only as provided in Public Act 271 of 1913; and prescribes a misdemeanor penalty for unlawfully taking, mutilating, destroying, retaining, or refusing to deliver those books, papers, or records.

<u>Senate Bills 560</u> would amend a provision in the Legal Defense Fund Act, and <u>Senate Bill 561</u> would amend a provision in Michigan Campaign Finance Act, that permits statements and reports filed under the respective Act, or reproductions of them, to be disposed of as prescribed in the Management and Budget Act and Public Act 271 of 1913.

MCL 211.24 (S.B. 553) 450.1913 (S.B. 554) 450.2913 (S.B. 555) Legislative Analyst: Suzanne Lowe

Page 6 of 7 sb553/1718

600.1428 (S.B. 556) 691.1101 (S.B. 557) 720.551 (S.B. 558) 750.491 (S.B. 559) 15.531 (S.B. 560) 169.216 (S.B. 561) 399.151-399.160 (S.B. 562) 324.63502 (S.B. 563) 399.801 et al. (S.B. 564) 399.832 & 399.833 (S.B. 565)

### **FISCAL IMPACT**

Overall, the bills would have no significant fiscal impact on the Department of Natural Resources or local units of government. While the bills would make a number of changes to the Historical Marker Program administered by the Michigan History Center, the bills would not significantly change the scope, and hence the cost, of the program. Currently, as well as under the bills, those requesting historical markers pay the full cost of purchasing, installing, and maintaining the markers.

From an administrative standpoint, the bills would transfer the remaining balance and future revenue of the Historical Marker Fund to the Michigan History Center Operations Fund. The DNR has reported that the Historical Marker Fund has a balance of about \$56,000; under the bills, that balance would be combined with the balance of the Michigan History Center Operations Fund, which is estimated to be about \$500,000 currently. The Operations Fund is used by the Michigan History Center to fund the operations of the museum gift shop as well as to receive revenue from admissions, training programs, conferences, and other services. That revenue is used to offset some of the cost related to operation of the Michigan History Center. Under the bills, the scope of use for the Operations Fund would be expanded to include administration of the Historical Marker Program.

The bills also would require the Department of Treasury to adjust the \$250 application fee for a historical marker using the Detroit Consumer Price Index. Over time, that would increase the amount of revenue received from those fees to help offset increased costs due to inflation.

Finally, the bills would remove the misdemeanor penalty for improperly using or displaying the design of a historical marker. It is unknown how many individuals have been prosecuted for that offense, but it is likely few; therefore, this amendment would have little fiscal impact on local courts or law enforcement.

Fiscal Analyst: Josh Sefton

#### SAS\S1718\s553sa

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.