



**Senate Fiscal Agency**  
P. O. Box 30036  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536

---

**BILL ANALYSIS**

---



**Telephone: (517) 373-5383**  
**Fax: (517) 373-1986**

---

House Bill 4643 (Substitute S-3 as reported by the Committee of the Whole)

Sponsor: Representative David Maturen

House Committee: Tax Policy

Senate Committee: Finance

### **CONTENT**

The bill would amend the State Real Estate Transfer Tax Act to modify criteria that a written instrument conveying an interest in property must meet to be exempt from the tax levied under the Act, for property eligible for the principal residence exemption that has not increased in value since its purchase. The exemption would be retroactive and apply to a sale, exchange, assignment, or transfer beginning four years before the bill's effective date, subject to a statute of limitations period provided in the revenue Act. A taxpayer who claimed a refund before the bill's effective date and whose refund was denied and not appealed under the revenue Act could claim a refund under the bill.

The State Real Estate Transfer Act imposes a tax on the transfer of an interest in real property at the rate of \$3.75 for each \$500 of the total value of the property being transferred. The Act exempts various instruments and conveyances from the tax. One exemption applies to a written instrument conveying an interest in property for which an exemption is claimed under Section 7cc of the General Property Tax Act (the principal residence exemption) if both of the following are met:

- The transaction was for a price at which a willing buyer and seller would arrive through an arms-length negotiation.
- The State equalized valuation (SEV) of that property is equal to or less than the SEV on the date of purchase or on the date of acquisition by the seller or transferor for the same interest in property.

The bill would revise the second condition as follows: the SEV of that property was equal to or less than the SEV determined as of the first tax day after the issuance of a certificate of occupancy for the residence, or the date of acquisition of the property, whichever came later, by the seller or transferor for the same interest in property. The principal residence exemption would have to be claimed by the seller or transferor.

MCL 207.526

Legislative Analyst: Drew Krogulecki

### **FISCAL IMPACT**

The bill would have a negative fiscal impact on the State and no fiscal impact on local government. It is unknown how many more properties would be granted an exemption from the Real Estate Transfer Tax, but any decrease would reduce revenue for the School Aid Fund. In fiscal year 2016-17, the State collected \$317.2 million from the tax, which is earmarked for the School Aid Fund.

Date Completed: 5-22-18

Fiscal Analyst: Ryan Bergan

floor\hb4643a

Bill Analysis @ [www.senate.michigan.gov/sfa](http://www.senate.michigan.gov/sfa)

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.