



Telephone: (517) 373-5383 Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Joint Resolution O (Substitute S-1 as reported)

Senate Bill 763 (Substitute S-2 as reported)

Senate Bills 931 and 932 (as reported without amendment)

Sponsor: Senator Tom Casperson (S.B. 763 & S.J.R. O)

Senator Goeff Hansen (S.B. 931) Senator Darwin L. Booher (S.B. 932)

Committee: Natural Resources

CONTENT

<u>Senate Joint Resolution O (S-1)</u> would amend Section 35 (Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund) and Section 35a (Michigan State Parks Endowment Fund) of Article IX (Finance and Taxation) of the Michigan Constitution to do the following:

- -- Provide that, until the Michigan State Parks Endowment Fund (MSPEF) reached a principal of \$800.0 million, the revenue that would be deposited in the Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund (MNRTF) but for a constitutional limitation would have to be deposited in the MSPEF.
- -- Authorize the Legislature, after the MSPEF reached \$800.0 million, to provide that up to 50% of the revenue the MNRTF received from bonuses, rentals, and royalties each fiscal year could be spent during subsequent fiscal years for the purposes described in Section 35.
- -- Require at least 25% of the total amount available for expenditure from the MNRTF each fiscal year to be spent for development of public recreation facilities.
- -- Expand the purposes for which money in the MSPEF may be spent, and allow the Fund to provide grants to local units of government or public authorities for public recreation projects.
- -- Require that, until the MSPEF reached \$800.0 million, 25% be retained by the Fund; at least 50% be made available for State parks and the acquisition of park land; and at least 20% be made available for local public recreation projects.
- -- Provide that, until the MSPEF reached \$800.0 million, the Legislature could appropriate the interest and earnings and any private contributions or other revenue received by the MSPEF
- -- Provide that, once the MSPEF reached \$800.0 million, only the interest and earnings and any private contributions or other revenue received by the MSPEF could be spent for the operation, maintenance, and improvement of parks and administration of the Fund.
- -- Require the Natural Resources Trust Fund Board to recommend local public recreation projects to be funded by the MSPEF.

<u>Senate Bill 763 (S-2)</u> would amend Part 19 (Natural Resources Trust Fund) of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act (NREPA) to do the following:

- -- Make the same amendments to NREPA that Senate Joint Resolution O (S-1) would make to Article IX of the Michigan Constitution.
- -- Specify that an expenditure from the MSPEF could be made in the form of a grant to a local unit of government or public authority under certain conditions.

Page 1 of 3 sjro/1718

-- Require the MNRTF Board to submit to the Legislature a list of recommended projects to be funded by the MSPEF, establishing priority of the projects according to certain criteria.

<u>Senate Bill 931</u> would make the same amendments to Part 741 (State Parks System) of NREPA that Senate Joint Resolution O (S-1) would make to Article IX of the State Constitution.

<u>Senate Bill 932</u> would amend Part 741 to define "local unit of government or public authority" as a county, city, township, village, school district, the Huron-Clinton Metropolitan Authority, or any authority composed of counties, cities, townships, villages, or school districts, or any combination of them, that is legally constituted to provide public recreation.

The bills are tie-barred to each other and to Senate Joint Resolution O. If approved by a twothirds vote of each house of the Legislature, the joint resolution would have to be submitted to the electors of the State at the next general election.

MCL 324.1901 et al. Legislative Analyst: Nathan Leaman

FISCAL IMPACT

In total, the fiscal impact of the bills and the amendment to the Michigan Constitution contained in Senate Joint Resolution O (S-1) would have a neutral fiscal impact on the Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund. The legislation would have a potentially positive fiscal impact on the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) and a negative impact on the amount of revenue deposited into the investment corpus of the Michigan State Parks Endowment Fund. In addition, the legislation would have a positive fiscal impact on local units of government through increased funds available for local public recreation grants.

The legislation would change how interest and earnings on the \$500.0 million corpus balance of the Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund may be spent. The Michigan Constitution and statute currently specify that not less than 25% of funds made available for expenditure each year be spent on acquisition projects and that not more than 25% be spent on development projects. The legislation would require that not less than 25% be spent on both acquisition and development projects, respectively. This change would have a neutral fiscal impact as it would not affect the total amount of funds the MNRTF Board may recommend for expenditure or how much the Legislature may appropriate, but it would allow the MNRTF Board to recommend up to 75% of funds made available to be spent on either acquisition or development projects as long as a minimum of 25% was spent on the other project types. For example, the MNRTF Board recommendations that were made for application year 2017 (fiscal year 2017-18) projects included \$21.4 million for acquisition projects and \$19.0 million in development projects. In making that recommendation, the Board made available \$76.0 million; therefore, under current law, not less than \$19.0 million had to be for acquisition projects and not more than \$19.0 million could be for development projects. Under the legislation, the Board would have been able to recommend expenditures of up to \$57.0 million for either project category so long as the other category received at least \$19.0 million. From a practical standpoint, this would allow the Board to recommend more development projects, as in recent years the Board has recommended funding all of the acquisition project applications it has received, but not all of the development projects. Using application year 2017 as an example, all 34 of the acquisition applications received were recommended for funding, but only 89 of the 132 development applications were recommended for funding.

The legislation generally would affect the treatment of gas and oil lease and royalty revenue that is currently credited to the Michigan State Parks Endowment Fund. Under current law, until the investment (corpus) balance of the MSPEF reaches \$800.0 million, the Legislature may appropriate up to 50% of gas and oil revenue received each year for the operations and maintenance of State parks. This revenue stream varies greatly from year to year as it is

Page 2 of 3 sjro/1718

heavily dependent on the price of oil and gas in commodity markets. In recent years, the State has received between \$25.0 million and \$50.0 million annually, but in the past, some years have totaled \$100.0 million or higher. Gas and oil revenue that is not appropriated is credited to the corpus balance of the MSPEF from which it cannot be spent, but is instead used to produce investment returns to support State parks in perpetuity. The corpus balance of the MSPEF is currently about \$256.0 million.

The legislation would potentially increase the amount of oil and gas royalty revenue the DNR could use for operations and maintenance in State parks. Currently, the Michigan Constitution and statute allow for the Legislature to appropriate up to 50% of gas and oil lease and royalty revenue to be used to support State parks. The legislation would effectively allow the Legislature to appropriate up to 55% of that revenue for this purpose. Assuming the Legislature opted to appropriate this additional amount, the DNR could receive an additional \$1.3 million to \$2.5 million¹ for operations and maintenance of State parks each year.

The legislation would reduce future deposits into the corpus balance of the MSPEF from 50% of oil and gas royalty revenue to 25%. This would reduce the long-term growth in both the corpus balance and investment returns of the MSPEF. Under current law, the annual deposit to the MSPEF corpus is between \$12.5 million and \$25.0 million¹; that amount would be reduced to between \$6.3 million and \$12.5 million¹. It should be noted that this would not affect the current corpus balance, which is about \$256.0 million, nor would it affect investment revenue that is currently received. This change would affect only the growth of these items.

The legislation would create a new category of allowable expenditures for the MSPEF, and require the Legislature to allocate at least 20%, but not more than 25%, of oil and gas revenue to local public recreation projects. Assuming the Legislature appropriated the full 25% (which would preclude the 5% increase to State parks discussed above), local units of government could receive a total of \$6.3 million to \$12.5 million in local public recreation grants each year. These projects would be chosen in a manner similar to the one used for projects funded by the Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund.

Finally, the Michigan Constitution currently specifies that once the \$800.0 million cap on the MSPEF is reached, the revenue must be distributed as provided by law. The legislation specifies that once the MSPEF reached a corpus balance of \$800.0 million, any future oil and gas lease and royalty revenue would revert to the Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund, and the Legislature could appropriate up to 50% of that revenue for MNRTF projects each year, with the other 50% of the revenue being credited to the corpus balance of the MNRTF, which is currently limited to \$500.0 million under the Michigan Constitution. The resolution would remove the \$500.0 million cap on the MNRTF. It should be noted, however, that barring a dramatic and sustained increase in oil and gas commodity prices, with the reduction in the amount of oil and gas revenue credited to the corpus of the MSPEF under the legislation, the \$800.0 million cap on the MSPEF would not be reached for decades. The changes made to the disposition of revenue following the MSPEF's cap therefore would likely not result in any fiscal impact until the 2050s or later, and at that point would be indeterminate as it is not possible to know what a future Legislature would have otherwise done with the revenue.

Date Completed: 4-17-18 Fiscal Analyst: Josh Sefton

Page 3 of 3 sjro/1718

¹ Figures assume oil and gas lease and royalty revenue of between \$25.0 million and \$50.0 million annually.

floor\siro Bill Analysis @ www.senate.michigan.gov/sfa
This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.