HOUSE BILL No. 5282

November 28, 2017, Introduced by Rep. Lucido and referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

A bill to amend 1949 PA 300, entitled "Michigan vehicle code,"

by amending section 323 (MCL 257.323), as amended by 2016 PA 117.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

- 1 Sec. 323. (1) A person aggrieved by a final determination of
- 2 the secretary of state denying the person an operator's or
- 3 chauffeur's license, a vehicle group designation, or an indorsement
- 4 on a license or revoking, suspending, or restricting an operator's
- or chauffeur's license, vehicle group designation, or an
- indorsement may petition for a review of the determination in the
- 7 circuit court in the county where the person was arrested if the
- 8 denial or suspension was imposed under section 625f or under the
- 9 order of a trial court under section 328 or, in all other cases, in

- 1 the circuit court in the person's county of residence. The person
- 2 shall file the petition within 63 days after the determination is
- 3 made except that for good cause shown the court may allow the
- 4 person to file petition within 182 days after the determination is
- 5 made. As provided in section 625f, a peace officer aggrieved by a
- 6 determination of a hearing officer in favor of a person who
- 7 requested a hearing under section 625f may, with the prosecuting
- 8 attorney's consent, petition for review of the determination in the
- 9 circuit court in the county where the arrest was made. The peace
- 10 officer shall file the petition within 63 days after the
- 11 determination is made except that for good cause shown the court
- 12 may allow the peace officer to file the petition within 182 days
- 13 after the determination is made.
- 14 (2) Except as otherwise provided in this section, the circuit
- 15 court shall enter an order setting the cause for hearing for a day
- 16 certain not more than 63 days after the order's date. The order, a
- 17 copy of the petition that includes the person's full name, current
- 18 address, birth date, and driver's license number, and all
- 19 supporting affidavits shall MUST be served on the secretary of
- 20 state's office in Lansing not less than 20 days before the date set
- 21 for the hearing. If the person is seeking a review of the record
- 22 prepared under section 322 or section 625f, the service upon the
- 23 secretary of state shall MUST be made not less than 50 days before
- 24 the date set for the hearing.
- 25 (3) The court may take testimony and examine all the facts and
- 26 circumstances relating to the denial, suspension, or restriction of
- 27 the person's license under sections 303(1)(d), 320, or 904(10) or

- 1 (11), a licensing action under section 310d, or a suspension for a
- 2 first violation under section 625f. The court may affirm, modify,
- 3 or set aside the restriction, suspension, or denial, except the
- 4 court shall not order the secretary of state to issue a restricted
- 5 or unrestricted chauffeur's license that would permit the person to
- 6 drive a commercial motor vehicle that hauls a hazardous material.
- 7 The court shall enter the order and the petitioner shall file a
- 8 certified copy of the order with the secretary of state's office in
- 9 Lansing within 7 days after entry of the order.
- 10 (4) Except as otherwise provided in this section, in reviewing
- 11 a determination resulting in a denial, suspension, restriction, or
- 12 revocation under this act, the court shall confine its
- 13 consideration to a review of the record prepared under section 322
- 14 or 625f or the driving record created under section 204a for a
- 15 statutory legal issue, and may determine that the petitioner is
- 16 eligible for full driving privileges or, if the petitioner is
- 17 subject to a revocation under section 303, may determine that the
- 18 petitioner is eligible for restricted driving privileges. The court
- 19 shall set aside the secretary of state's determination only if 1 or
- 20 more of the following apply:
- 21 (a) In determining whether a petitioner is eligible for full
- 22 driving privileges, the petitioner's substantial rights have been
- 23 prejudiced because the determination is any of the following:
- 24 (i) In violation of the Constitution of the United States, the
- 25 state constitution of 1963, or a statute.
- 26 (ii) In excess of the secretary of state's statutory authority
- 27 or jurisdiction.

- 1 (iii) Made upon unlawful procedure resulting in material
- 2 prejudice to the petitioner.
- 3 (iv) Not supported by competent, material, and substantial
- 4 evidence on the whole record.
- 5 (v) Arbitrary, capricious, or clearly an abuse or unwarranted
- 6 exercise of discretion.
- 7 (vi) Affected by other substantial and material error of law.
- 8 (b) In determining whether a petitioner is eligible for review
- 9 of a revocation or denial under section 303, or whether a
- 10 petitioner is eligible for restricted driving privileges, 1 or more
- 11 ALL of the following apply:
- 12 (i) The petitioner's substantial rights have been prejudiced
- 13 as described in subdivision (a).
- 14 (ii) All of the following are satisfied:
- 15 (A) The revocation or denial occurred at least 1 year after
- 16 the petitioner's license was revoked or denied, or, if the
- 17 petitioner's license was previously revoked or denied within the 7
- 18 years preceding the most recent revocation or denial, at least 5
- 19 years after the most recent revocation or denial, whichever is
- 20 later.
- 21 (B) The court finds that the petitioner meets the department's
- 22 requirements under the rules promulgated by the department under
- 23 the administrative procedures act of 1969, 1969 PA 306, MCL 24.201
- 24 to 24.238. For purposes of this sub-subparagraph only, the court
- 25 may take additional testimony to supplement the record prepared
- 26 under section 322 or 625f or the driving record created under
- 27 section 204a, but shall not expand the record.

- 1 (C) If the revocation or denial was under section 303(2)(a),
- 2 (b), (c), or (g), the petitioner rebuts by clear and convincing
- 3 evidence the presumption that he or she is a habitual offender, and
- 4 establishes to the court's satisfaction that he or she is likely to
- 5 adhere to any requirements imposed by the court. For purposes of
- 6 this sub-subparagraph, the conviction that resulted in the
- 7 revocation and any record of denial of reinstatement by the
- 8 department are prima facie evidence that the petitioner is a
- 9 habitual offender. For purposes of this sub-subparagraph only, the
- 10 court may take additional testimony to supplement the record
- 11 prepared under section 322 or 625f or the driving record created
- 12 under section 204a, but shall not expand the record.
- 13 (5) If the court determines that a petitioner is eligible for
- 14 restricted driving privileges under subsection (4)(b), the court
- 15 shall issue an order that includes, but is not limited to, all of
- 16 the following:
- 17 (a) The court's findings under section 303 and R 257.1 to R
- 18 257.1727 of the Michigan administrative code. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE.
- 19 (b) A requirement that each motor vehicle operated by the
- 20 petitioner be equipped with a properly installed and functioning
- 21 ignition interlock device for a period of at least NOT LESS THAN 1
- 22 year BEFORE THE PETITIONER WILL BE ELIGIBLE TO RETURN TO THE
- 23 SECRETARY OF STATE FOR A HEARING. The petitioner shall bear the
- 24 cost of an ignition interlock device required under this
- 25 subdivision. A restricted license shall MUST not be issued to the
- 26 petitioner until the secretary of state has verified that 1 or more
- 27 ignition interlock devices, if applicable, have been installed as

- 1 required by this subdivision.
- 2 (c) A method by which the court will verify that the
- 3 petitioner maintains no-fault insurance for each vehicle described
- 4 in subdivision (b) as required by chapter 31 of the insurance code
- **5** of 1956, 1956 PA 218, MCL 500.3103 **500.3101** to 500.3179.
- 6 (d) A requirement that a restricted license issued to the
- 7 petitioner shall MUST not permit the petitioner to operate a
- 8 commercial motor vehicle that hauls hazardous materials.
- 9 (e) A provision that the secretary of state shall revoke the
- 10 petitioner's restricted license if any of the following occur:
- 11 (i) The petitioner violates the restrictions on his or her
- 12 license.
- 13 (ii) The petitioner violates subdivision (b).
- 14 (iii) The petitioner removes, or causes to be removed, an
- 15 ignition interlock device required under subdivision (b), unless
- 16 the secretary of state has authorized the removal under section
- **17** 322a.
- 18 (iv) The petitioner commits an act that would be a major
- 19 violation if the petitioner's license had been issued under section
- 20 322(6) or consumes alcohol or a controlled substance without a
- 21 prescription. As used in this subparagraph, "major violation" means
- 22 that term as defined in R 257.301a of the Michigan administrative
- 23 code.ADMINISTRATIVE CODE.
- (v) The petitioner is arrested for a violation of section 625
- 25 or a local ordinance, law of this state or another state, or law of
- 26 the United States that substantially corresponds to section 625.
- 27 (6) If the court determines that a petitioner is eligible for

- 1 restricted driving privileges under this section and the petitioner
- 2 intends to operate a vehicle owned by his or her employer, the
- 3 court shall notify the employer of the petitioner's obligation
- 4 under subsection (5)(b). This subsection does not require an
- 5 employer who receives a notice under this subsection to install an
- 6 ignition interlock device on a vehicle. This subsection does not
- 7 apply to a vehicle that is operated by a self-employed individual
- 8 who uses the vehicle for both business and personal use.
- 9 (7) If a court determines that a petitioner is eligible for
- 10 restricted driving privileges, the secretary of state shall not
- 11 issue a restricted license to the petitioner until he or she has
- 12 satisfied any other applicable requirements of state or federal
- 13 law, and shall not issue a restricted license to the petitioner if
- 14 the order granting eligibility for restricted driving privileges
- 15 does not comply with subsection (5).
- 16 (8) IF A COURT DETERMINES THAT A PETITIONER IS ELIGIBLE FOR
- 17 RESTRICTED DRIVING PRIVILEGES, THE COURT SHALL NOTIFY THE
- 18 DEPARTMENT OF ITS DETERMINATION THROUGH THE ISSUANCE OF AN ORDER
- 19 UNDER SUBSECTION (5) AND SHALL NOT RETAIN JURISDICTION OVER A
- 20 LICENSE ISSUED UNDER THIS SECTION.
- 21 Enacting section 1. This amendatory act takes effect 90 days
- 22 after the date it is enacted into law.