# **Legislative Analysis**



#### RECEIVERSHIP ACT

House Bill 5336 as introduced Sponsor: Rep. Brandt Iden Committee: Judiciary Complete to 2-17-20 Phone: (517) 373-8080 http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa

Analysis available at http://www.legislature.mi.gov

#### **SUMMARY:**

House Bill 5336 would amend the Uniform Commercial Real Estate Receivership Act<sup>1</sup> to rename the act the Receivership Act and to make several revisions throughout.

## Receivership under the act

Currently, the act applies to a receivership for an interest in real property and any personal property related to or used in operating the real property. The bill would add "fixtures" to this provision. The definition of "property" under the act would be also revised to include "fixtures."

The bill would also amend the act to apply to a receivership for an interest in personal property.

(According to Black's Law Dictionary, **real property** is land and anything growing on, attached to, or erected on it, including buildings or easements, but not including anything that can be severed without injuring the land, such as **fixtures**. **Personal property** is any movable or intangible thing that is subject to ownership and not classified as real property.)

#### Court authority and receivership conditions

The act currently states that procedure for the selection, appointment, removal, and compensation of a receiver is as established by Michigan Supreme Court rule.

The bill would instead state that, *except as provided in the act*, the procedure for the selection, appointment, removal, and compensation of a receiver, *or a professional hired by a receiver*, is as established by the *court rules*.

*Court rules* would mean the rules adopted by the Michigan Supreme Court under section 5 of Article VI of the State Constitution of 1963.

A court may currently appoint a receiver under a variety of conditions, including, under certain circumstances, in connection with a foreclosure or other enforcement of a mortgage.

The bill would amend the act by replacing terms related to "mortgage" with language referring to "security agreements or liens." The definition for *security agreement* would be revised to include a mortgage.

House Fiscal Agency Page 1 of 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2018 PA 16: http://legislature.mi.gov/doc.aspx?2017-HB-4471

### Sanction for failure to turn over receivership property

Currently under the act, the court may sanction as *civil* contempt a person's failure to turn receivership property over when required.

The bill would remove the word *civil* from the above provision, so that the court could give a civil or criminal contempt sanction.

### Receiver powers and duties

The act provides the powers and duties of a receiver, which may be expanded, modified, or limited by court order. The bill would provide that they could be expanded, modified, or limited by court order upon *reasonable notice as determined by the court*.

The bill would also provide that, notwithstanding any other provision of the act, at any time, upon request of a person that has an interest in property used, sold, or leased, or proposed to be used, sold, or leased, by the receiver, the court must prohibit or condition the use, sale, or lease as is necessary to provide *adequate assurance* of the value of the interest.

### Adequate assurance would mean one or more of the following:

- That the receiver is required to make a cash payment or periodic cash payments to a person to the extent that the use, sale, lease, or other disposition under the act, the stay under section 14 of the act, or the incurrence of debt under section 12(2)(a) of the act results in a decrease in the value of the person's interest in the property.
- That the person is provided a lien to the extent that the use, sale, lease, stay, or incurrence results in a decrease in the value of the person's interest in the property.
- Other relief that will result in the realization by the person of the indubitable equivalent of the person's interest in the property.

#### Owner responsibilities

The bill would add to the current list of owner duties a requirement that, except as otherwise ordered by the court for cause, within seven days after the entry of the order appointing the receiver, the owner must deliver to the receiver a list containing the names and addresses of all creditors and other known interested parties of the receivership estate.

#### Relief from a stay or injunction

Currently, a person whose act, action, or proceeding is stayed or enjoined under the act may apply to the court for relief from the stay or injunction for cause.

The bill would add that, for purposes of the provision above, "cause" would include the lack of adequate assurance of an interest in property of the person.

# Receiver may hire certain professionals

Currently under the act, the court has discretion to approve the engagement of a professional where the presence of existing relationships might be insignificant conflicts of interest, including if the professional is also the receiver. However, this only applies if the receiver is serving in the receivership as an attorney, accountant, auctioneer, or broker when authorized by law.

The bill would eliminate this provision.

#### Sale or transfer of receivership property

Currently, with court approval, a receiver can use and transfer receivership property other than in the ordinary course of business.

The bill would add that notice and an opportunity for a hearing would have to be given to all creditors and other known interested parties unless the court orders otherwise for cause before a receiver can transfer property.

#### Receiver immunity

The act currently entitles a receiver to all defenses and immunities provided under law in Michigan for an act or omission within the scope of the receiver's appointment. However, a receiver still may be sued personally for an act or omission in administering receivership property, but only with approval of the court that appointed the receiver.

The bill would remove the last provision, specifying that a receiver can still be sued, and instead provide that leave of the appointing court would have to be obtained before any action or proceeding against a receiver or professional engaged by the receiver could be instituted.

### <u>Interim report</u>

A receiver is currently allowed, but not required unless ordered by the court, to file an interim report. The bill would require a receiver to file a quarterly interim report unless the court ordered otherwise for cause.

## Notice requirements

The bill would amend a current notice requirement by requiring the receiver to provide all creditors and any other known interested parties with notice and a copy of any order governing its appointment within seven days after the receipt by the receiver of the list containing the names and addresses of all creditors and other known interested parties of the receivership estate, as described above (under "Owner responsibilities"). Notwithstanding this new requirement, the court could delay, limit, or eliminate the required notice upon a finding that cause exists for doing so.

The bill also would add that if the receiver concludes that receivership property is likely to be sufficient to provide a distribution to creditors other than those holding perfected lien on the property, the court could order that the receiver give notice to all creditors and any other known interested parties to which they need to submit claims.

Currently, if the court concludes that receivership property is likely to be insufficient to satisfy claims of each creditor holding a perfected lien on the property, the court may order both of the following:

- That the receiver need not give notice of the appointment to all of the owner's creditors, but only such creditors as the court directs.
- That unsecured creditors need not submit claims under this section.

The bill would eliminate this provision.

House Fiscal Agency HB 5336 as introduced Page 3 of 4

### Fees and expenses

Currently, a court can order certain parties to pay reasonable and necessary fees and expenses of the receivership, including reasonable attorney fees and costs. The bill would add to the list of acceptable fees any fees and expenses of professionals engaged in the process.

#### Effect on secured party

The act currently states that a request by a *mortgagee* for appointment of a receiver, the appointment of a receiver, or application by a *mortgagee* of receivership property or proceeds to the secured obligation, does not entail certain specified effects or consequences, such as making the mortgagee an agent of the owner, or making the secured obligation unenforceable.

The bill would amend this provision to replace *mortgagee* with *secured party*.

Secured party would mean a person entitled to enforce a secured obligation or lien.

The bill would also add that a request by a secured party for appointment of a receiver, the appointment of a receiver, or application by a secured party of receivership property or proceeds to the secured obligation would not impose any duty on the secured party under section 9207 of the Uniform Commercial Code.

MCL 554.1011 et al.

## **FISCAL IMPACT:**

House Bill 5336 would have an indeterminate fiscal impact on local court funding units. The fiscal impact would depend on the extent to which provisions of the bill affected caseloads and related administrative costs.

Legislative Analyst: Emily S. Smith Fiscal Analyst: Robin Risko

House Fiscal Agency HB 5336 as introduced Page 4 of 4

<sup>■</sup> This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House Fiscal Agency staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.