



Telephone: (517) 373-5383 Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bills 29 and 30 (as reported without amendment)

Sponsor: Senator Peter J. Lucido Committee: Judiciary and Public Safety

CONTENT

<u>Senate Bill 29</u> would amend the Michigan Penal Code to prescribe enhanced penalties for an individual convicted of third- or fourth-degree child abuse following a prior conviction.

Currently, third-degree child abuse is a felony punishable by up to two years' imprisonment. Under the bill, the maximum term would be two years for a first offense, and five years for an offense following a prior conviction.

Fourth-degree child abuse currently is a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment for up to one year. The bill would retain that penalty for a first offense. An offense following a prior conviction would be a felony punishable by imprisonment for up two years.

"Prior conviction" would include a conviction of first-, second-, third-, or fourth-degree child abuse.

<u>Senate Bill 30</u> would amend the sentencing guidelines in the Code of Criminal Procedure to establish designations for third- and fourth-degree child abuse with prior conviction.

Senate Bill 30 is tie-barred to Senate Bill 29.

MCL 750.136b (S.B. 29) 777.16g (S.B. 30) Legislative Analyst: Stephen Jackson

FISCAL IMPACT

<u>Senate Bill 29</u> could have a negative fiscal impact on the State and local government. More felony arrests and convictions could increase demands on court systems, community supervision, jails, and correctional facilities. The average cost to State government for felony probation supervision is approximately \$3,024 per probationer per year. For any increase in prison intakes, in the short term, the marginal cost to State government is approximately \$3,764 per prisoner per year. Any associated increase in fine revenue increases funding to public libraries.

<u>Senate Bill 30</u> would have no fiscal impact on local government and an indeterminate fiscal impact on the State, in light of the Michigan Supreme Court's July 2015 opinion in *People v. Lockridge*, in which the Court ruled that the sentencing guidelines are advisory for all cases. This means that the addition to the guidelines under the bill would not be compulsory for the sentencing judge. As penalties for felony convictions vary, the fiscal impact of any given felony conviction depends on judicial decisions.

Date Completed: 3-7-19 Fiscal Analyst: Joe Carrasco

floor\sb29

Bill Analysis @ www.senate.michigan.gov/sfa

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.