Legislative Analysis



STATE EMPLOYEE OMBUDSMAN

House Bill 4064 as introduced Sponsor: Rep. Annette Glenn

Committee: Government Operations

Complete to 3-10-21

Phone: (517) 373-8080 http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa

Analysis available at http://www.legislature.mi.gov

SUMMARY:

House Bill 4064 would create a new act, the State Employee Ombudsman Act, to create the Office of the State Employee Ombudsman in the Legislative Council and to provide for its powers and duties, which would generally involve investigating and evaluating reports of suspected misconduct in state departments and agencies.

State Employee Ombudsman

The bill would create the Office of the State Employee Ombudsman in the Legislative Council. The state employee ombudsman would be appointed by the council and serve at its pleasure. The council would have to establish procedures for the office's budget, expenditures, and employees. Subject to the council's approval, the ombudsman would establish procedures for receiving and processing complaints, conducting investigations, holding hearings, and reporting findings.

Investigations

The ombudsman could start an investigation on his or her own initiative or upon receiving a complaint from a *state employee* concerning an *administrative act*.

State employee would mean a full- or part-time employee of a state department or agency.

State department or agency would mean a state department or other agency in the executive branch.

Administrative act would include an action, omission, decision, recommendation, practice, or other procedure of a state department or agency.

Upon request, the ombudsman would have to be given access to all information, records, and documents in a state department's or agency's possession that the ombudsman considers necessary in an investigation for misconduct, including the following:

- Violations of law or suspected violations of law.
- Conduct by a state department or agency that will or is substantially likely to endanger public health or safety.
- Gross mismanagement or waste of public funds.

House Fiscal Agency Page 1 of 4

¹ The Legislative Council consists of twelve members, six representatives and six senators, who are respectively appointed by the Speaker of the House and the Senate Majority Leader. Each group of six members must include at least two members from the respective chamber's minority party.

The ombudsman could hold informal hearings and could request any individual to appear and give testimony or produce evidence the ombudsman considers relevant to an investigation.

Upon request of the ombudsman, the Legislative Council could hold a hearing. The council could administer oaths, subpoena witnesses, and examine the books and records of a state department or agency that was the proper subject of investigation by the ombudsman.

Complaints

The ombudsman would have to advise a complainant (person making a complaint) of all available administrative remedies. Upon request from the ombudsman, a state department or agency would have to provide a progress report on the processing of a complaint submitted to it. After the department or agency took administrative action on a complaint, the ombudsman could conduct further investigation.

The ombudsman would not have to investigate every complaint. If deciding to investigate, the ombudsman would have to notify the complainant and the state department or agency. If declining to investigate, the ombudsman would have to notify the complainant in writing of the reasons for that decision.

Confidentiality

Correspondence between the office and a complainant would be confidential, privileged, and exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Unless disclosure were necessary to enable the ombudsman to perform his or her duties or to support a recommendation resulting from an investigation, the office would have to maintain confidentiality regarding all matters under investigation and the identities of the complainants or persons from whom information was acquired.

Report to complainant

Within 30 days after completing an investigation, the ombudsman would have to prepare and provide to the complainant a resolution report detailing the findings of the investigation, the recommendations of the ombudsman, and any actions that have been taken to address the complainant's concerns. The ombudsman could request that a state department or agency notify the ombudsman within a specified time of any action taken on any recommendation presented. The ombudsman would have to notify the complainant of the actions a state department or agency takes to address the complaint.

Report to Legislative Council

The ombudsman would also have to prepare and submit a report of its findings to the Legislative Council within 30 days after completing an investigation. This report would have to include recommendations if the ombudsman found any of the following:

- Conduct that will or is substantially likely to endanger public health or safety.
- A violation or suspected violation of law.
- The gross mismanagement or waste of public funds.
- A matter that the state department or agency should consider.
- An administrative act that should be modified or canceled.
- A statute or rule that should be altered.
- An administrative act for which justification is necessary.
- Any other significant concern as determined by the ombudsman.

The recommendations could include recommended corrective actions if a state department or agency penalized a complainant in any way for filing a complaint, providing information to the council or a legislator, or cooperating with the ombudsman in investigating a complaint.

The council could forward the report to the state department or agency and to the complainant who requested the report. However, before announcing to the general public a conclusion or recommendation that expressly or by implication criticized a state department or agency, the ombudsman would have to consult with the state department or agency. If the ombudsman published an opinion adverse to a state department or agency, the ombudsman would have to include in that publication a statement of reasonable length made to the ombudsman by the state department or agency in defense or mitigation of the finding, if that statement was provided within a reasonable time as determined by the council.

A report prepared and recommendations made by the ombudsman and submitted to the council would be exempt from disclosure under FOIA.

Annual report

The ombudsman would have to submit to the Legislative Council and the legislature an annual report on the conduct of the office that contained information required by the council. The ombudsman would have to post on its website annually a report containing all of the following:

- The number of complaints received.
- The number of complaints investigated.
- The number of complaints resolved.
- The nature of each incident that was the basis for the complaint. However, personal identifying information must not be included.
- The average time from the receipt of a complaint until a resolution report is provided.
- The percentage of repeat complaints.
- Satisfaction feedback.
- Any additional information the council requests or the ombudsman considers relevant.

State departments and agencies

The bill would prohibit a state department or agency from penalizing in any way a complainant for filing a complaint, providing information to the Legislative Council or a legislator, or cooperating with the ombudsman in investigating a complaint. It would also prohibit a state department or agency or any person from hindering the lawful actions of the ombudsman or employees of the office or willfully refusing to comply with any lawful demand of the office.

Scope

The authority granted to the ombudsman under the act would be in addition to other authority granted by law to any other office or agency relative to a remedy or right of appeal or objection for a complainant, or any procedure provided for the inquiry into, or investigation of, any matter. The authority granted to the ombudsman under the act would not limit or affect any other remedy or right of appeal or objection provided by law and would not be exclusionary.

Penalty

A violation of the act would be a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment for up to one year or a fine of up to \$1,000, or both.

House Fiscal Agency HB 4064 as introduced Page 3 of 4

BACKGROUND:

As introduced, the bill is identical to House Bill 5981 of the 2019-20 legislative session as that bill was introduced. The House of Representatives passed an H-1 substitute for HB 5981 on October 13, 2020.

FISCAL IMPACT:

House Bill 4064 would have an indeterminate fiscal impact on the state and on local units of government. Under the bill, a person that violates provisions of the act would be guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment for not more than one year or a fine of not more than \$1,000, or both. There is no way to know the number of convictions that would result under provisions of the bill. New misdemeanor convictions would increase costs related to county jails and/or local misdemeanor probation supervision. Costs of local incarceration in county jails and local misdemeanor probation supervision, and how those costs are financed, vary by jurisdiction. The fiscal impact on local court systems would depend on how provisions of the bill affected caseloads and related administrative costs. Any increase in penal fine revenue would increase funding for public and county law libraries, which are the constitutionally designated recipients of those revenues.

The bill would increase costs for the Legislative Council. Specifically, increased costs would result from staff salaries, benefits, office space, office supplies, travel, and any other costs incurred operating and administering the Office of the State Employee Ombudsman. For context, the FY 2020-21 appropriations for the Legislative Corrections Ombudsman and the Michigan Veterans Facility Ombudsman were \$1,006,900 and \$315,200 respectively.

State departments and agencies likely would incur costs responding to complaint investigations. These costs would be directly correlated to the complexity of any complaint. Whether the actions of the office would result in any state fiscal impact subsequent to the resolution of a complaint cannot be estimated and would depend on the nature of the complaint and the department or agency response.

Legislative Analyst: Rick Yuille Fiscal Analysts: Ben Gielczyk

Robin Risko

House Fiscal Agency HB 4064 as introduced Page 4 of 4

[■] This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House Fiscal Agency staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.