

# Legislative Analysis



## EXPANDED HOURS FOR ON-PREMISES ALCOHOL SALES

Phone: (517) 373-8080  
<http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa>

**House Bill 4115 as introduced**  
**Sponsor: Rep. Ryan Berman**  
**Committee: Regulatory Reform**  
**Complete to 2-15-21**

Analysis available at  
<http://www.legislature.mi.gov>

### SUMMARY:

House Bill 4115 would amend the Michigan Liquor Control Code to allow a city, village, or township board to adopt a resolution to allow on-premises liquor licensees to sell alcoholic liquor between 2 a.m. and 4 a.m.

The code now generally prohibits both on-premises and off-premises licensees from selling or providing alcoholic liquor between 2 a.m. and 7 a.m.

Under the bill, the legislative body of a city, village, or township could approve a resolution allowing the sale of alcohol for consumption on the premises between 2 a.m. and 4 a.m.

The bill would allow the Liquor Control Commission to issue a late night permit for the sale of alcohol for consumption on the premises between 2 a.m. and 4 a.m. to a licensee that holds a license or permit to sell alcohol for consumption on the premises and that is located in a city, village, or township that approved such sales by resolution. The licensee could apply for a late night permit on a form prescribed by the commission. An initial and annual renewal fee for a late night permit would be \$250. A late night permit would expire on the same date as the on-premises license or permit and could be renewed in conjunction with that license or permit.

MCL 436.2114 and proposed MCL 436.2116

### FISCAL IMPACT:

House Bill 4115 would have an indeterminate fiscal impact on the Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA) and a minimal impact on local units of government. The bill would allow the Michigan Liquor Control Commission (MLCC), within LARA, to levy a \$250 initial and annual renewal fee for permits issued under the act. The amount of revenue raised by these fees would depend on the volume of permits issued. The bill would be unlikely to result in any appreciable costs for local units, and the impact on costs incurred by the MLCC is presently indeterminate and would depend on the volume of applications received.

Legislative Analyst: Susan Stutzky  
Fiscal Analyst: Marcus Coffin

---

■ This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House Fiscal Agency staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.