# Legislative Analysis



## GUBERNATORIAL MEMORANDA OF UNDERSTANDING

Phone: (517) 373-8080 http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa

House Bill 4257 as enrolled and vetoed

Sponsor: Rep. Steven Johnson House Committee: Oversight Senate Committee: Oversight Analysis available at http://www.legislature.mi.gov

**Complete to 11-10-22** 

**BRIEF SUMMARY:** House Bill 4257 would create a new act concerning the effectiveness of, and filing requirements for, a memorandum of understanding signed by the governor.

FISCAL IMPACT: The bill would have no fiscal impact on state or local units of government.

### THE APPARENT PROBLEM:

Generally speaking, a memorandum of understanding, or MOU, is a formal, albeit nonbinding, agreement between two or more parties, often acting similarly to a letter of intent. MOUs often are used by governments and governmental agencies, private businesses, even foreign governments to forge partnerships in a project or mutually beneficial goal. For example, in early March of 2020, Governor Whitmer signed an MOU with Finland's Minister of Economic Affairs to "increase collaboration and innovation between Michigan and Finland related to clean technology industries, as well as autonomous energy storage and battery technologies." Although press releases and news stories may inform the public of some MOUs signed by a governor, a copy of an actual document, as well as the existence of MOUs that did not make the news, may be difficult to discover.

This issue came to the forefront a few years ago when a search for a copy of a particular MOU regarding a trash agreement with Canada took more than a year to locate (it was eventually found in England). Some feel that specifying in law which governmental MOUs should be available to the public, and how the MOUs could be stored and accessed, would be helpful in tracking which gubernatorial MOUs may still be in force and avoiding a protracted search when the terms of an MOU need reviewing.

# THE CONTENT OF THE BILL:

Under House Bill 4257, if a *memorandum of understanding* is signed by a governor, a copy would have to be filed in the Office of the Great Seal and made available to the public.

*Memorandum of understanding* would mean an informal agreement between this state and another party that does not impose contractual duties or obligations on the state.

House Fiscal Agency Page 1 of 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.michigan.gov/whitmer/0,9309,7-387-90499 90640-520840--,00.html

In addition, a copy would have to be posted on the Department of State internet website, and the department would have to file a copy with each house of the legislature and with the Senate Majority Leader, the Senate Minority Leader, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Minority Leader of the House of Representatives.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

House Bill 4257 as enrolled is similar to Senate Bill 822 of the 2017-18 legislative session. That bill was passed by both chambers and ordered enrolled, but was vetoed by then-Governor Snyder. The difference between the bills is that SB 822 provided that an MOU signed by a governor, if its terms applied after that governor left office, would stay in effect during successive administrations until either a successive governor rejected it or it expired on its own.

#### **ARGUMENTS:**

#### For:

As noted above, an MOU is often used by governments and governmental agencies, private businesses, and even foreign governments to forge partnerships in a project or mutually beneficial goal. Past examples include MOUs with the United Kingdom and Ontario, Canada, regarding advancements in the automotive sector.

Under House Bill 4257, a copy of each MOU signed by a governor would be required to be filed with the Office of the Great Seal, posted on the Department of State's website, and filed with each chamber of the legislature. This would make it easier for policymakers and the public to see and read the MOUs a governor enters into. It also resolves the problem encountered a few years ago when a copy of the MOU regarding a trash agreement with Canada took nearly a year and half to locate and was eventually found in an office in England.

Because many, but not all, MOUs contain an expiration date and provide for termination of the document either with or without cause, the bill should not add new limitations or restrictions to the language or function of an MOU. Typically, either party to an MOU can modify the terms or terminate it with proper notice. An MOU without a termination date would likely be reviewed and continued through successive governors in the same manner as one with a termination date that fell in a successor governor's term of office.

It should be noted that the bill would apply only to an MOU signed by the governor. MOUs utilized by governmental agencies would not be subject to the bill's posting and filing requirements.

# Against:

Although no arguments against the bill were raised during the committee process, some may see the bill as an example of a slippery slope regarding the constitutional separation of powers of the executive, judicial, and legislative branches of government. For example, in his veto message of a similar bill from a previous session, then Governor Snyder wrote,

"Senate Bill 822 on its face appears to have a noble purpose—transparency. But it also has the potential to lead the way toward more routine legislative encroachment into regulating the activity of future governors. Therefore, I return Senate Bill 822 to you without my approval." He also noted that the MOUs he had signed as governor were available on the Michigan Economic Development Corporation's website.

Governor Whitmer vetoed House Bill 4257 on December 23, 2021. In her veto message, the governor stated that "HB 4257 attempts to impose reporting requirements related to what the bill describes as a 'memorandum of understanding.' Unfortunately, because the definition of that key term is vague and unworkable, this bill would only create confusion. For this and other reasons, I am vetoing HB 4257 of 2021."

Legislative Analyst: Susan Stutzky Fiscal Analyst: Michael Cnossen

<sup>■</sup> This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House Fiscal Agency staff for use by House members in their deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.