



Telephone: (517) 373-5383

Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bill 25 (Substitute S-1 as reported)

Sponsor: Senator Tom Barrett

Committee: Finance

CONTENT

The bill would amend the Income Tax Act to allow, for the 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019 tax years and for each tax year that began on and after January 1, 2025, a disabled veteran to deduct income attributable to the cancellation or discharge of a student loan under the Total and Permanent Disability Discharge Program.

The bill states that it is intended to be retroactive and the deduction described above would apply retroactively effective for the 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019 tax years.

MCL 206.30 Legislative Analyst: Christian Schmidt

FISCAL IMPACT

The retroactive provisions of the bill would reduce revenue by approximately \$600,000 in fiscal year (FY) 2020-21, and the bill would not then have a fiscal impact until FY 2026-27, when it would reduce revenue by approximately \$2.7 million per year.

According to statistics from the US Veterans Administration, in 2018 there were approximately 18,000 individuals in Michigan who were rated as 100% disabled, one of several qualifications under the bill. It is unknown how many of them have student loan debt, the value of their debt, or how many would seek a discharge under Federal programs. Over a five-year period from 2014-2018, there were 715,800 borrowers nationally who received discharges under the Total and Permanent Disability Discharge Program for \$17.8 billion of principal and \$1.8 billion of interest. Statewide data are not available, of which a subset of those were veterans. Disabled veterans living in Michigan represent approximately 2.3% of the total nationally. Based on the total number of loan discharges known to originate with disability determinations from the Veterans Administration over the 2015-2018 period, and assuming Michigan's disabled veteran population exhibits the same average student loan debt characteristics as the average borrower who received a discharge nationally, the retroactive provisions of the bill would reduce individual income tax revenue by approximately \$600,000 in FY 2020-21. Because revenue impact from the retroactive provisions would be in the form of refund requests filed with amended returns, all of the impact would reduce General Fund revenue.

Currently, Federal law exempts student loan discharges issued between January 1, 2018, and December 31, 2025, from Federal AGI. As a result, no discharges that occur during that time period have been, or will be, included in the Michigan tax base.

While the bill would allow an eligible taxpayer to deduct discharged amounts included in Federal AGI for tax years that begin on or after January 1, 2025, due to the Federal provisions, the bill would have no impact until January 1, 2026.

Beginning tax year 2026, the bill would reduce revenue by an amount that would depend how student borrowing and tuition change, and how rates of loan discharge under the Total and Permanent Disability Discharge Program could change. However, assuming current individual income tax rates, growing the 2014-2018 average loan discharge amount at 5.0% per year for eight years (from 2018 to 2026), and adjusting for the 12.1% average annual increase in the veteran population at 100% disability over the 2015-2019 period, would increase the revenue reduction to approximately \$2.7 million per year beginning with tax year 2026.

Beginning in tax year 2026, any revenue reduction under the bill could affect either gross collections from withholding, estimated, and annual payments and/or individual income tax refunds. Any increase in refunds would affect only General Fund revenue. However, any 23.8% of any reduction in gross collections would lower School Aid Fund revenue, with any remaining reduction lowering General Fund revenue.

Date Completed: 3-11-21 Fiscal Analyst: David Zin

Bill Analysis @ www.senate.michigan.gov/sfa

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.

Page 2 of 2 sb25/2122