Legislative Analysis



PROHIBIT INTIMIDATION OF OR INTERFERENCE WITH ELECTION WORKERS

http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa

Phone: (517) 373-8080

House Bills 4129 (H-1) and 4130 (H-1) as reported

Analysis available at http://www.legislature.mi.gov

Sponsor: Rep. Kara Hope Committee: Elections Complete to 10-26-23

SUMMARY:

House Bills 4129 and 4130 would make it illegal to intimidate an election official or otherwise prevent election officials from performing their duties.

House Bill 4129 would add a new section to the Michigan Election Law to provide that an individual who prevents an *election official* from performing their duties in conducting an election or who *intimidates* an election official because of their status as an election official with the specific intent to interfere with the official's duties is guilty of a crime.

Election official would mean a public officer, public employee, election inspector, member of the Board of State Canvassers, member of a board of county canvassers, member of an absent voter counting board, county clerk, or city or township clerk who has a duty to perform in connection with an election conducted under the Michigan Election Law.

Intimidate would mean a willful course of conduct involving harassment of another individual that is intended to cause the individual to fear physical injury, that would cause a reasonable individual to fear physical injury, and actually causes the individual to fear physical injury. It would not include constitutionally protected activity or conduct that serves a legitimate purpose.

The bill's provisions would not apply to any constitutionally protected activity, such as reporting, protesting, lobbying, advocacy, or other activities intended to inform or influence on matters of public interest or concern.

A first violation of these provisions would be a misdemeanor punishable by up to 93 days' imprisonment or a fine of \$500, or both. A second violation would be a misdemeanor punishable by up to one year's imprisonment or a fine of \$1,000, or both. A third or subsequent violation would be a felony punishable by up to five years' imprisonment or a fine of up to \$1,000, or both, as provided under section 935 of the act.

Proposed MCL 168.931b

House Bill 4130 would amend the sentencing guidelines chapter of the Code of Criminal Procedure to incorporate the proposed new felonies of intimidating an election official and preventing an election official from performing their duties. Under the bill, a third or

House Fiscal Agency Page 1 of 3

subsequent offense would be a Class E felony against the public trust punishable by a statutory maximum of five years.

The bill is tie-barred to HB 4129, which means it could not go into effect unless HB 4129 were also enacted.

MCL 777.11d

FISCAL IMPACT:

House Bill 4129 would have an indeterminate fiscal impact on the state and on local units of government. The number of convictions that would result under provisions of the bill is not known. Violations could be either misdemeanors or felonies, depending on the circumstances. First and second offenses would be misdemeanors and third and subsequent offenses would be felonies. New misdemeanor convictions would increase costs related to county jails and/or local misdemeanor probation supervision. Costs of local incarceration in county jails and local misdemeanor probation supervision, and how those costs are financed, vary by jurisdiction. New felony convictions would result in increased costs related to state prisons and state probation supervision. In fiscal year 2022, the average cost of prison incarceration in a state facility was roughly \$47,900 per prisoner, a figure that includes various fixed administrative and operational costs. State costs for parole and felony probation supervision averaged about \$5,000 per supervised offender in the same year. Those costs are financed with state general fund/general purpose revenue. The fiscal impact on local court systems would depend on how provisions of the bill affected court caseloads and related administrative costs. It is difficult to project the actual fiscal impact to courts due to variables such as law enforcement practices, prosecutorial practices, judicial discretion, case types, and complexity of cases. Any increase in penal fine revenue would increase funding for public and county law libraries, which are the constitutionally designated recipients of those revenues.

<u>House Bill 4130</u> is a companion bill to HB 4129 and amends sentencing guidelines to include third or subsequent offenses of intimidating an election official or preventing an election official from performing their duties as felonies. The bill would not have a direct fiscal impact on the state or on local units of government.

POSITIONS:

Representatives of the following entities testified in support of the bills (9-12-23):

- Department of State
- Campaign Legal Center
- Michigan Association of Municipal Clerks

The following entities indicated support for the bills:

- Department of the Attorney General (2-28-23)
- American Association of University Women of Michigan (9-12-23)
- Center for Change Northern Michigan (2-28-23)

- League of Women Voters of Michigan (9-12-23)
- Michigan Municipal League (9-12-23)
- Michigan Townships Association (2-28-23)
- Progress Michigan (2-28-23)
- Voters Not Politicians (9-19-23)

The following entities indicated support for House Bill 4129:

- Michigan Democratic Party (2-28-23)
- Promote the Vote (9-12-23)

The Michigan League of Conservation Voters indicated a neutral position on the bills. (9-12-23)

A representative of Pure Integrity for Michigan Elections testified in opposition to the bills. (9-12-23)

Detroit Disability Power indicated opposition to the bills. (2-28-23)

Legislative Analyst: Holly Kuhn Fiscal Analysts: Robin Risko

Michael Cnossen

[■] This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House Fiscal Agency staff for use by House members in their deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.